OCEAN GLIDERS FOR PLANNING AND MONITORING REMOTE CANADIAN PACIFIC MARINE PROTECTED AREAS

By Tetjana Ross, Hayley V. Dosser, Jody M. Klymak, Wiley Evans, Alex Hare, Jennifer M. Jackson, and Stephanie Waterman

THE CHALLENGE: PLANNING AND THEN MONITORING MARINE PROTECTED AREAS IN REMOTE LOCATIONS

Given the United Nations' ambitious goal—endorsed by more than 100 nations, including Canada—of protecting 30% of the world's marine ecosystems by 2030 (UN, 2023), the need to establish, expand, and track the effectiveness of protected areas is becoming more pressing each year. Effective planning for and monitoring of marine protected areas (MPAs) rely on the availability of quality baseline ecological and oceanographic information. Many of the sites in the Canadian Pacific Ocean best suited for protection, due to the confluence of ecological, cultural, and political significance, are remote. This poses two challenges: (1) there is little baseline information to guide planning, and (2) they are challenging to monitor once established.

THE TOOL: OCEAN GLIDERS

Autonomous sampling technologies, such as ocean gliders and floats, are providing new opportunities to collect oceanographic and ecological information more frequently, at times of year not typically sampled, and in remote regions. These autonomous platforms can sample in harsher conditions, are less costly to operate, and have much lower carbon footprints than ship-based operations.

The Canadian-Pacific Robotic Ocean Observing Facility ([C-PROOF\)](https://cproof.uvic.ca/) has been collecting glider data in understudied areas of interest to inform marine conservation efforts in the Canadian Pacific since 2019 as part of its mission to create a world-class autonomous ocean-observing facility in the Northeast Pacific Ocean and adjacent Canadian coastal waters. C-PROOF is a partnership involving the Universities of Victoria and British Columbia, Fisheries and

FIGURE 1. (top) A map of the Northeast Pacific Ocean showing C-PROOF glider mission tracks (to August 20, 2024) and locations of marine protected areas (MPAs) in British Columbia waters. The glider tracks are colored by the monitoring lines (Red: Line P. Orange: Southern West Coast of Vancouver Island, WCVI. Cyan: Queen Charlotte Sound, QCS). The existing MPAs are shaded throughout their areas while the proposed MPAs are only outlined. The inset shows some of the gliders in the C-PROOF fleet. (bottom) An example of how C-PROOF glider data can be combined with satellite sea surface temperature data to map marine heatwaves below the ocean's surface. AOI = Area of Interest. HS/QCS = Hecate Strait/Queen Charlotte Sound Glass Sponge Reefs. SK-B = SG áan Kinghlas-Bowie Seamount MPA. TḥT = Tang.<u>G</u>wan hačxwiqak - Tsigis MPA.

Oceans Canada, and the Hakai Institute, a not-for-profit organization committed to long-term ecological research on the British Columbian coast. In addition to making an important contribution to regional ocean observing in the Northeast Pacific (Barth et al., 2019), the C-PROOF glider lines pass through or near many remote MPAs in the Canadian Pacific (Figure 1). Ocean gliders traverse these lines at high spatiotemporal resolution in all seasons, gathering comprehensive physical and biogeochemical oceanographic data that provide invaluable insights into the health and dynamics of these marine ecosystems.

THE SUCCESSES: FIRST, REGULAR, AND TIMELY

C-PROOF glider data have successfully contributed to MPA planning and monitoring in three main ways: (1) providing the first baseline oceanographic data in some proposed MPAs, (2) frequent monitoring of oceanographic and ecological variables, including ecosystem stressors (such as ocean acidification and deoxygenation), in remote MPAs, and (3) delivering this oceanographic and ecological context in near-real time, key to tracking marine heatwaves.

Baselines for Proposed MPAs. Even regions of high ecological importance, such as the generation site for Haida eddies that connect the coastal margins to the deep Northeast Pacific, have only been visited about a dozen times by oceanographic expeditions. An MPA planning process is now underway for seven sites along the west and north coasts of Haida Gwaii (white outlines, Figure 1), and five C-PROOF glider missions over the last three years have sampled the sites. The data collected have been incorporated into the official governmental planning process, with a recommendation for repeat glider surveys as part of the eventual monitoring plan (DFO, 2024).

Monitoring in Remote MPAs. All C-PROOF regularly sampled glider monitoring lines pass through or near already designated protected areas. Providing ecosystem stressor data has required extensive quality control of the glider oxygen data and the development and verification of two regionally tuned multiple linear regression models to provide ocean acidification metrics useful to MPA management (e.g., Queen Charlotte Sound: Hare et al., 2023; Line P: Dosser et al., 2024). However, for effective uptake in MPA

FIGURE 2. Section plots of oxygen and aragonite saturation state (Ω_{Ar}) on the Queen Charlotte Sound (QCS) continental shelf as observed by C-PROOF gliders (i.e., data from cyan lines in Figure 1). The left panels compare a normal oxygen summer (2020, upper left) to a low oxygen summer (2022, lower left). Note how values below 60 μmol kg⁻¹-a biologically meaningful hypoxia limit in the region-are highlighted for ease of interpretation by MPA managers. The right panels show the putatively normal seasonal variation in aragonite saturation horizon between summer (upper right) and winter (lower right), determined from glider proxy measurements. Again, the biologically and chemically important level of Ω_A = 1 is highlighted visually. In all sections, the location of the QCS glass sponge reef MPA is highlighted.

management, the results must be further refined and simplified to effectively communicate with non-scientist management team members (e.g., Figure 2). With respect to marine heatwaves, intuitive visualizations that merge satellite and glider data (inset in Figure 1) have been instrumental in communicating the importance of looking beneath the surface; deep marine heatwaves last longer and have greater impacts on marine ecosystems than heatwave events confined to the surface (Fragkopoulou et al., 2023).

Providing Near-Real Time Context. The value of ocean gliders' capacity to provide oceanographic context in nearreal time to serve MPA management needs is exemplified by the ability to adaptively redirect gliders to identify and map extreme events. In the summer of 2021, an unusually large deoxygenation event occurred in the bottom waters on the continental shelf along the west coast of Vancouver Island (Ross et al., 2022). A C-PROOF glider monitoring mission in October 2021 detected this event, and the glider sampling strategy was adapted on-the-fly to better map the event's evolution. This event was proximate to several existing and proposed MPA sites, and its mapping by adaptive glider sampling illustrates how glider-based monitoring of low oxygen water could provide early warnings of potentially lethal low-oxygen events propagating into an MPA.

In sum, ocean gliders hold significant promise to become key tools in MPA managers' toolboxes, providing important oceanographic and ecological data critical to understanding and protecting valuable marine ecosystems in a timely and cost-effective manner.

REFERENCES

- Barth, J.A., S.E. Allen, E.P. Dever, R.K. Dewey, W. Evans, R.A. Feely, J.L. Fisher, J.P. Fram, B. Hales, D. Ianson, and others. 2019. Better regional ocean observing through cross-national cooperation: A case study from the Northeast Pacific. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6:93, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00093.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00093)
- DFO (Fisheries and Oceans Canada). 2024*. Proceedings of the Pacific Regional Peer Review on the Biophysical and Ecological Overview of the Pacific Region Offshore Haida Gwaii Network Zones; November 8–9, 2022*. DFO Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat, Proceedings Series 2024/002, 36 pp., [https://waves-vagues.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/library](https://waves-vagues.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/library-bibliotheque/41225132.pdf)[bibliotheque/41225132.pdf](https://waves-vagues.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/library-bibliotheque/41225132.pdf).
- Dosser, H., T. Ross, and D. Ianson. 2024. Quantifying variability in the Northeast Pacific Ocean hypoxic boundary and saturation horizons from ocean glider data along Line P. Paper presented at the Canadian Meteorological and Oceanographic Society 58th Congress, June 3–6, 2024.
- Fragkopoulou, E., A. Sen Gupta, M.J. Costello, T. Wernberg, M.B. Araújo, E.A. Serrão, O. De Clerck, and J. Assis. 2023. Marine biodiversity exposed to prolonged and intense subsurface heatwaves. *Nature Climate Change* 13(10):1,114–1,121, [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01790-6) [s41558-023-01790-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01790-6)
- Hare, A., W. Evans, S.R. Alin, H. Dosser, J. Jackson, C. Hannah, and T. Ross. 2023. Empirical relationships allow autonomous monitoring of the carbonate system in Queen Charlotte Sound, British Columbia. Paper presented at the Canadian Meteorological and Oceanographic Society 57th Congress, May 28–June 1, 2023.
- Ross, T., A.C. Franco, J.A. Barth, A. Sastri, M. Robert, D. Ianson, C. Hannah, F. Chan, R. Feely, R. Dewey, and A. Peña. 2022. Northeast Pacific update: Summer 2021 low oxygen event on the west coast of North America. *PICES Press* 30(1):38–42, [https://meetings.pices.int/](https://meetings.pices.int/publications/pices-press/volume30/PPJan2022.pdf#page=38) [publications/pices-press/volume30/PPJan2022.pdf#page=38.](https://meetings.pices.int/publications/pices-press/volume30/PPJan2022.pdf#page=38)
- UN (United Nations). 2023. *Report of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity on the Second Part of its Fifteenth Meeting*. UN Environment Programme, 292 pp., [https://www.cbd.int/](https://www.cbd.int/doc/c/f98d/390c/d25842dd39bd8dc3d7d2ae14/cop-15-17-en.pdf) [doc/c/f98d/390c/d25842dd39bd8dc3d7d2ae14/cop-15-17-en.pdf.](https://www.cbd.int/doc/c/f98d/390c/d25842dd39bd8dc3d7d2ae14/cop-15-17-en.pdf)

AUTHORS

Tetjana Ross [\(tetjana.ross@dfo-mpo.gc.ca\)](mailto:tetjana.ross%40dfo-mpo.gc.ca?subject=), Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, BC, Canada. **Hayley V. Dosser**, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Canadian Centre for Climate Services, Victoria, BC, Canada, and Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, BC, Canada. **Jody M. Klymak**, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada. **Wiley Evans** and **Alex Hare**, Hakai Institute, Campbell River, BC, Canada. **Jennifer M. Jackson**, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, BC, Canada, and Hakai Institute, Campbell River, BC, Canada. **Stephanie Waterman**, Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Victoria, BC, Canada.

ARTICLE DOI. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2025e104>