ON THE COVER

Developed by scientists at the Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, University of Oldenburg, the Sea Surface Scanner (S3) is a radio-controlled catamaran designed to detect biogenic and ubiquitous surface films called the sea surface microlayer (SML). The SML is typically less than 1 mm thick and controls air-sea interactions due to its unique biogeochemical properties relative to the underlying water. S3 uses a set of partially submerged glass disks that continuously rotate through the sea surface, skimming and wiping the SML from the disks. The principle of this collection technique was developed several decades ago. The continuous sample stream is diverted to a set of onboard flow-through sensors (e.g., temperature, conductivity, fluorescence, pH, pCO₂) and to a bottle carousel triggered by a command from the pilot. S3 is capable of mapping the SML with high temporal and spatial resolution and collecting large amounts of samples for broader biogeochemical assessment of the SML. Since 2015, S3 has been deployed in the Indian, Pacific, and Atlantic Oceans, including in open leads near the North Pole, providing a unique large data set of biogeochemical features of the ocean’s surface.
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Scientists observe the ocean's complex and interwoven physical, chemical, biological, and geological processes to understand the numerous ways in which the ocean sustains life and provides benefits to society, and to forecast events that affect humankind and the planet. They use a range of instruments to gather data, from simple nets and thermometers to sophisticated sensors aboard autonomous vehicles that transmit data back to laboratories nearly instantaneously. Some instruments are tethered to ships or moored to the seafloor, and others drift with ocean currents, move autonomously, or are controlled from land. There are also specialized satellites, aircraft, and drones that carry ocean observing sensors. Observations are made over hours to days to years in all parts of the global ocean, from the tropics to the poles, from the coasts to the open ocean, and from the seafloor to its surface waters.

The many different types of ocean observations allow scientists to detect and track pollutants and toxic substances such as oil slicks, plastics, and other marine debris; to document ocean warming and acidification as well as changes in ocean circulation and ecosystem health; and to better forecast hazards such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tsunamis, ocean heatwaves, flooding, and harmful algal blooms.

In this supplement to the December issue of *Oceanography*, we introduce frontiers in ocean observing—the articles describe new technologies and reveal some exciting results that advance our understanding of the world ocean and its resources and support its sustainable use and management. For this 2021 inaugural supplement, potential authors were invited to submit letters of interest aligned with the priorities of the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030) in the following topical areas:

**TOPIC 1. Ocean-Climate Nexus.** Observations related to climate monitoring, modeling, and forecasting; sea level rise; and ocean acidification.

**TOPIC 2. Ecosystems and Their Diversity.** Studies and observations for habitat mapping and restoration and for biodiversity monitoring, in particular, the relationship between biodiversity and climate change, as well as applications for natural resource management and conservation.

**TOPIC 3. Ocean Resources and the Economy Under Changing Environmental Conditions.** Observations and services in support of the blue economy (e.g., energy, transport, tourism), sustainable use of ocean resources (e.g., fisheries/aquaculture, genetic resources, minerals, sand), and marine spatial planning.

**TOPIC 4. Pollutants and Contaminants and Their Potential Impacts on Human Health and Ecosystems.** Systems for monitoring pollutants/contaminants (e.g., heavy metals, nutrients, plastics, and organic pollutants, as well as noise) and their dispersal, and potential links to policy frameworks.

**TOPIC 5. Multi-Hazard Warning Systems.** Observing systems and information services supporting disaster risk reduction and improving human health, safety, and food security.

We received 127 letters of interest from the global ocean observing community, from which we chose the subset of articles contained in this supplement. For many of the articles, we asked authors who had never before worked together to collaborate and submit one combined article. We also chose a few articles to close the supplement with descriptions of exciting new ocean observing technologies.

We thank Ocean Networks Canada, the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Global Ocean Monitoring and Observing Program, the international Partnership for Observation of the Global Ocean, and the US Arctic Research Commission for generously supporting publication of this Ocean Observing supplement.

**ARTICLE DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.supplement.02-01
INTRODUCTION – GLOBAL OBSERVATIONS OF THE INTERIOR OCEAN

The complementary partnership of the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP; https://www.go-ship.org/) and the Argo Program (https://argo.ucsd.edu) has been instrumental in providing sustained subsurface observations of the global ocean for over two decades. Since the late twentieth century, new clues into the ocean's role in Earth's climate system have revealed a need for sustained global ocean observations (e.g., Gould et al., 2013; Schmitt, 2018) and stimulated revolutionary technology advances needed to address the societal mandate. Together, the international GO-SHIP and Argo Program responded to this need, providing insight into the mean state and variability of the physics, biology, and chemistry of the ocean that led to advancements in fundamental science and monitoring of the state of Earth's climate.

Historically, ocean temperature profiles have been obtained from commercial ships, although the highest quality temperature and salinity (T/S) profiles came only from research vessels (Figure 1). Global ocean hydrographic surveys, including full biogeochemistry and tracers, began in the mid-1990s under the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) and continue now as GO-SHIP. T/S and biogeochemistry, as key variables of the climate system, began to describe variability and change in patterns of rainfall and evaporation, absorption of fossil fuel carbon dioxide into the ocean, and the pace and evolution of global warming and steric sea level rise (i.e., due to changes

![FIGURE 1. Density of profiles collected per 1° square during 10 years of (a) expendable bathythermograph (XBT), (b) shipboard T/S, and (c) Argo T/S operations. Data courtesy of World Ocean Database (WOD) 2018 (a and b) and Argo Program (c)]](https://example.com/figure1.png)
in ocean salinity and temperature, which affect density). However, because capturing these observations required research vessels, pre-Argo T/S data sets could not attain systematic global coverage. This changed in the 1990s with the development of autonomous profiling floats that enable high-quality T/S observations anywhere at any time. The Argo Program was designed as a global autonomous array of over 3,000 profiling floats spread evenly over the ocean where the depth exceeds 2,000 m, and it achieved this milestone in 2007. Free-drifting Argo floats obtain T/S profiles from 2,000 m depth to the sea surface every 10 days. All Argo data are distributed freely in near-real time (12–24 hours) and as research-quality delayed-mode data (nominally in 12 months). The transformation in ocean observing brought about by Argo, from exceedingly sparse and regionally biased coverage to systematic and sustainable global coverage, is apparent in Figure 1.

The combination of Argo and GO-SHIP provides today's global observations of the ocean's interior. GO-SHIP supplies the highest quality global-scale multi-parameter observations, including biogeochemical as well as physical properties, from the surface to the seafloor, repeated on decadal timescales. The accuracy of shipboard data makes it essential for climate change assessment, sensor development, and detection and adjustment of drift in Argo sensors (Sloyan et al., 2019). Additionally, GO-SHIP provides a scientific foundation for expanding Argo into full-depth measurements and for investigating the ocean's biological and biogeochemical cycling (see next section on GO-SHIP). In turn, Argo's systematic, autonomous sampling provides regional-to-global and seasonal-to-interannual coverage of T/S that are unattainable by conventional ship-based systems.

Argo has achieved and sustained global observations because: (1) it provides great value in basic ocean research, climate variability and change, education, and ocean forecasting (Johnson et al., 2022); (2) it is based on effective and efficient global technologies; and (3) it combines with GO-SHIP to provide an ocean observing system with unprecedented accuracy and coverage. Central to Argo's and GO-SHIP's successes are their multinational partnerships composed of academic and government researchers, agencies charged with ocean observing, institutions having global reach, and technically proficient commercial partners.

The transformation of ocean observing brought about by Argo and GO-SHIP is not complete. GO-SHIP is expanding to include ocean mixing measurements and biological observations. Deep Argo floats with 6,000 m capability are increasing Argo's reach to nearly all the ocean volume, filling key gaps in our understanding of full-depth ocean circulation and heat uptake and their relationships with climate. New sensors for dissolved oxygen, pH, nitrate, and bio-optical properties have given rise to Biogeochemical (BGC)-Argo. Core Argo floats are being made more robust, long-lived, and versatile, enhancing Argo's coverage, its sustainability, and the breadth of its applications. The integrated program of Core, Deep, and BGC-Argo (Figure 2), termed OneArgo, will continue the Argo revolution for science and society (Roemmich et al., 2019).

**FIGURE 2.** The OneArgo array design with floats color-coded for Core, Deep, and Biogeochemical (BGC) Argo. The floats are randomly distributed in regions with the intention to locate either one or two floats per 3° × 3° square. Courtesy of OceanOPS
• The deep ocean is warming and is increasingly contributing to sea level rise.
• The global ocean circulation and its physical, chemical, and biological properties are changing under changing winds and surface fluxes.
• Ocean oxygen content has declined since 1960, with loss at all depths; tropical oxygen minimum zones have expanded; upper ocean oxygen has increased in the Southern Hemisphere subtropical gyres.
• Multiple observing systems show that the ocean absorbs about 25% of excess CO₂ resulting from anthropogenic inputs. From GO-SHIP, the total ocean inventory of anthropogenic carbon (Cant) has increased by 30% from 1994 to 2010. Anthropogenic carbon buildup can be detected as deep as 2,000 m and continues to acidify the ocean.
• Dissolved organic carbon distributions have been mapped globally for the first time.

GO-SHIP – HIGH-QUALITY, DECADAL, GLOBAL PHYSICAL AND BIOGEOCHEMICAL OBSERVATIONS

GO-SHIP’s quasi-decadal reoccupation of hydrographic transects spanning the global ocean was implemented and is sustained to quantify changes in the storage and transport of heat, fresh water, carbon, nutrients, and transient tracers (Talley et al., 2016; Sloyan et al., 2019; Figure 3). These full-depth, coast-to-coast transects measure many of the physical and biogeochemical essential ocean variables of the Global Ocean Observing System and provide the highest accuracy ocean data, attainable only with research ships and specialized, calibrated analytical methods (Figure 4). Three decades of GO-SHIP data have been central to the assessment of the state of the ocean throughout multiple IPCC reports (https://www.ipcc.ch/), and they are used in multiple climatologies (e.g., GLODAP; https://www.g lodap.info/) for calibration and validation of autonomous instruments and for model initialization and validation.

Importantly, GO-SHIP provides the reference standard data central to calibrating Core, Deep, and BGC Argo Sensors. GO-SHIP’s data sets are subject to rapid public release to maximize use as reference data and for biogeochemical assessments: preliminary data within six to eight weeks of the end of a cruise and final data within six months.

In this era of expanding autonomous observing systems, GO-SHIP, supported by the research fleet, remains the backbone of sustained observing. The following climate-related results have been based on GO-SHIP data (Sloyan et al., 2019, and subsequent works) and have led to the expansion of Argo into the deep ocean and to biogeochemical measurements to increase our temporal and spatial coverage of these climatically important phenomena.

While GO-SHIP’s sustained measurements have evolved conservatively for continuity, GO-SHIP provides a platform for piloting new types of observations anywhere in the world and for international collaboration on individual measurements and full cruises. Each GO-SHIP cruise includes multiple ancillary activities, including Argo deployments, ocean mixing measurements, and some biological observations. A new expansion to include “Bio GO-SHIP” has begun to investigate the distributions and the biogeochemical and functional roles of plankton in the global ocean. Routine sampling of plankton for genetic analyses is proposed, and microbial sampling has been conducted on several cruises. As GO-SHIP continues to monitor and expand to new parameters, it will inevitably reveal new climatically significant properties of the physics, chemistry, and biology of the global ocean, and inspire technological advancements in ship-based and autonomous measurements.
The highest priority for the OneArgo Program is to sustain and improve the longstanding Core Argo array (Figure 5). The sustainability of an observing system depends equally on the societal needs driving it and on its cost-effectiveness. Core Argo's primary roles are in assessments of global warming, sea level rise, and the hydrological cycle, plus applications in seasonal-to-interannual ocean and coupled forecasting, and ocean state estimation. Other research topics that utilize Argo data include ocean circulation in interior and boundary current regions, mesoscale eddies, ocean mixing, marine heatwaves, water mass properties and formation, El Niño-Southern Oscillation, and ocean dynamics. Argo's rapidly growing applications are well documented (e.g., Johnson et al., 2022), with about 500 research papers that use Argo data published per year.

While the scientific needs for Core Argo are strong, equally important are the technology advancements in profiling floats and sensors that are transforming the cost-effectiveness of the array while enabling new scientific missions.

- **Float engineering:** Advances in the hydraulic system controlling float buoyancy have contributed to substantial decreases in float failure rates (Figure 6) while increasing energy efficiency for longer float missions.

- **Battery technology:** The use of improved (hybrid) lithium batteries since about 2016 is doubling the battery lifetime of some Core Argo float models from about five years to 10 years.

- **Satellite communications:** Around 2011, Argo communications transitioned from the one-way System ARGOS to the bidirectional Iridium global cellular network. A float's time on the sea surface for data transmission was reduced from 10 hours to 15 minutes in each cycle, resulting in energy savings and avoidance of surface hazards, including grounding and biofouling. New applications have emerged utilizing the rapid data turnaround, while the bidirectional transmissions enable changes in mission parameters throughout float lifetimes.

In the transition to OneArgo, Core Argo coverage requirements (Figure 2) are increasing in key regions. Doubling of float density in the equatorial Pacific is needed by the Tropical Pacific Observing System (https://tpos2020.org). Similarly, doubling is needed in western boundary regions that exhibit high variability and in marginal seas adjacent to the continental shelves. Increasing coverage in high-latitude, seasonally ice-covered regions is accomplished by using T/S to infer ice-free conditions and by using ice-hardened antennas. The map of OneArgo coverage (Figure 2) shows that expanded coverage of 0–2,000 m T/S profiles will be accomplished even as the number of exclusively Core Argo floats decreases, because Deep and BGC Argo floats also collect 0–2,000 m (Core) T/S profiles. Core Argo will continue the technology and scientific revolutions that have transformed global observing from a vision to reality.
DEEP ARGO – OBSERVING THE FULL OCEAN VOLUME

Sustained measurements of ocean properties and circulation are needed over the full water column to provide fundamental insights into the spatial and temporal extent of deep ocean warming, sea level rise resulting from the expanded volume of deep ocean warming, and environmental changes that affect the growth and reproduction of deep-sea species. Deep ocean (>2,000 m) observing is sparse in space and time compared to the upper 2,000 m. Less than 10% of historical non-Argo T/S profiles extend to depths greater than 2,000 m, with current high-quality deep ocean measurements limited primarily to GO-SHIP transects repeated on decadal timescales, ocean stations located in special regions, and moored arrays set mainly near the coasts of continents.

To address the void in deep ocean observing, new Deep Argo float models are designed with high pressure tolerance in order to extend autonomous ocean observing to the abyss. New Deep Argo CTD sensors have improved temperature, salinity, and pressure accuracies and stability to resolve deep ocean signals. Use of a bottom-detection algorithm and bottom-detecting wires enables collection of temperature, salinity, oxygen, and pressure to as close as 1–3 m above the seafloor. The implementation of an ice-avoiding algorithm on all Deep Argo floats deployed at high latitudes enables deep ocean profiling under sea ice.

The Deep Argo fleet presently consists of pilot arrays implemented in deep regions where GO-SHIP data show strong ocean warming (Figure 7). Active float models include those capable of sampling from the surface to 6,000 m depth, and others that can profile to 4,000 m (Figure 8). Observations from the pilot arrays show float lifetimes reaching 5.5 years and sensor accuracies approaching GO-SHIP quality standards. Deep Argo’s ability is well demonstrated to measure variability of deep ocean warming and large-scale deep ocean circulation, both regionally and globally, at intraseasonal to decadal timescales. The international Deep Argo community is committed to implementing a global Deep Argo array of 1,250 floats in the next five to eight years and to sustain Deep Argo observations in the future (Zilberman et al., 2019).

With full implementation of the Deep Argo array, the temporal and spatial resolution of deep ocean observations will improve by orders of magnitude, enabling new insight into how the deep ocean responds to, distributes, or influences signals of Earth’s changing climate. Deep Argo’s homogeneous coverage of the full ocean volume in all seasons will be particularly useful to constrain and increase signal-to-error ratios in global ocean reanalyses and to prevent unrealistic drift in coupled climate-ocean models. Deep Argo will therefore increase our ability to predict climate variability and change and to anticipate and reduce the impact of more frequent extreme weather events, warmer ocean temperatures, and sea level rise. These all have damaging implications for various sectors of the blue economy that nations increasingly depend upon. Low-lying coastal communities and small island developing states are especially vulnerable.
To date, observations of global ocean biogeochemistry have relied heavily on high-quality chemical measurements made by GO-SHIP repeat hydrography surveys and on biological measurements extracted from satellite ocean color sensors. However, ship transects miss most years, seasons, and ocean regions, and satellites cannot sample beneath the surface, leaving the vast majority of the ocean volume unsampled. Furthermore, the minimal overlap that exists in coverage between GO-SHIP and ocean color data complicates and hampers the study of biological-chemical interactions central to ocean biogeochemistry.

BGC-Argo (https://biogeochemical-argo.org/), an emerging element of the OneArgo design (Figure 2), will consist of a global fleet of 1,000 profiling floats, coordinated through the Argo national programs. The array will fill the coverage gaps described above and provide a near-real-time perspective of ocean biogeochemistry in the upper 2,000 m (Biogeochemical-Argo Planning Group, 2016). BGC-Argo floats (Figure 9) collect nominally six ocean property measurements in addition to T/S, including oxygen, nitrate, chlorophyll-a concentration, pH, suspended particles, and light. These data provide useful information on air-sea gas exchange, primary productivity, net community production, carbon export, climate-driven changes in chemical properties, and biogeochemical properties, which can be assimilated into models to increase their accuracy. The BGC-Argo array will link, complement, and extend existing observing programs, yielding unparalleled global-scale integration of physical, chemical, and biological measurements every 10 days.

Over the last decade, the number of BGC-Argo profiles has steadily increased, with more than half a million combined sensor profiles collected to date. This is the result of increased float longevity, improved sensor accuracy and stability, better manufacturing capability, and enhancement of data systems. Several well-established regional float arrays (Figure 10) have demonstrated excellent examples of scientific applications (Claustre et al., 2020), including using (1) oxygen measurements to study biological production and respiration, (2) pH and derived carbon products in the Southern Ocean to describe the carbon cycle in critical measurement gaps during austral winter and under sea ice, and (3) integrated optical and chemical measurements to describe mechanisms that control biological carbon storage in the ocean, the global subsurface distribution of phytoplankton, and ocean photosynthetic rates.
BGC-Argo is currently transitioning from regional pilot arrays to global implementation. Multiple international programs have already begun to achieve quasi-global coverage (Figure 10), including the extensive North Atlantic array deployed by European partners; North Pacific arrays by Canada, Japan, China, and the United States; tropical coverage by India, France, and the United States; and Southern Ocean coverage by the United States, Australia, France, and the United Kingdom. The US-funded Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate, Observations and Modeling (SOCCOM; https://soccom.princeton.edu) project has been an important step toward a sustained system of observations at the scale of an ocean basin and has deployed 200 BGC-Argo floats south of 30°S.

Moving on to global scale, the Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Array (GO-BGC; https://go-bgc.org), a second US-funded project, has begun global deployment of 500 profiling floats equipped with the full complement of six BGC sensors. The initiation of GO-BGC highlights several challenges that will come with the establishment of a global observing system. BGC float and sensor providers must scale up from relatively limited production to meet the needs of a sustained observing system. High-quality data must be delivered by multiple scientific institutions. Close collaboration between float and ship-based observing systems must be maintained in a synergistic manner. Ships are needed to deploy BGC floats and collect high-quality reference data near deployment locations. GO-SHIP and similarly high-quality ship-based programs such as GEOTRACES (https://www.geotraces.org/) are strong partners for BGC-Argo. Access to all ocean regions necessitates international collaboration. Floats operate year-round, which enables a greater understanding of seasonal and interannual variability that builds on the framework established from GO-SHIP’s repeat hydrographic surveys.

Overcoming these challenges to build and sustain a global BGC-Argo array will be critical to understanding and managing the ocean’s role in climate, biodiversity, and society (Claustre et al., 2020). The BGC-Argo array revolutionizes our capability to answer important ocean climate and health questions, including tracking and predicting rates of carbon uptake, acidification, deoxygenation, and biological productivity. Answers to these science questions will significantly improve humanity’s ability to effectively manage our shared marine heritage, ocean ecosystems, fisheries, and climate in a rapidly changing world.

CONCLUSION

The Argo Program and GO-SHIP together define the global subsurface elements of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS). Other elements of GOOS are highly complementary to Argo and GO-SHIP, including the in situ systems for observing oceanic boundary currents, air-sea interactions, and high-latitude and coastal oceans, and satellite systems that observe many properties of the surface ocean. Despite the great breadth of GOOS, much of which is described elsewhere in this ocean observing supplement to Oceanography, the expansions described here address the major remaining gaps in global subsurface coverage and ocean properties. The continuing revolutionary growth of OneArgo and GO-SHIP is making GOOS increasingly multidisciplinary through sampling of the global ocean’s biology and biogeochemistry, and more far-reaching by sampling the full ocean volume.
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ARTICLE DOI: https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.supplement.02-02
The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) is a system of ocean currents that transports warm, salty water poleward from the tropics to the North Atlantic. Its structure and strength are monitored at several latitudes by mooring arrays installed by the international ocean sciences community. While the main motivation for deploying these mooring arrays is to understand the AMOC’s influence on Northern Hemisphere climate, the circulation system also plays a crucial role in distributing oxygen (O$_2$) and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) throughout the global ocean. By adding O$_2$ sensors to several of the moorings at 53°N–60°N (Figure 1) in the western Labrador Sea, Koelling et al. (2021) demonstrated that the formation of deep water, in which the AMOC brings surface water to the deep ocean, is important for supplying the oxygen consumed by deep-ocean ecosystems throughout the North Atlantic. Additionally, variability in the deep-water formation has been linked to changes in the amount of anthropogenic CO$_2$ stored in the subpolar ocean (Raimondi et al., 2021). These studies, using data collected during research cruises and a small number of moored sensors, showed that deep-water formation and the AMOC are key to oxygen and carbon cycles in the North Atlantic. However, the common assumption that the magnitude and variability of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ uptake by the ocean are tied to the dynamics of the AMOC has never been evaluated on the basis of direct observations.

The Gases in the Overturning and Horizontal circulation of the Subpolar North Atlantic Program (GOHSNAP) takes on this challenge. GOHSNAP is a US National Science Foundation funded and international collaborative effort to collect the observations necessary to relate the ocean uptake of carbon and oxygen to the ocean circulation in the Atlantic. Linking oxygen and carbon cycling to the knowledge of AMOC will have three important outcomes. First, it will aid in reconstructing the past variability in oxygen and carbon by leveraging decades-long multinational observations of AMOC. Second, it provides an opportunity to “take the ocean’s pulse,” record and understand the uptake and transport of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ right now, and more robustly predict future changes. Third, supplemented by the observations from the SeaCycler mooring in the central Labrador Sea (Atamanchuk et al., 2020) and the Biogeochemical Argo program in the region, GOHSNAP will provide unique information on the contemporary carbon cycle, including linkages between the Labrador Sea and the adjacent basins.

GOHSNAP has benefited from close collaboration with international partners to add over 30 dissolved gas sensors to the OSNAP (Overturning in the Subpolar North Atlantic Program) mooring array, joining about 20 sensors previously deployed by a Canadian/German collaboration in the western Labrador Sea (Figure 1). In 2020, the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution added another 25 sensors to monitor the Irminger Current. Collectively, these 70 sensors will enable quantification of the full-depth transport of oxygen into and out of the Labrador Sea and provide insights into the CO$_2$ uptake and transport in the region.

The ongoing effort to expand direct observations of oxygen and carbon by adding to the existing mooring array is a prime example of how oceanographers can investigate interrelated oceanic processes through international collaborations to efficiently advance research priorities that cross geographic and disciplinary boundaries.
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Ocean circulation redistributes heat, freshwater, carbon, and nutrients all around the globe. Because of their importance in regulating climate, weather, extreme events, sea level, fisheries, and ecosystems, large-scale ocean currents should be monitored continuously. The Atlantic is unique as the only ocean basin where heat is, on average, transported northward in both hemispheres as part of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). The largely unrestricted connection with the Arctic and Southern Oceans allows ocean currents to exchange heat, freshwater, and other properties with polar latitudes. A number of observational arrays, shown in Figure 1, together with the main circulation features, have been established across the Atlantic and in the Arctic Oceans to improve our understanding of and to monitor changes in the AMOC, as well as large-scale changes in water mass properties (e.g., temperature, salinity) and ocean transports (how much heat or salt is transported by currents). The arrays incorporate multiple observing platforms such as ship-based hydrographic transects, submarine cable measurements, moored sensor arrays (see Figure 2) at a number of latitudes, surface drifters, satellite observations,
expendable bathythermographs, and Argo floats (Frajka-Williams et al., 2019; Østerhus et al., 2019).

These observational arrays contribute to the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS) via the Observing Coordination Groups (OCG) networks. Increasingly, interdisciplinary and international collaboration are ensuring that these arrays quantify more than solely the physical ocean circulation and its transports of heat and salt. For example, sensors that can detect oxygen and nitrate concentrations, pH, the partial pressure of CO₂, and seawater optical properties have been added, along with water samplers, to a number of arrays to quantify biogeochemical fluxes.

While the building blocks of the arrays are nationally funded and organized (often with shorter funding periods that can jeopardize the sustained effort), it is the international collaboration and coordination that makes them truly basin-wide and allows them to bridge borders and disciplines. Moreover, the data collection efforts often bring opportunities for testing technological advances and for training early career scientists and those from developing nations.

Existing observations have greatly advanced our knowledge of large-scale ocean circulation variability at various timescales and provided first insights into its links to weather, ecosystems, and regional sea levels. The arrays continue to provide new and essential knowledge of oceanic processes. This leads to better representation of the physics in ocean and coupled models and, consequently, to reduced uncertainties in climate and operational predictions. Sustained observations remain critical for monitoring and understanding how Earth's climate system responds to global warming and for assessing the imprints of this response on society's development of climate adaptation strategies. It remains important to reconcile the results from different arrays using new technologies and improved methodologies in order to reduce uncertainties in the estimates of oceanic transports. Continued global collaboration, evaluation of the different critical components of the observing system, improving visibility of the observational array components in GOOS, and engagement with end users will be critical to ensure the sustained effort of these arrays.

In summary, the global community has been obtaining critical environmental information by measuring ocean transports at different locations in the Atlantic and at the Arctic Ocean gateways. Continued efforts based on these observational arrays are paramount to understanding and adapting to the impacts of climate and weather on humans and Earth's natural resources on land and in the ocean.
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Coastal Monitoring in the Context of Climate Change: Time-Series Efforts in Lebanon and Argentina

By Abed El Rahman Hassoun*, Rodrigo Hernández-Moresino*, Elena S. Barbieri, Juan Cruz Carbajal, Augusto Crespi-Abril, Antonella De Cian, Lucia Epherra, Milad Fakhri, Abeer Ghanem, Houssen Jaber, Marie-Thérèse Kassab, Antonela Martelli, Anthony Ouba, Flavio Paparazzo, Juan Pablo Pisoni, Elie Tarek, and Juan Gabriel Vázquez (*equal first authors)

Since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, anthropogenic activities have emitted greenhouse gases that are changing climate patterns worldwide, with exacerbated trends in some areas (MedECC, 2020). Climate change consequences are already detectable in many oceanic regions (e.g., warming, acidification, deoxygenation), and they are projected to intensify, affecting marine resources and the livelihoods of the millions of people who rely on them. Consequently, a well-equipped, multidisciplinary coastal ocean observing system is needed to monitor long-term patterns of the physical, chemical, and biological features in seawater where the most vulnerable communities and ecosystems coexist. The scientific understanding gained from such an observing system can be used to help managers and policymakers make informed decisions and tailor strategies and plans that would improve the resilience of coastal areas against climate change.

Here, we describe two coastal time-series stations, one located in the Mediterranean Sea and the other in the southwestern Atlantic Ocean, both in regions greatly impacted by climate change.

COASTAL MONITORING IN LEBANON

Time-series stations in the Mediterranean Sea are still scarce and not equally distributed within its sub-basins, a significant obstacle in characterizing physical, chemical, and biological trends with good temporal and geographic coverage in a sea undergoing multiple changes due to climate change. We discuss two time-series stations located offshore Lebanon in the southeast Mediterranean Sea, an understudied area (Figure 1). Since 1999, stations B1 and B2, 5 km off the coast, have been sampled monthly in the upper 80 m for temperature, salinity, nitrates, nitrites, phosphates, plankton, and chlorophyll-a. Chemical variables added in 2012 include total alkalinity, total dissolved inorganic carbon, pH, dissolved oxygen, and silicates. In addition, since 2012, research vessel CANA has sampled station A3, located 10 km off the coast, seasonally for the parameters listed above down to ~900 m depth.

Annual trends for the carbonate system for the period 2012–2017 demonstrate acidification in Lebanese waters (−0.0021 ± 0.001 pH units per year in the upper 80 m; Figure 2d; Hassoun et al., 2019). Further, annual variability in temperature since 1999 shows a warming trend of 0.09°C per year (Figure 2a; Ouba et al., 2016). Figure 2 also presents salinity and dissolved oxygen, although no distinct patterns are yet noted. At these stations, phytoplankton (microscopic marine algae) and zooplankton (microscopic marine organisms) populations and bottom- and water column-dwelling coccolithophores (a type of phytoplankton) are also sampled and are being studied to assess potential effects of climate change on the sea’s tiniest creatures that would ultimately affect larger marine organisms, marine resources, and eventually coastal communities.
COASTAL MONITORING IN ARGENTINA

At the same time and in a different hemisphere, another coastal monitoring effort is providing valuable climate change information. The Nuevo Gulf Oceanographic Station (Golfo Nuevo Estación Oceanográfica, GNEO), one of the first time-series oceanographic monitoring stations in Patagonia, Argentina, measures the temporal variability of physical, chemical, and biological processes in these waters. Sampling at Puerto Madryn’s pier supports evaluation of seasonal and interannual variations in temperature, nutrients, and measured pH in the water column; the dynamics of the marine plankton community (chlorophyll-a and phytoplankton and zooplankton identification); and the intensity and concentration of dust in the atmosphere. Temperature has been measured continuously since 2010 (Rivas et al., 2016), while measurement of other discrete variables began in 2018 when the GNEO was created. GNEO supplies data to the Argentine Marine Observatories Network (Red de Observación Marina Argentina, ROMA), the first national collaborative coastal physical and ecological time-series monitoring network, which collects measurements at several sites along the Argentine Sea and in Antarctic waters.

Preliminary results show wide annual temperature variability in Nuevo Gulf that is characteristic of temperate mid-latitude waters (Figure 3a). Chlorophyll-a presents a single peak of high concentration that is related to the austral spring phytoplankton bloom (Figure 3b). As the phytoplankton grow, nutrient concentration decreases in spring (Figure 3c). It will be possible to derive interannual variability and long-term trends for all parameters (temperature, chlorophyll, nutrients, and pH, among others) as this time series grows longer. These variables may allow us to detect changes that reflect some alterations in the local environment. Carbonate system and dissolved oxygen trends, variables directly connected with the anthropogenic CO2 emissions, will also be assessed to help gauge the health of the gulf ecosystem.

COMMON OPPORTUNITIES AND OBSTACLES: MONITORING FOR “THE OCEAN WE WANT”

Participation in international networks enables scientific groups to address an important challenge of the UN Ocean Decade for Sustainable Development: to ensure a sustainable ocean observing system that delivers accessible, timely, and actionable data and information to all users. In this context, both time-series areas are part of the Global Ocean Acidification Observing Network (GOA-ON). In addition, these stations are also part of the NANO-DOAP network, a global study of coastal deoxygenation, ocean acidification, and productivity at selected sites.

Monitoring stations are great platforms for promoting ocean literacy and public engagement. Associated training programs and capacity development activities offer opportunities for students to gain experience in an integrated, multidisciplinary oceanography field. We emphasize the importance of maintaining such coastal monitoring stations and increasing their numbers to gain more insights into how marine environments are coping in a changing ocean, particularly in coastal and marginal seas where global phenomena are exacerbated by local human activities. Time-series studies are crucial to enabling society to understand current and future ocean conditions, to increasing community resilience to ocean hazards, and to promoting mitigation strategies that protect marine ecosystems. The main challenge is to maintain the frequency of these coastal monitoring stations to guarantee production of high-quality data compatible with GOA-ON’s climatic data requirements and in line with UN Sustainable Development Goal 14.3.1 on ocean acidification data reporting.
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The Southern Ocean absorbs a great deal of heat and carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere, helping to shape the global climate. This oceanic service comes at a cost: the Southern Ocean is becoming warmer, fresher, less oxygenated, and more acidic—in effect heating up, losing breath, and becoming corrosive. The consequences of these changes are difficult to monitor and remain poorly understood.

With observations collected by the longest biogeochemical moored time series in the Southern Ocean, we are making an integrated and ongoing assessment of the processes that control the carbon cycle in the Subantarctic Southern Ocean (47°S, 142°E, Figure 1)—now recognized as globally important in the uptake and storage of anthropogenic CO₂.

The Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS) consists of two deep-water moorings: the Subantarctic Zone (SAZ) sediment trap mooring and the Southern Ocean Flux Station (SOFS) air-sea flux and biogeochemistry mooring, both supported by the Australian Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS; https://imos.org.au/). Mooring data from the surface ocean and the atmosphere are transmitted in near-real time, while data logged at depth are collected when the moorings are retrieved. Automated samplers on the moorings provide precious samples year-round, and annual research voyages are essential for turn-around of the moorings, sensor calibration, and process studies. All data streams combine to deliver a suite of autonomous, year-round, multitrophic observations, providing an unparalleled multiyear record of the Southern Ocean. Data collected at SOTS are freely available from the Australian Ocean Data Network (AODN; https://portal.aodn.org.au/).

The goal of SOTS is to assess air-sea exchange, biological production, and carbon uptake and export in the Subantarctic Zone. Because these exchanges occur over many spatial and temporal scales, for example, from daily insolation cycles to seasonal cycles in biological production and decadal oscillations over whole ocean basins, high-frequency observations collected over many years are required. The current context of relentless anthropogenic forcing of rapid climate change increases the urgency of this work.

**CHANGING OCEAN CHEMISTRY AT SOTS**

Measuring the amounts of CO₂ (in parts per million or ppm) in the air and the ocean provides key indicators of climate change. Sensor records from SOTS show an increase of atmospheric CO₂ from roughly 375 ppm in 2012 to 390 ppm in 2019 (Figure 2a); this change of approximately 15 ppm over seven years, or ~2.14 ppm/yr, is consistent with observations from the Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station in northwestern Tasmania (Figure 1). By contrast, in the 1960s, the rate of increase of atmospheric CO₂ was much smaller, only 0.5 ppm/yr; not only are the atmospheric CO₂ concentrations much higher today, the rate of increase has continued to grow.

Measurements of surface ocean CO₂ at SOTS show an increase from an average winter (June–August in the Southern Hemisphere) concentration of ~360 ppm in 2012 to ~388 ppm in 2019 (Figure 2a), a change of approximately 3.6 ppm/yr, exceeding the rate of CO₂ increase in the atmosphere. Increasing CO₂ corresponds to a decrease in ocean pH; average winter pH has decreased from 8.08 in 2012 to 8.05 in 2019 (Figure 2b,c). We have also observed a decrease in the carbonate saturation state, Ω (a metric for the conditions required by calcifying organisms that construct plates, scales, or shells from calcium carbonate [CaCO₃]), from 2.16 to 2.08. If Ω drops below a particular threshold, it may be more difficult for these organisms to calcify, and if Ω falls below a value of 1.0, CaCO₃ dissolution may occur.

Ocean chemistry also changes throughout the year as a result of biological processes (e.g., the growth of phytoplankton, respiration, and the process of making CaCO₃ dissolution occur).

---

**FIGURE 1: Location of the Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS), which includes the Subantarctic Zone (SAZ) sediment trap mooring and the Southern Ocean Flux Station (SOFS) air-sea flux and biogeochemistry mooring, and the Cape Grim observatory in relation to major oceanographic zones and fronts. Redrawn from original by L. Armand**
COCCOLITHOPHORE SURPRISES

Ocean acidification is expected to impact many organisms ranging from bacteria to fish, but especially calcifying organisms. In the Southern Ocean, this includes the coccolithophores, a group of beautifully ornate phytoplankton that grow in the ocean’s sunlit layers (Figure 3). Observations from SOTS reveal the relationship between seasonal biogeochemical conditions and the degree of calcification in *Emiliania huxleyi* (Rigual-Hernández et al., 2020a) as well as the broader composition of the coccolithophorid community (Figure 3) and its impacts on carbon export (Rigual-Hernández et al., 2020b).

We found that the response of coccolithophores to changing environmental conditions is complex and not always as predicted: the more heavily calcified forms of *E. huxleyi* were most abundant in the winter months, when sea surface temperature, calcite saturation state, and pH are at their annual minimum (i.e., not the best chemical conditions for building CaCO₃). It’s likely that the extensive genetic variability present in natural populations and the varying response of different genetic strains to seasonal changes in light, nutrients, and temperature underpin this result.

Additional analyses of coccolithophores collected by the SAZ sediment trap mooring allowed the role of coccolithophore biodiversity in CaCO₃ export to be determined.

Contrary to the prevailing notion that *E. huxleyi* dominates carbonate export in the Subantarctic region, we found less abundant but larger species accounted for a larger fraction of the CaCO₃ flux. This nuance is important for the assessment of probable ecosystem impacts of ocean acidification as well as their feedbacks to climate change, because changing carbonate removal by organisms affects the ability of the ocean to remove atmospheric CO₂.

Disentangling natural variability and climate change requires observations collected over all seasons and many years. The SOTS observatory provides an important baseline for understanding the evolution of the physical, chemical, and biological processes in the Subantarctic region. These observations are essential to provide advice about how climate variability is affecting us now and is likely to affect us in the future.
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Boundary currents dominate the poleward transport of warm water and the equatorward transport of cold water and are major drivers of climate variability, extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes), and marine heatwaves (Figure 1). The western boundary regions have some of the most dynamic and energetic currents in the ocean and are key to the transport of mass, heat, salt, biogeochemical properties, and plankton. The eastern boundary currents are often upwelling systems that comprise some of the most biologically productive regions in the world. Boundary currents in marginal seas provide the major means of exchange with the open ocean and impact regional ecosystems. Communication between the coast and open ocean is regulated by the boundary currents that flow along the continental slopes, affecting ecosystems, sea level, flood levels, erosion, and commercial activity.

Current strategies used to monitor boundary currents vary and are composed of individual and partially coordinated efforts. At global scales, the Argo array of profiling floats collects a growing suite of ocean physical and biogeochemical parameters, providing comprehensive coverage offshore of the continental shelf. Satellite measurements of sea surface height, temperature, salinity, and ocean color clearly identify the signals of mesoscale features at the ocean surface. Surface drifters take measurements of currents (e.g., Figure 2). The need for finer spatial and temporal resolution closer to shore is addressed with more regionally focused efforts (Figure 3). Ocean gliders provide sustained or targeted observations across a few boundary current systems that connect the coast to the open ocean. The OceanSites network of moorings has some of the longest in situ time series at strategic locations within boundary currents. The high-density/resolution expendable bathythermograph network provides repeat temperature sections with fine spatial resolution across selected boundary currents along with seasonal sampling. Each network in the Global Ocean Observing System provides observations that complement each other in their efforts to monitor boundary currents. Further expansion of the suite of observing platforms may come from technologies such as autonomous surface vehicles.
Todd et al. (2019) provide details of the current and proposed internationally coordinated system for monitoring boundary currents globally. Individual components of an effective boundary current observing system will depend on the current being monitored and its water mass properties and dynamics, and the societal, weather, and ecosystem impacts that need to be addressed. An example of where cross-network observations would be of use is to study the little understood phenomena of marine heatwaves (MHWs). With an increase in ocean heat content over the last two decades, MHWs have increased in intensity and frequency globally, particularly within the equatorial regions and western and eastern boundary current systems. MHWs are extreme climatic events that can have devastating impacts on ocean services, such as fisheries and mariculture farming, can cause major coral bleaching events resulting in a loss of biodiversity, and can intensify tropical cyclone (hurricane) systems due to the increase in sea surface temperatures (Frölicher and Laufkötter, 2018; Saranya et al., 2021). As an example, an MHW caused intensification of tropical cyclone Amphan from a category 1 to a category 5 superstorm in a little over 18 hours, which caused massive devastation in both India and Bangladesh (Saranya et al., 2021). Yet, these phenomena, their driving forces and seasonality, and their connectivity between ocean basins remain largely unknown. Sustained ocean observing systems in boundary currents systems, using a wide variety of instrument types, would go a long way toward improving our understanding of MHWs.

Several boundary currents reside within countries’ Exclusive Economic Zones. Observing boundary currents will, therefore, depend on regional efforts and cooperation. Regional pilot studies have been suggested as a mechanism for investigating the cross-platform use of ocean observing systems to monitor particularly understudied boundary currents. Crucial to this effort would be interacting with regional stakeholders to understand their needs and challenges. In this way, a fit-for-purpose, multi-instrument, multivariable monitoring system can be designed, tested, and implemented.

As the ocean continues to absorb more heat and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, sustained ocean observing, particularly within boundary currents that drive regional climate variability, is critical for understanding the varied impacts these ocean changes can bring about and for preparing coastal communities for the associated risks. To paraphrase an old African proverb, “If you want to go fast, go alone. If you want to go far, go together.” Studying these highly dynamic ocean regions effectively requires a strategy that includes global cooperation in the deployment of observing platforms, with relevant user groups regionally responding to the needs and challenges of their communities and stakeholders.
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The ocean benefits humankind by producing half of the global oxygen supply, absorbing a significant portion of atmospheric carbon dioxide, and providing us with food, transportation, and a means of livelihood. Nevertheless, human activities have been making the global ocean more acidic, warmer, and lower in oxygen (IPCC, 2021). Such changes and their impacts on ecosystems are highly variable, particularly in coastal areas where exchanges with the atmosphere and the land are more pronounced.

The capacity to collect ocean observations is insufficient in many parts of the world, particularly in developing countries (IOC-UNESCO, 2020). This is linked not only to a dearth of funding and instrumentation but also to a lack of scientific personnel with the capacity to collect, analyze, and interpret oceanographic data. The Partnership for Observation of the Global Ocean (POGO) runs capacity development programs whose objectives are to develop key skills, capabilities, and capacities needed for worldwide ocean observations, and to nurture new generations of experts and leaders in ocean affairs (see Urban and Seeyave, 2021). Since 2004, the partnership between POGO and the Nippon Foundation (NF) has offered an extensive array of training programs to nearly 500 early career scientists from 74 countries, mainly with emerging economies. The NF-POGO Alumni Network for the Ocean (NANO) was created in 2010 as a means to keep track of trainees’ career progressions, maximize the benefits from the training received, and provide further opportunities for networking and collaboration. One of NANO’s major goals is to promote joint research activities among its members, ultimately applying ocean observations for societal benefit.

Between 2012 and 2017, with the support of NF and POGO, NANO members successfully conducted five joint regional research projects that involved nearly 100 researchers from 21 countries and used coastal monitoring to study such issues as harmful algal blooms, eutrophication, coastal erosion, and invasive species.

**NANO GLOBAL RESEARCH PROJECT**

In 2017, NANO launched the research project “A global study of coastal Deoxygenation, Ocean Acidification, and Productivity at selected sites” (NANO-DOAP), which takes advantage of its members’ global distribution and their affiliations with institutions that can provide facilities for coastal monitoring. This project aims to advance knowledge and observation of the coastal ocean by consolidating existing, or establishing new, monitoring stations for essential ocean variables (EOVs) in the alumni locations. Currently, the project encompasses 22 sampling sites in 15 countries in Asia, Africa, and Latin America (Figure 1). For more information on NANO-DOAP, visit [https://nf-pogo-alumni.org/projects/global/](https://nf-pogo-alumni.org/projects/global/).

**PROJECT OUTCOMES**

Fieldwork began in December 2018 with modest financial support from NF-POGO. Participants collect data on temperature, salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen, and chlorophyll-a concentration at the ocean’s surface monthly or bimonthly (Figure 2). Additional sampled parameters (e.g., total alkalinity, suspended particulate matter, plankton community structure) are not required but are welcomed and vary among sampling sites.

**Putting Training into Practice: An Alumni Network Global Monitoring Program**


NANO-DOAP stations are not all fully equipped and, because local conditions and resources vary, sampling frequency and instrumentation are different from station to station. Thus, data calibration is underway to allow inter-station comparison. It is expected that the quality-controlled in situ data set, combined with satellite-derived data, will offer insights into spatial and temporal variations in productivity, acidification, warming, and deoxygenation.

Promoting capacity development and outreach are also aims of NANO-DOAP. Since 2019, the project has organized regular, public webinars (13 to date) where
NANO members and friends (mentors and instructors who contribute to NF-POGO trainings) present topics related to the scope of the project, sharing experiences and best practices. The NANO Webinar Series is increasing its live audience with every webinar.

NANO-DOAP participants are engaged in local outreach activities such as delivering seminars and conducting beach activities with school children and the general public, explaining matters of ocean acidification, microplastics, and the importance of sustained ocean observations. Furthermore, two NANO-DOAP sampling stations serve as platforms for citizen science initiatives, training local communities in using oceanographic instrumentation. The Argentinean El Veril NANO-DOAP station involves recreational divers interested in learning about ocean acidification and climate change impacts in its sampling campaigns. The participants at the Kenyan Mombasa station, which is located near a community coral restoration project (REEFolution Kenya), take community members with them on the sampling campaigns and provide instruction on how to work with data-gathering instruments (Figure 3).

CONTRIBUTING TO SCIENCE AND COMMUNITY

Initiatives such as NANO-DOAP can yield several benefits for the ocean sciences. Existing funding and support for early career ocean scientists and professionals are insufficient, particularly in developing nations (IOC-UNESCO, 2020). This project, run by alumni, can be seen as a continuation of the training acquired at NF-POGO programs and serves as an opportunity to expand international collaboration and to acquire experience in project management. It also provides the possibility of “cascade training,” as the members use fieldwork excursions and data collected at NANO-DOAP stations to provide hands-on training to undergraduates and graduate students at their institutions, as well as valuable community outreach and ocean literacy opportunities with engaged locals. Furthermore, the financial support allows the creation of new coastal monitoring stations and helps sustain others that are already established but under-resourced. It is expected that, with time, both the institutions the alumni are affiliated with and their local governments will see the value of the participating stations of these coastal stations and help secure funding for long-term monitoring.
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Satellite-derived sea surface temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration monthly time series for all sampling sites are annually acquired and processed by the NANO-DOAP participants in Mexico, members of the Phytoplankton Ecology Group at the Universidad Autónoma de Baja California.
Planktonic organisms are ubiquitous drifters in seas and oceans where they dominate life in terms of abundance and biomass (Bar-On and Milo, 2019). They are essential players in the functioning of marine ecosystems. Among them, microscopic algae called phytoplankton use sunlight to generate biomass from carbon dioxide and water, forming the basis of planktonic food webs, contributing about half of global primary productivity through photosynthesis, and producing about half of the world’s oxygen (Field et al., 1998). Phytoplankton are grazed by slightly larger, yet often still minuscule, animals called zooplankton that in turn are eaten by large predators such as fish or whales. Fish and many seabed-dwelling organisms such as corals or starfish commonly start their lives as zooplankton larvae. But plankton also include protists (flagellates, broadly defined), bacteria, and viruses, far tinier organisms that may feast on zooplankton leftovers or dead cells, or may live as parasites within the bodies of larger plankton cells.

DNA analyses have revealed that less than 10% of the estimated total plankton biodiversity is known and formally described today—and most of the unknown species are smaller than the width of a hair (de Vargas et al., 2015). Plankton diversity is not equally distributed across the ocean. At the global scale, plankton differ from pole to pole according to temperature gradients and the degree of seasonal changes in the environment (Righetti et al., 2019). At local scales, nutrient availability, seasonal environmental variation, and interactions among species or with anthropogenic stressors determine plankton community composition (Beaugrand, 2014).

Because plankton have short lifespans (often days or weeks) and their internal dynamics are tightly linked to global and local environmental conditions, they react quickly to environmental changes. These changes have cascading effects through the food web and significantly impact, for example, commercial fish recruitment. With the ocean under increasing stress from human activities, measuring changes in plankton communities is critical for addressing ocean health and food security and for tracking changes in nutrient and carbon cycles (including the effectiveness or disruption of the biological carbon pump; Zhang et al., 2018).

Plankton diversity can serve as an indicator for tracking anthropogenic environmental disturbances brought about by the maritime industry (e.g., Figure 1), eutrophication, industrial wastewater, invasive species, overfishing.
and climate change. Because plankton are sensitive to these stressors, they can serve as sentinels for assessing environmental health; such sentinels are required by the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), adopted in June 2008 (2008/56/CE). Specifically, the Good Environmental Status for pelagic habitats under Descriptor 1 (Biodiversity) is assessed using three common indicators listed in the Convention for the Protection of the Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR): plankton lifeform index ratios (PH1/FW5), plankton biomass (PH2), and plankton diversity (PH3). In practice, the use of plankton indicators is often limited by the lack of extensive observations with appropriate spatiotemporal resolution. Moreover, our understanding of plankton abundance and diversity is still highly fragmented due to a paucity of data and lack of standardization in sampling and analytical methods.

Novel technologies offer opportunities to meet the need for high resolution and continuous plankton data. Working within the frameworks of European research infrastructures such as LifeWatch and the European Marine Biodiversity Resource Centre (EMBRC), the Flanders Marine Institute (VLIZ) has vigorously employed newly available technology to initiate a long-term plankton time series in Belgian coastal waters and sand bank systems (Figure 2). This Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) site covers a salinity gradient that spans from salty Atlantic waters entering the North Sea from the southwest via the English Channel to the less-saline estuaries fed by the large Rhine, Meuse, and Scheldt Rivers in the northeast. This shallow area of the southern North Sea is a highly dynamic environment influenced by strong anthropogenic pressures such as offshore wind farms (Figure 1). As part of the LifeWatch observatory, monthly campaigns are organized with the research vessel Simon Stevin to collect samples of phytoplankton and zooplankton at up to 17 stations (Figures 2 and 3). This plankton monitoring effort uses state-of-the-art equipment and processing methods, from automated classification to more traditional techniques. The data collected on plankton biomass, abundance, and community composition contribute to the MSFD and OSPAR assessments in the southern North Sea.
There are many ways to collect and analyze plankton samples, because no single mesh size can effectively capture the broad size spectrum of the plankton. Thus, different methods are combined in order to focus on particular size ranges (e.g., zooplankton, micro- or nanoplankton, bacteria), with necessary precision and accuracy. For long-term monitoring programs, it is important to keep protocols and equipment consistent for the whole time series or, if changes are needed, to have the ability to track modifications.

Once the samples are prepared for analysis, the plankton can be counted manually by viewing them through a microscope. In addition, to understand what is going on in the ecosystem, it is necessary to precisely identify the species present in the samples. However, because planktonic organisms are tiny and often closely resemble each other, highly skilled taxonomists are required to identify them. Although time-consuming, this taxonomic expertise will continue to be needed to advance our understanding of the marine environment, its diversity, and the risks posed by pathogenic, toxic, or otherwise harmful species, and to inform aspects of marine conservation and management.

Increasingly, manual methods are complemented by automatic and semi-automatic devices, allowing sample collection and analysis to be combined and speeded up. When the microscopy glass slides or counting trays are replaced by a narrow photo chamber and the manually operated pipette by thin tubing connected to dosage pumps, we can reach a throughput of several plankton individuals per second. Image recognition algorithms trained by thousands of manually identified photos can then recognize the plankton based on their shapes. However, some organisms, like amoebas, have no specific recognizable shape, and others, like some dinoflagellates, ciliates, and fungi, live as parasites within other planktonic species. Identifying these organisms was very laborious, if not impossible, until the application of DNA-based techniques. Combining both high throughput microscopy and characterization of the DNA pool in bulk plankton samples (e.g., using a technique referred to as metabarcoding) provides a solution to the demands of modern plankton ecology research.

**HIGH-THROUGHPUT MICROSCOPIC IMAGING OF UNICELLULAR LIFE**

In recent years, a large variety of flow-through plankton imaging instruments have been developed. At VLIZ, we monitor microplankton (50–300 µm) with the help of a FlowCAM (Figure 4). This automated imaging device combines flow cytometry and microscopy to take traditional particle counting to the next level. An image of each particle is taken while it passes the camera’s field of view (Figure 4). From this image, more than 60 particle parameters are calculated, from simple metrics like length and width to more complex metrics like transparency, roughness, and edge gradient (i.e., whether the particle is in focus). In this way, the user can quantify particles, obtain valuable metrics, and create an image library for a water sample in fewer than 30 minutes.

At VLIZ, the monthly sampling campaigns have contributed to the development of an extensive and validated FlowCAM image library. This library enables the use of deep learning approaches for image classification. In collaboration with the Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), a prototype artificial intelligence (AI) classifier was developed and introduced into the data workflow. Integration of this automated classification system into FlowCAM monitoring reduces time spent on image identification and facilitates faster data releases to the public. Over the past 3.5 years, FlowCAM monitoring has yielded 1.4 million particle images categorized in more than 140 taxonomic groups, with the majority of the phytoplankton groups belonging to diatoms and dinoflagellates and the majority

---

**FIGURE 4.** (a) The phytoplankton sample is stained with lugol solution before being run through the benchtop FlowCam device (background). (b) Photos of micro-eukaryotic plankton passing through the microscopic flow chamber of the FlowCam. Magnification scale differs among portrayed organisms, which range from 50 µm to 300 µm in size.
of other groups belonging to ciliates. As different aspects of this FlowCAM monitoring are continuously re-evaluated and evolve, fine-tuning of protocols will lead to increased taxonomic resolution in the data set and expansion of the studied size range to better capture the patchy plankton dynamics in the Belgian part of the North Sea. One of the shortcomings of the FlowCAM and other image-based techniques is the reduced accuracy in taxonomic identification compared to traditional microscopy. To better quantify plankton community composition, models for image-based classifications will need to be improved and image-based information could be combined with genetic approaches (see below).

SCANNING BIODIVERSITY

Not all plankton fit through the narrow FlowCAM tubing. Larger zooplankton such as crustaceans or larvae of fish and seafloor-dwelling organisms can be imaged with the ZooScan. This device is essentially a high-resolution (4,800 dpi) flatbed scanner onto which the sample is poured (Figure 5). ZooScan does not distinguish among closely related species, and the identification is conducted at a higher taxonomic rank. But many zooplankton have larval stages that go through one or several metamorphoses until they resemble their parents, and automated analysis of scanning images is effective in distinguishing among these early life stages. The ZooScan therefore informs us not only about the taxonomic composition of zooplankton communities but also about their developmental stages. In addition, image analysis can be used for standardized size measurements, providing information on growth and ecology of the scanned organisms. Upscaling image recognition to the next level with the use of AI and the implementation of all our 2.2 million validated images will further exploit the potential of this technique, as the accuracy of prediction will rise, whereas the time spent on manual validation will drop.

To date, 976 samples collected between January 2014 and December 2020 have been scanned, resulting in 2,218,383 scanned particles, stored into 22 taxonomic groups. These samples are both bio-archived as physical samples (enabling genomic analysis or taxonomic analysis by microscopy at a later stage) and stored digitally as Darwin Core Archives (DwC-A) for dissemination to other frameworks, such as the European Ocean Biodiversity Information System (EurOBIS).

UNDER THE WAVES

In the new era of advanced optical techniques, it is no longer necessary to collect physical samples, as the Video Plankton Recorder (VPR) can provide direct images. This device is towed behind a ship and contains a high-speed camera that takes photos of planktonic organisms as they pass by (Figure 6). Because photos are taken in the water column, the VPR observes fragile forms of marine life without damaging them, enabling registration and quantification of gelatinous plankton, colony-forming species, and
dead organic particles (called “marine snow”) more effectively than net sampling. For example, the VPR can quantify colonies of *Phaeocystis* spp., a harmful algal species that can often be seen as thick layers of white foam along the Belgian coast in spring.

Because data are collected in situ, the VPR permits analysis of plankton clouds at high resolution in three dimensions along with observations of their reactions to water quality, vertical stratification, or marine snow. Using this method, we observed that densities of certain plankton species can strongly differ between bottom and surface water layers. Furthermore, having the plankton samples in a digital format opens up the potential to accelerate the classification procedure. So far, the biggest challenge for us is to build an automated classifier that can process and validate the millions of collected images that are still validated manually but that contribute to the growing image library that will serve as a learning set for future classifiers.

BARCODING BIODIVERSITY

The DNA of a plankton sample is all that is needed to identify the species using a technique called metabarcoding. A short sequence of DNA—called a barcode—is rapidly copied from the original DNA mixture using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method, and then read in a sequencing apparatus. The basic premise of this approach is that each species can be identified by its unique genetic barcode (Hebert et al., 2003; de Vargas et al., 2015). At this stage, no taxonomic expertise is needed to process the sample, and we can easily scale up the throughput by using liquid-handling robots and 96 well plates. Metabarcoding has long been a cumbersome method requiring large, bulky, and expensive DNA sequencing equipment. We therefore turned to nanopore sequencing technology, which allows us to perform all analyses in our own laboratory with a handheld device (Figure 7), thus reducing the time to first results from weeks to days or even hours. The largest bottleneck in metabarcoding approaches is arguably the incompleteness of reference databases. While we can easily generate thousands of barcodes, each of them needs to be compared to a reference database that links species names with their barcode. These databases are still incomplete, so especially for microscopic species, we might obtain sequences that are unique to their species, but it is difficult or impossible to confidently assign them a taxonomic name.

An important application for which we use DNA metabarcoding is recognizing non-indigenous species. For example, using this method, we recently detected the invasive copepod *Oithona davisae* from the Indo-West Pacific for the first time in Belgian waters. This tiny zooplankton species has probably been living in the area for years, but no funding or expertise were available for microscopy-based monitoring. There is no question that more thorough sequencing will yield a host of such previously undetected invasive species in the near future.

GENETIC DATA REVEAL THE BEHAVIOR AND FUNCTION OF ORGANISMS

Image and DNA-based methods identify and classify planktonic life, but they are not designed to answer a key question in plankton research: What are these oceanic drifters doing? Evaluating how global change is affecting the plankton community is key to predicting our ocean’s future. Laboratory experiments usually infer the functional response of plankton to variations in one or two factors, for example, temperature and pH, under controlled conditions. This approach fails to describe the broad spectrum of responses that can be expected in a natural community experiencing a multitude of interactions, behaviors, and other ecological effects that occur with environmental variation. Recent advances in both molecular genetics and computational biology greatly facilitate drawing an increasingly accurate and detailed picture of the functional activity within plankton ecosystems (Carradec et al., 2018).
The functions of an organism's individual proteins are encoded in its DNA sequence, which translates proteins via the intermediate messenger RNA. At this intermediate state, the translation can be intercepted, and the activity of gene translation can be quantified. These strings of RNA sequences will then be counted and compared with databases containing sequences of known functions. This method is easily scalable for application to mixed plankton samples, and it provides a functional profile of a plankton community. Yet, this method is still far from perfect. One reason is the incompleteness of plankton reference databases. In most studies, only about 50% of sequences can be assigned to genes with known functions. The remainder is the biological “dark matter” of plankton genetics.

We recently set up a multiyear spatiotemporal sampling effort to generate environmental metatranscriptomic data. We sample surface water micro-eukaryotic plankton from fixed locations monthly, with additional diurnal sampling events. From a pilot sequencing run on 12 samples from different seasons, 818,009 gene-containing sequences were assembled. Differential expression of these genes gave us a first insight into how the metabolism of different North Sea plankton assemblages shift over time and space.

**MAINSTREAMING AUTOMATED BIODIVERSITY OBSERVATIONS**

The FlowCAM, ZooScan, VPR, and DNA-based methods demonstrate that plankton data collection can be automated to a great extent. By reducing the number of expensive human work hours, more samples can be acquired and processed for the same cost, increasing spatial and temporal resolution of ecological observations. But improved automation and processing speed is not the only goal here. Machines do not have human subjectivity, which is needed to better standardize data collection across countries and make data sets more useful for global analyses. Researchers worldwide are now further automating plankton data collection by mounting continuously operating instruments on platforms such as autonomous underwater vehicles, drifters, or buoys, potentially reaching very remote areas of the planet.

Automated sample processors may be combined into networks not only across countries but also within the same area for detecting different organisms or assessing plankton size fractions. Ecological processes act across taxonomic groups, for example, in food webs. Deploying as many different sampling techniques as possible and combining them with sensors for abiotic measurements enables us to gain insight into relevant ecological processes such as the global carbon cycle.

Automatic collection of large data sets is pushing plankton research further into the field of big data science and providing systems-level insights. With such data sets, we eventually will be able to study not only the presence and abundance of plankton but also how different species interact in ecological networks. We urgently need such understanding to be able to predict and mitigate adverse effects of global environmental change, including tipping points where interactions between species and their environments change nonlinearly. We have no option but to embrace new technologies at global scale to understand our ocean in a mandatory step toward preventing further harm to its health.
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New Technologies Aid Understanding of the Factors Affecting Adélie Penguin Foraging

By Walker O. Smith Jr., David G. Ainley, Karen J. Heywood, and Grant Ballard

The Ross Sea (Figure 1) is home to 33% of the world’s Adélie penguins (*Pygoscelis adeliae*), as well as substantial numbers of Emperor penguins (*Aptenodytes forsteri*), Weddell seals (*Leptonychotes weddellii*), and pelagic birds (Smith et al., 2014). Among these, the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Resources (CCAMLR) has designated the Adélie penguin an “indicator species” for monitoring ecosystem structure and function in the newly designated Ross Sea Region Marine Protected Area (RSR-MPA). This penguin, among the best-known seabirds, has been studied for decades at multiple locations with investigations that have delved into its population history (both recent and through thousands of years), survival strategies, responses to environmental changes, and feeding ecology (summarized in Ainley, 2002, with numerous papers published thereafter).

Penguin populations are increasing in the southern Ross Sea, potentially indicating a broad response to an environment being altered by climate change and increased fishing activity. Despite extensive research, our understanding of the species’ response to its changing habitat and food web is incomplete. Sea ice in the Ross Sea region has been increasing, at least until recent years, and this would be expected to affect populations of species that depend on the ice for predator avoidance and availability of prey (crystal krill *Euphausia crystallorophias* and silverfish *Pleuragramma antarctica*, both associated with ice; Ainley, 2002). In addition, industrial fishing for Antarctic toothfish, a competitor for the same prey, has been practiced since 1997, potentially increasing prey abundance and reducing competition. Understanding the effects of these and other habitat changes on the penguin, its competitors, and prey requires further investigation.

The RSR-MPA was established in 2017 with the major goal “to conserve natural ecological structure, dynamics, and function throughout the Ross Sea region at all levels of biological organization by protecting habitats that are important to native mammals, birds, fishes, and invertebrates.” Given that southern Ross Sea penguins live mostly within the RSR-MPA during their life cycles, ecological interactions near their nesting grounds are important to the entire MPA, and understanding the role of penguins within the continental shelf food web and biogeochemical cycles will directly facilitate achievement of RSR-MPA goals.

Ongoing advances in the use of bio-loggers on animals that are near the top of the food web have provided insights into these animals’ ecology. Various devices that can be attached to penguins to quantify predatory behavior in time and space include simple sensors that record the conductivity (salinity) and temperature of seawater; fluorometers; “crittercams” (cameras mounted on an animal to monitor diving and feeding behavior); time-depth recorders; satellite tracking tags; and accelerometers (measuring head movements, which are an indication of active feeding; Figure 2). The devices have become small enough that they have no effect on penguin behavior. Using ocean gliders in the Ross Sea (Figure 3), especially those that carry active acoustic devices for monitoring the distribution of Adélie penguin prey in the water column, has also allowed an assessment of temporal and spatial changes in prey abundance during spring and summer, as well as their changes relative to the abundance of phytoplankton (microscopic marine algae) and water column structure (Ainley et al., 2015). Advanced molecular tools (stable isotopes, DNA analyses) permit the diets of penguins to be more easily quantified. Satellite imagery and passively recording sounds in the sea have allowed determination of the distribution of competing species (whales, seals) and their overlap with penguin foraging areas.
Penguins occupying large colonies like Cape Crozier (which has over 120,000 breeding pairs) must travel further to find food in late summer because prey availability closer to the colony has been severely depleted by penguin, seal, and whale feeding. In addition, because feeding frequency and food quality are very important to chick growth and survival, nutrition demands of their chicks increase as the ice-free season progresses (Ainley et al., 2015). Numerous additional factors affect post-fledging chick survival (predation, episodic weather events), and the effects of ecological interactions within the “preyscape” and of oceanographic conditions await further investigation. Beyond the area of intense predator foraging, vertical distributions and school/swarm structures of fish and krill may be significantly different (e.g., larger, more cohesive, and shallower) from those within. These prey patches would thus be “reservoirs” available once penguins leave their central foraging area. Predation- and predator-induced changes in prey distributions could be further assessed by quantifying prey habitat quality and by determining the effects of oceanographic habitat attributes, such as water column characteristics and phytoplankton concentrations, on prey distributions in areas of higher predation.

To better understand and monitor the food web dynamics and structure of a Southern Ocean trophic hotspot, and to resolve the penguin population growth paradox, a combination of technologies and approaches is needed, including:

1. Deployment of a suite of gliders with acoustic devices in a tight grid to measure the composition and assess the size, location, and density of prey, both inside and outside of intense penguin foraging areas
2. Deployment of gliders and miniature loggers attached to penguins to quantify oceanographic patterns (such as vertical ocean characteristics, irradiance, and particulate matter concentrations) in the ocean preyscape
3. Use of penguin bio-logging to quantify foraging areas and their seasonal changes as well as overlap with competing species
4. Direct and DNA stable isotope analyses of penguin diet
5. Quantification of abundance and distribution of competing whales and seals using satellite imagery

New technologies have revolutionized our understanding of numerous aspects of the ocean. By merging these techniques with new hypotheses about basic ecological processes operating in the ocean, a far greater understanding of factors controlling mesopredator activities and distributions can be attained in harsh environments such as the Ross Sea. This enhanced knowledge will ultimately lead to the conservation and preservation of The Last Ocean (title of award-winning documentary film by Peter Young) and enable a new generation of marine scientists to unravel remaining unknowns.
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Vulnerable marine ecosystems (VMEs) are typically identified through detection of indicator taxa and predictive models of their distribution. In the deep sea, analysis of seafloor imagery generates quantitative data that underpin studies of the distribution of VMEs such as cold-water coral communities. The vulnerability of cold-water corals to bottom trawling makes assessment of their distribution and biodiversity a priority for marine spatial management.

Some of the most diverse and globally significant cold-water coral communities are associated with clusters of seamounts off the coast of Tasmania, several of which are enclosed by two offshore Australian Marine Parks. These extensive reef complexes, located 950–1,350 m below the surface, are dominated by a single coral species, *Solenosmilia variabilis*, which supports a wide variety of soft corals, sponges, echinoderms, and other invertebrates (Figure 1).

The seamount fauna in this region has a documented history of ecological damage from a deep-sea bottom trawl fishery. Some areas are still open to fishing, and some have been closed for 20 years. Previous studies have found substantial reductions in coral cover and significantly fewer species of bottom-dwelling organisms on heavily trawled seamounts.

During a 2018 survey aboard R/V *Investigator*, researchers from Australia’s National Science Agency (CSIRO) and from the Australian Government’s National Environmental Science Program (NESP) Biodiversity Hub, together with marine park managers from Parks Australia, collected HD video and still images from seamounts along more than 250 km of seabed, using an advanced, towed underwater camera system developed by CSIRO.

Reviews of collected imagery documented trawling damage on 45 out of a total 51 seamounts, with the most evidence of impact on shallow seamounts (peaks at <950 m depth) where recent and repeated trawling had reduced stony coral reefs to rubble (Williams et al., 2020b). However, many of the seamounts protected by Australian Marine Parks off southern Tasmania displayed few or no signs of impact.

This was the first study to examine trawling impacts in detail at a regional scale. Detecting impacts was critical to the identification of a suite of impact types and to the development of a set of indicators (Williams et al., 2020a). Analysis of image data also helped refine a method for quantifying the spatial extent of VMEs (Williams et al., 2020b). A model prediction of suitable habitat for coral reefs in the Tasmanian area was much greater than the area of coral reef estimated from imagery.

Tasmanian coral reef VMEs range in area from 0.02 km² to 1.16 km², which is relatively large compared to *S. variabilis* reefs mapped on the typically bigger seamounts around New Zealand (Williams et al., 2020a). Yet, the VME area is small compared to scales derived from regional model predictions of suitable habitat (typically based on 1 km² grid cells), and much smaller than the smallest units at which spatial management is implemented (hundreds to thousands of square kilometers). The results will be used to improve predictive VME model performance at larger spatial scales and beyond single taxa. The data from the image analysis are also being incorporated into machine learning algorithms to develop automated detection of coral reef substrate from imagery.
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**FIGURE 1.** *Solenosmilia variabilis* is the foundation reef-forming species that supports a host of taxa found on Tasmania’s seamounts.
Through international collaborations and advances in technology, ocean observatories are increasingly capable of monitoring over long time periods. The Porcupine Abyssal Plain Sustained Observatory (PAP–SO), located at 4,850 m depth in the Northeast Atlantic, is one of a small number of oceanic sites that has achieved monitoring to full ocean depths over several decades. It has monitored seafloor ecology since 1985, water column particle flux since 1992, and surface ocean and atmosphere parameters since 2003. The observatory is serviced annually, providing the opportunity to carry out conventional ship-based observations, sensor comparison, and sampling.

From the start, PAP-SO has sought to understand long-term change in the ocean—from surface to seafloor. The initial aim was to study seasonality in the supply of food particles that settle from the surface ocean to the deep-sea floor and their role in structuring the ecosystem. Today, observatory research is increasingly focused on the causes and consequences of multidecadal change and on monitoring essential ocean variables such as ocean temperature and salinity; carbon dioxide, oxygen, and nutrient content; particulate matter; and phytoplankton, zooplankton, and seafloor invertebrate abundance (Figure 1).

The observatory also provides an excellent testbed for new sensors and platforms. Increasing use of autonomous systems has expanded the spatial extent and temporal resolution of observations. Autonomous vehicles host high-definition deep-sea cameras that capture photos of animals, and sensors mounted on underwater gliders collect oceanographic measurements to track the development of the spring phytoplankton bloom.

Observations of multidecadal duration are essential for the detection of long-term change in the ocean and are key to understanding our varying climate. The latest PAP-SO results demonstrate the importance of long-term records of ocean variables and processes (e.g., Hartman et al., 2021). For example, observatory data have revealed increased seasonal variability in seawater CO2 and a decline in pH, driven by biological productivity. Close to the abyssal seafloor (>3,000 m depth), sampling of scavenging crustacean populations since 1985 has shown a major change in the dominant species that may be linked to upper ocean climate as assessed by the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation—a 60–80-year cycle in sea surface temperature.

The scientific results, underlying data, and biological specimen collections from PAP-SO are made publicly available and are used to support international ocean observing initiatives. The UK National Oceanography Centre operates the observatory collaboratively with the UK Met Office, and is primarily supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council's Climate Linked Atlantic Sector Science project.
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FIGURE 1. The Porcupine Abyssal Plain Sustained Observatory monitors essential ocean variables from the atmosphere to the seafloor (4,850 m), with a satellite-linked surface buoy, sediment traps deep in the water column (3,000 m), the collection of specimens including scavenging crustaceans near the seafloor, and photographic surveys of wildlife nearly 5 km beneath the sea surface.
Microscopic cyanobacteria, or blue-green algae, are found in a wide range of aquatic environments (freshwater, brackish, and marine). They can create a nuisance due to biomass accumulation and the production of toxins, which can be harmful to humans and other animals. Cyanobacteria exist in a variety of sizes and shapes (Figure 1a–c), and their blue-green coloration (some species are reddish) is attributed to the presence of phycobiliproteins that are the primary light-harvesting pigments for their photosynthesis and that are autofluorescent (Figure 1b–e).

When the reactive forms of nitrogen needed by all primary producers for growth are not available in the water, some cyanobacteria can convert free nitrogen ($N_2$) into more reactive nitrogen forms (nitrogen fixation) to sustain themselves in conditions that would not support most algal growth.

Blooms of cyanobacteria are a known issue in many parts of the world. In the Baltic Sea they are commonly observed during summer, when biomass accumulations can cover large areas (Figure 1h). Although more than 200 species have been reported, Baltic bloom formation is mainly attributed to a few filamentous species (Figure 1a). As one of the largest brackish water bodies in the world, the unique Baltic Sea environment is sensitive to climatic and anthropogenic stressors, such as the excess nutrient loads that result from human activities and lead to eutrophication.

During summer, when reactive forms of nitrogen are scarce, the growth of cyanobacteria is favored by their capacity for fixing $N_2$, by high inorganic phosphorus concentrations in the waters, and by increasing water temperatures. Inefficient zooplankton grazing results in the accumulation of cyanobacteria and affects how nutrients, matter, and energy flow in the environment.

Increased cyanobacteria biomass and surface accumulations serve as indicators of Baltic Sea health. Accumulated cyanobacteria biomass often negatively affects recreation and fisheries, as it can not only be aesthetically displeasing but also poses a real threat for humans and domestic animals due to the potential toxicity. Thus, the detection of cyanobacteria blooms in the Baltic Sea is of paramount importance to the environment, aquatic resources, and human health, and it is needed to manage mitigation.

Systematic observations of cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea constitute one part of monitoring activities for the larger phytoplankton community that provide environmental quality indicators for the region. Baltic Sea phytoplankton monitoring began in Finland in 1979, with observations limited to 12 offshore locations that were sampled with a research vessel. The phytoplankton monitoring network was expanded to coastal areas in the early 1990s, and today the phytoplankton monitoring network includes 121 locations in waters around Finland (Figure 2a). Phytoplankton cells larger than 2 µm, including cyanobacteria, are counted and identified using quantitative microscopy, employing the only method that is based on international standards in order to allow comparison of data between countries and provide a basis for implementing new methods. However, this method requires samples to be fixed and an expert for species identification, and it is time consuming (analysis of each sample takes a few hours).

FIGURE 1. Micrographs of different live cyanobacteria were made using (a) bright field light microscopy and (b,c) imaging flow cytometry. (d,e) Optical properties of organisms depicted in (b) and (c) show different pigment compositions (red, yellow, and orange lines). Cyanobacteria surface accumulations are observed (f) with aerial photography, (g) along the coast, and (h) by satellite. The arrow at left indicates the scales and size ranges in which cyanobacteria can be observed, from individual cells to biomass accumulations over extensive areas. Image sources: (a–e): L. Haraguchi. (f) I. Lastumäki. (g) E. Lehtinen. (h) J. Attila.
In the early 1990s, the Alg@line program for observations using ships of opportunity was introduced. Commercial Baltic ferry lines are equipped with automated sampling systems called FerryBoxes that collect discrete water samples for laboratory analyses and have sensors for detecting the specific pigment fluorescence of cyanobacteria (Figure 1b–e) in high spatial resolution (Figure 2c,d).

In 1998, summertime national cyanobacterial bloom monitoring was initiated, with bloom intensity estimated visually in lakes, along coasts, and in archipelago areas. Coordinated by Finnish environmental authorities, it engages citizen volunteers and incorporates observations from routine border guard flights.

Satellite observations for detecting the cyanobacteria blooms were established in Finland in 2004, and since 2016, an open web map interface showing the areas of surface layer blooms, true color imagery (Figures 1h and 2c), and surface water temperatures has been available. Additionally, annual composites that show summer surface blooms detected by satellite observations are generated.

In 2015, the Utö Atmospheric and Marine Research Station was established in the outer Archipelago Sea for continuous, autonomous collection of observations using fluorescence sensors (like those used in FerryBox) and imaging in-flow techniques for identification and quantification of cyanobacteria (Figure 2e–i). Although the in-flow methods allow for fast and reliable analysis of individual particles in a sample, these relatively new methods are still not standardized, so experts are required to adjust the automated classifications and to manage the massive amount of data produced by the continuous measurements.

The evolution of the monitoring network and the broadening of its spatiotemporal coverage has only been possible through the combined efforts of research institutions, international partners, and public participation, as well as the use of a variety of technologies. Multiple techniques can be used to observe cyanobacteria, each with different strengths and weaknesses, and combining techniques improves observation capacity and therefore cyanobacteria monitoring (Figure 2). Network observations are coordinated by the Finnish Environment Institute, which compiles the information as a national summer bloom status report that is made available to the public weekly. The evolution of Finland’s Baltic Sea cyanobacteria observations over recent decades illustrates not only the various methods available for observing the ocean but also the importance of engaging diverse partners, including citizens, to accomplish observations of marine phenomena.
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Since the first samples drawn from the Sargasso Sea were analyzed nearly 70 years ago, waters in this subtropical region of the North Atlantic Ocean have grown warmer (+1.2°C) and saltier (+0.11), lost oxygen (8% over past 40 years), and gained anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂; 72% increase), and in the recent decade, these changes have accelerated (Bates and Johnson, 2020). We present the findings from shipboard observations in the deep Sargasso Sea at Hydrostation S and the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series, two time-series stations maintained by the Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences, which is situated near the center of the North Atlantic Ocean subtropical gyre. Oceanographic data collected at these two stations provide critical information about ocean changes taking place and what these changes might mean to the future of our planet.

**HYDROSTATION S**

Following the Second World War, Henry Stommel, a pioneering oceanographer from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, established Hydrostation “S,” located approximately 25 km southeast of Bermuda (Figure 1), as a place for collecting sustained observations of the deep sea. Biweekly collection of core water column measurements (temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen from the surface to 3,400 m depth; to 2,600 m prior to 2000) at Hydrostation S began in 1954. To date, more than 1,417 cruises have been undertaken to Hydrostation S over a period of more than 70 years, constituting the longest set of prolonged observations in the open ocean anywhere on the planet.

**THE BERMUDA ATLANTIC TIME-SERIES STUDY**

The Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) was established in the late 1980s to support monitoring of and experimental work on the physics, chemistry, and biology of the ocean, at a location approximately 75 km to the southeast of Hydrostation S (Figure 1). The BATS site has been sampled bimonthly to monthly during more than 450 cruises to date.

**SEASONALITY AND LONG-TERM CHANGES IN THE SARGASSO SEA**

Menzel and Ryther (1960) first documented seasonal cycles in the well-mixed surface waters at the Hydrostation S site, with subsequent papers improving our understanding of the year-to-year and multidecadal variability of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre and climate change influences. Additional understanding has emerged from observations at the BATS site. Over the last 40 years, these two ocean time series show that the surface waters of the Sargasso Sea have warmed by more than 1°C, with significant warming over the last decade. Summer surface temperatures have increased at a higher rate than winter (i.e., 0.26° ± 0.01°C per year compared to 0.10° ± 0.01°C per year; Figure 2). As a result, the winter ocean season (with waters cooler than 22°C) is shorter by almost a month in the 2010s compared to the 1980s. In contrast, the summer period (with waters warmer than 25°C) has lengthened by nearly a month (Bates and Johnson, 2020). Warming has not been confined to the surface mixed layer. Average temperatures in the upper 0–500 m depth zone have increased by 1.4°C since the early 1970s, with half of this increase (+0.7°C) occurring in the past decade. This represents a substantial increase in heat content of the upper ocean. Surface waters in the Sargasso Sea have also become measurably saltier over this period.

Observations at BATS have documented decadal shifts in marine phytoplankton photosynthesis, chlorophyll, and biomass from lower to higher values in the 1980s and 1990s, and in the last couple of decades, a reduction once more. The concentration and supply of inorganic nutrients that support photosynthesis and marine plant growth have also varied, with a shift in the type of phytoplankton species from those with large cells to those with smaller cells, such as picoplankton. Despite the change in the ecology of the Sargasso Sea, suspended particulate organic matter and dissolved organic carbon have increased by ~30% and 2% per decade, respectively, and organic matter export has increased (Bates and Johnson, 2020). These changes
in photosynthesis, marine plant biomass, and community composition have been accompanied by a rate of loss of dissolved oxygen that is notably higher than in other oceanic areas (Bates and Johnson, 2020).

OCEAN ACIDIFICATION

Over the past 40 years, the Sargasso Sea has also absorbed human-produced carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere, almost doubling the amount of CO₂ in the upper waters (Bates and Johnson, 2020). As a consequence of the 72% increase in anthropogenic CO₂ content, pH has decreased by 0.1 (and ocean acidity has increased by nearly 30%), with present-day ocean chemistry less favorable for the formation of calcium carbonate by the phytoplankton community. Over a few decades, the CO₂ and pH chemical conditions have reached numbers outside of the seasonal ranges observed in the 1980s and beforehand.

DEEPER OCEAN CHANGES

The deep waters of the Sargasso Sea offer a broader temporal and spatial perspective of long-term ocean change. Observations at Hydrostation S and BATS have revealed a slowing of the rate of formation of subtropical mode water (Stevens et al., 2020). This water mass forms south of the Gulf Stream in wintertime and is important to setting nutrient conditions, phytoplankton growth, and biomass across the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. Such changes in the circulation of the deep-water currents flowing past Bermuda reflect reorganization of global ocean circulation patterns as well as changes in the temperature and salinity of intermediate and deep waters.

The oxygen minimum zone (i.e., <180 µmoles per kg) at Hydrostation S has also expanded, with the shallow horizon rising from ~600 m to ~500 m depth, particularly in the last decade, potentially reflecting changes in the time since these waters were in contact with the atmosphere and perhaps increased remineralization. Since 1970, the temperature and salinity properties of this water mass have not significantly changed, whereas dissolved oxygen has decreased at a rate of 2.5 µmoles per kg per decade (p-value <0.01), representing a loss of ~7% in the past 50 years.

Since the 1950s, Labrador Sea Water, found at ~2,000 m depth at Hydrostation S, has generally cooled by ~0.13°C and freshened by ~0.03. More recently, a reverse trend has been observed, with warming and increasing salinity in the last decade. These observations prompt questions about what processes operate in the North Atlantic Ocean over decadal time periods and their causes.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OCEAN OBSERVATIONS

Ocean observations such as those made at Hydrostation S and BATS constitute only a handful of marine sites that have been maintained over more than a few decades. As such, they represent sentinels of ocean change for oceanographers and those interested in the ocean environment. The open ocean offers few visible signs of change, but data collected over the past 40 years show that the ocean’s physics, chemistry, and biology have changed significantly due to human influences. With future hypothesis-testing and discovery, our collaborative community of scientists endeavors to uncover critical knowledge about the causes and future of environmental change. BATS and Hydrostation S data have been used by thousands of researchers and students and incorporated into more than 1,000 research papers. These ocean time series are also complemented by long-term observations of sinking ocean particles (Ocean Flux Program) and decade-long studies of the molecular biology of the Sargasso Sea (e.g., BIOSCOPE, BIOS-Simons Collaboration on Ocean Processes and Ecology).
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Long-term monitoring and data collection provide the foundational knowledge and context for understanding how climate change impacts Alaskan marine ecosystems. A generation of ocean observing by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Ecosystems and Fisheries Oceanography Coordinated Investigations (EcoFOCI) is at the forefront of detecting regional and global climate change and its impacts on ecosystems (Figure 1). Using a variety of observing tools, including research vessels and mooring arrays, and continuous integration of new technology, the program’s advances in marine science have provided contextual information to enable direct and effective management and sustainable use of fisheries resources in the Bering Sea. EcoFOCI was thus poised to address emergent physical and biological questions arising from an unprecedented loss of winter sea ice in the US Arctic in 2018 and 2019.

FROM COLD POOL TO COLD PUDDLE: SEA-ICE THICKNESS, DURATION, AND EXTENT ARE ON THE DECLINE

A suite of long-term observations has shown that sea ice shapes the Bering Sea ecosystem. Ice presence in winter kick-starts the production of spring algae and zooplankton, which in turn provides the food base for the region’s vast international fisheries. Historically, cold winter winds drove ice southward across the Bering Sea shelf, creating extensive ice that could cover an area larger than Texas and California combined. But recent shifts in wind patterns have altered the historical dynamics of ice, algae, plankton, and fisheries. In 2018, winter winds began to blow from the south and last for a month or more, allowing warm air to push against the ice and prevent its southward advance (Stabeno and Bell, 2019). Such changes were predicted to occur as part of long-term climate change—but not for another 30 years (Stabeno and Bell, 2019).

The bottom layer (~30 m deep) of the water column on the eastern Bering Sea shelf, where temperatures remain below 2°C, is known as the cold pool, and it is determined by sea ice extent. In a cold year, like 2012, it extends ~200 km (a third of the shelf width). The cold water creates a barrier for commercially important fish species like Pacific cod and pollock that prefer warmer waters. As a result, the fish are usually confined to the southeastern Bering Sea and the outer shelf. The 2018 shifts in wind patterns resulted in the smallest cold pool on record (Stabeno and Bell, 2019), and conditions in 2019 were similar.

Near-bottom temperature measurements from EcoFOCI
moorings, while cold, were the warmest on record in 2018 (Stabeno and Bell, 2019). This revealed a “cool” pool in parts of the northern Bering Sea earlier in summer that did not last. The dynamics of how the cold pool shrinks, or becomes a puddle, are not fully understood. The lack of ice in 2018 led to the latest spring bloom on record in the northern Bering Sea, impacting the base of the food web and the commercial fisheries it supports.

INCREASED WARM TEMPERATURES COULD ALTER THE BASIC STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION OF THE FOOD WEB, BUT NOT EVERYTHING IS BEHAVING AS PREDICTED

It was thought that ocean warming would open up the northern Bering Sea to pollock and Pacific cod, potentially supporting a larger, more productive fishery. The dramatically small cold pool in 2018 and 2019 did result in large numbers of adult Pacific cod and pollock emerging in the northern Bering Sea in the summer months. However, ecosystem surveys showed that species of oceanic plants and animals at the base of the food web also changed, with associated lower food production and reduced food quality (Duffy-Anderson et al., 2019). In addition, fish such as herring were found in low numbers. Seabirds died off, and numbers of marine mammals such as seals and whales were reduced. These impacts reach farther, to the Chukchi Sea, where local communities are feeling the changes (Huntington et al., 2020).

Physical oceanographic data such as temperature and salinity collected in the water column by moored instruments, and biological samples collected from ships, help demystify the connections between the distinct regions of the US Arctic. By 2020, the M2 “Peggy” mooring had been collecting oceanographic data in the Bering Sea for a quarter century, creating one of the longest time series in the world ocean (Figure 2). This mooring, coupled with three other long-term moorings (M4, 1999; M5, 2005; M8, 2005) and newly initiated observatories, cover more than 1,000 km and provide near-continuous, year-round measurements of the water column in this region (Figure 1). New technologies are continually incorporated into these observing systems, strengthening foundational science and improving stewardship of ocean resources in these remote and complex ecosystems. The real-time data from these observatories are also integrated into forecasts and models.

EcoFOCI provides information that supports management and sustainable use of fisheries resources. For instance, studies in 2016–2017 directly influenced decision-making by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council in determining the pollock catch and contributed to documents used by management and stakeholders to evaluate current ecosystem status and project near-future conditions. The program also predicted the collapse of the Pacific cod population in the Gulf of Alaska following the 2015 marine heatwave (the “blob”).

US Arctic waters are transforming faster than anticipated. It is imperative that the importance of ocean observing, including traditional knowledge, is at the forefront of understanding “what change is.” EcoFOCI will continue to examine trends related to changing ecosystems and climate and address critical topics for resource managers in this rapidly evolving system.
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TOPIC 3. OCEAN RESOURCES AND THE ECONOMY UNDER CHANGING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Integrating Biology into Ocean Observing Infrastructure: Society Depends on It

By Maurice Estes Jr., Frank Muller-Karger, Kerstin Forsberg, Margaret Leinen, Suzan Kholeif, Woody Turner, Douglas Cripe, Yana Gevorgyan, Peer Fietzek, Gabrielle Canonico, Francisco Werner, and Nicholas Bax

INTRODUCTION
The link between humans and life in the sea is not something most of us think about every day. However, we humans have historically built communities close to the sea, and we have studied how marine organisms grow, where they aggregate, and how their distribution changes throughout the day and with the seasons so that we can manage our activities to exploit them more effectively or protect them for other purposes. We use the sea for shipping and recreation, to extract energy, and to derive natural products such as medicines (Figure 1). These activities, when added to other industries, as well as research, technology, education, and governance, add up to about US$2 trillion a year. This “blue economy” is described as a knowledge-based economy in which data and information guide solutions to societal challenges (Spinrad, 2021). Similar to the way we built monitoring systems to improve global weather forecasting over the past 100 years, sustaining a blue economy now depends on a global ocean observing system to provide accurate and timely data about life in the sea.

A focus of Earth system science today is to improve forecasts of environmental variables so that we can predict the impacts of climate change and of human uses of the ocean. We justify much of this investment in research based on the need to protect life and property and to ensure food and water security. Over 90% of Earth’s habitable space is contained in the sea, and the habitats within it are rapidly changing. Sea surface temperatures have risen about 0.11°C per decade since the 1980s. Warming has led to sea level rise of about 3 cm per decade due to the thermal expansion of seawater and additional water entering the sea from melting glaciers. Warming has caused thinner sea ice and smaller ice shelves, with the minimum summer Arctic sea ice cover decreasing by an average of about 13% per decade. Meanwhile, the ocean has absorbed about one-third of the excess carbon dioxide humans have emitted into the atmosphere since the Industrial Revolution, causing an average decrease in near-surface pH of about 0.1 in the last 200 years, and twice that rate since the 1980s (~0.001 to ~0.002 pH units per year documented at different ocean time series locations). There is also clear evidence of changes in salinity, oxygen levels, ocean winds, severe storm patterns, and major ocean currents around the globe (IPCC, 2021).

These environmental changes have altered the distribution, abundance, composition, and health of marine life in ways we are only beginning to understand, and this is stressing the blue economy and associated services needed by society. We depend on the ocean for food production; for some coastal communities, the ocean is the primary source of protein as well as an integral part of their cultural heritage. Conservation and effective management of marine resources are essential to ensure a sustainable blue economy. Current efforts to measure marine biodiversity and biology have neither the coverage nor consistency of ocean physical and chemical measurements. Improved understanding requires improved observations—we cannot understand or manage what we don’t measure.

Our knowledge about environmental changes is based on measurements collected around the world since the 1700s (Figure 2). While observing biology and ecosystems is the only way to make informed decisions about how to balance resource use and conservation, maps such
as the one in Figure 2 show that the number of biological observations in any one region, and in most locations, is nowhere near what is needed to assess the status and rates of change of biological resources. We have an opportunity today to enhance the infrastructure needed to build the capacity to adequately observe marine biodiversity and biology, especially in coastal and pelagic areas of the world ocean where people most depend on these resources on a day-to-day basis.

**SOCIETY’S DEPENDENCE ON INFORMATION ABOUT MARINE LIFE**

The evolution of human society is intertwined with knowledge about marine biodiversity. Marine species shaped the ancient and current vision, culture, and heritage of many traditional and indigenous communities, from the tropics to high latitudes. Living marine resources that influence local, tribal, and national identities include the modern seafood gastronomy of Peru, the symbolic blue marlin in
the Bahamas, and the sea turtle in Antigua, Barbuda, and many Polynesian cultures. Life in the sea provides many societies with a sense of place, occupational pride, spirituality, mental and bodily health, and security.

With 40% of the world’s growing population settled within 150 km of the ocean, the blue economy is expanding. Offshore energy, marine biotechnology, fisheries, pharmaceuticals, and tourism are growing. Global fisheries today land approximately 80 million metric tons (mmt) every year, employing some 30.6 million people. The marine capture for 2018 was 84.4 mmt based on data from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Up to 10% of the global population relies on fisheries for livelihoods. Mariculture produces over 38.6 mmt of seafood or US$67.4 billion annually, and this is growing quickly, with the potential for 700 times more production in the future. Similarly, global coral reef tourism is valued at US$35.8 billion annually and is expanding (Gaines et al., 2019). The Coral Triangle, located in parts of six countries (Indonesia, Philippines, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, and East Timor) and the Mesoamerican Reef in parts of four countries (Belize, Guatemala, Honduras, and the Yucatán peninsula of Mexico), are estimated to contribute over US$20 billion to the blue economy from tourism, fisheries, and coastal development (Figure 3; UN Environment et al., 2018). Yet, at present, only a few locations around the world collect detailed data on the species compositions of different fisheries or the ages and life histories of fish and their prey and predators; on observations of essential habitats; or even on fish catches or socioeconomics in order to evaluate status and trends in biodiversity in the context of environmental changes or human activities (Figure 3).

Fish, other living marine resources, and the ecosystem services we depend on are sustained by many different species in coastal, shallow, and deep habitats. Seagrasses, mangroves, and macroalgal communities provide nursery habitat for finfish, shellfish, and many species of megafauna. Macrophytes (aquatic plants that grow in or near water such as cattails, hydrilla, water hyacinth, and duckweed) and hard coral reefs stabilize the coast and prevent shoreline erosion from tidal energy and storms, filter nutrients and pollutants in terrestrial runoff, trap sediments, and host significant wildlife. By 2100, approximately 630 million people worldwide could be at risk of coastal flooding caused by climate change and sea level rise without such protection. Access to sandy beaches is essential for sea turtles to lay eggs and for horseshoe crabs to create nests near the high tide line, which is receding rapidly in some areas (Figure 4).
The marine environment provides natural products that play critical roles in medicine and biotechnology. Many biochemicals have been isolated from marine species and can offer safer alternatives to cosmetics, anti-fouling agents, pharmaceuticals, and other products, including compounds with anticancer properties, and possible treatments for COVID-19. The blood of the common horseshoe crab, *Limulus polyphemus*, is the source of a compound that is used to test injectable medicines for microbial contamination (Sigwart et al., 2021).

Indeed, the inland economy, including the “green economy,” based on best practices intended to conserve energy and materials, is linked to the blue economy through industrial sectors, including production of fishmeal for poultry and other livestock and processing of algae used in many industries such as health, cosmetics, and renewable energy. Yet, the pollution that reaches the coast via rivers or other sources is at times unquantified in economic planning. We often use biological signals to measure downstream effects, such as microbial indicators for sewage contamination, or excess phytoplankton biomass and algal density to detect eutrophication. Beyond such bulk metrics, we lack biodiversity observing systems for tracking potential impacts to marine living resources and for monitoring ecosystem services around the world.

**CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT: REQUISITES FOR SUSTAINING THE BLUE ECONOMY**

The ability to sustain economic growth and jobs requires making wise investments in conservation and restoring key coastal and marine resources. We cannot afford to continue to degrade the very resources our lives depend on through unsustainable practices. So, how do we guide wise stewardship and sustainable development? Designing a sustainable economy that is based on information and knowledge requires collecting basic observations from which we can develop indicators of ecosystem health, diversity, and productivity. The Global Ocean Observing System of the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission has advanced a framework for ocean observing based on collecting essential ocean variables (EOVs). In addition to physical (e.g., temperature and salinity) and biogeochemical (e.g., oxygen, inorganic and dissolved organic carbon) EOVs, it includes a family of biology and ecosystem EOVs (e.g., fish abundance and distribution, phytoplankton biomass and diversity; Miloslavich et al., 2018). This framework provides a coordinated scheme for observing the ocean and determining the fundamental indicators needed to guide decisions on sustainable development and restoration of coastal and ocean resources (Duarte et al., 2020).

Ocean financing and sustainable investing are key to developing the blue economy. Sala et al. (2021) find that protecting ~28% of the ocean could provide a net gain of 6 to 8 million metric tons of seafood, securing 35% of biodiversity benefits and 27% of carbon benefits. The High Level Panel for a Sustainable Ocean Economy concluded in 2020 that investments in such actions as sustainable ocean-based food production and conservation and restoration of mangroves would yield a fivefold return in global benefits (Stuchtey et al., 2020). Such investments would also cut annual greenhouse gas emissions and help reduce the rate of global temperature rise. While marine protected areas currently conserve and sustain ocean resources for the blue economy, additional research is required to determine their optimal design (Figure 5).

**FIGURE 4.** An eroding coast on the southern shore of Mobile Bay, Alabama. As the shoreline recedes, trees collapse and access to the sandy beach for marine life is restricted. *Photo credit: Maury Estes, University of Alabama in Huntsville*

**FIGURE 5.** Average reef-fish abundance, biomass, species richness, Simpson diversity, and functional diversity grouped by no-take marine zones (protected) and outside areas (unprotected) in the Florida Keys from 1999 to 2018. The unit for abundance (a) is the number of individuals (N), and for biomass (b), grams per meter squared. (c–e) Species richness, Simpson diversity, and functional diversity are presented in units of effective number of species (ENS). SE = standard error. Reprinted from Medina et al. (2021)
Many other examples of successes show what we can do. We must focus attention on promoting sustainability and equity in ocean uses, and address factors that impact women, informal workers, indigenous peoples, local communities, youth, vulnerable and disadvantaged populations, and early career professionals. Without careful attention to our actions, global economic losses may top US$400 billion a year by 2050, and US$2 trillion by 2100 (Stuchtey et al., 2020).

MONITORING MARINE ECOSYSTEMS

In order to sustain the blue economy, observations of marine life must be integrated into global ocean monitoring of biology and ecosystem EOVs and include socioeconomic indicators. For example, at the base of the food chain, the dynamics of microbes and plankton control the locations of larger animals as well as their productivity and abundance. Many plankton species are harvested for protein and are also used in emerging renewable energy technologies. In addition, they are indicators of ecosystem health and water quality, and they are the “bio” part in biogeochemical cycles that we need to better measure as we engineer a low-carbon economy. Effective monitoring of plankton is possible today through investments in genomic, optical, imaging, active and passive acoustics, and remote-sensing methods that would improve forecasts for managing food production, water quality, and carbon sequestration (Estes et al., 2021).

At the other end of the size spectrum are marine mega-fauna (turtles, birds, mammals, and large fish are grouped in a broad category of EOVs), organisms that function as top-down controls on ecosystem structure and function. Their migratory routes and associations with other multi-species networks often mark marine corridors and biodiversity hotspots. Understanding where and when these corridors and hotspots form, how they are changing, and how they are beneficial to us is key to management of shipping, fishing, mining, and land or vessel discharges.

New observing tools include sensors on satellites, aircraft, and autonomous underwater platforms. Using such “remote sensing” of biology and ecosystems expands the scope of traditional observing platforms like ships and buoys, permitting sustained and repeated synoptic mapping of entire ocean basins.

Satellite sensors collect important measurements across the marine realm. In addition to helping to locate and track tagged animals, the many environmental parameters they collect, such as chlorophyll-a concentration and seawater color, permit definition and evaluation of phytoplankton habitats and traits. Today, remotely sensed data collected by NASA’s Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the European Space Agency’s Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-3 satellite sensors, among others, provide global coverage and finer-scale data that allow observations of coastal biological signals (Figure 6). New missions expected to bring major advances in ocean imaging

FIGURE 6. (a) Sentinel-2 satellite image of the Florida peninsula collected on April 8, 2021, with the Tampa Bay estuary in the west central portion of the frame. (b) Processed Sentinel-2A image focused on Tampa Bay, with colors indicating changes in water clarity. The inset (c) shows a small phytoplankton bloom that resulted from an accidental discharge from a phosphate mine retention pond (Piney Point) following heavy rains. Sentinel-2 image courtesy of Copernicus/European Space Agency; image processed by the University of South Florida College of Marine Science.
include NASA’s Surface Geology and Biology (SBG, expected to launch in 2027), Plankton, Aerosol, Cloud, ocean Ecosystem (PACE, scheduled for launch in 2023), and Geosynchronous Littoral Imaging and Monitoring Radiometer (GLIMR, 2026–2027). Satellite lidar systems are detecting phytoplankton and zooplankton deeper in the ocean at night and in winter at high latitudes and are characterizing the seafloor topography of coastal habitats. Combined with satellite measurements of winds, currents, sea surface topography, salinity, and temperature, a relatively coherent set of global seascapes can be visualized and analyzed nearly daily and over long time periods. Improved consistency and relevance in interpreted remote-sensing products requires expanded in situ calibration and validation, and greater interaction with biological sampling communities.

Monitoring of coastal nearshore environments is challenging due to their dynamic nature, but necessary because the resources they contain are valuable to the blue economy. Expansion of urban and agricultural land use directly affects nearshore water quality, increasing nutrient and sediment runoff that results in eutrophication (Boesch, 2019) and algal blooms that can harm marine life and release airborne toxins into nearshore areas. Monitoring seagrasses, mangroves, and macroalgae (kelp, Sargassum, green and red algae) is critical because of their foundational role as habitats for many species of commercial, subsistence, and conservation interest worldwide (Estes et al., 2021). In addition to the Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network, the global Mangrove Alliance, the Reef Life Survey, the Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans (PISCO), and other entities focused on systematic and distributed surveys of nearshore habitats at large scales, development of citizen scientist approaches is needed to support these and related data collection efforts.

New ways to rapidly transmit data, as well as to collect and process images and environmental DNA (eDNA), improve our knowledge of changes in the distribution and abundance of life in the ocean, including those caused by warming. Combining these advances in technology with improved satellite and in situ observations of primary producers (e.g., phytoplankton), primary consumers (e.g., zooplankton, small fish), and overall environmental conditions (e.g., climate variables) means that we are now able to track these changes and better understand their drivers. Combining observations requires greater collaboration among different research areas and with local stakeholders.

All of these biological observations can be incorporated into existing ocean observing programs that focus on the physics, chemistry, and geology of the ocean, including the global effort to map seafloor topography (Seabed 2030 project), the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP), and OceanSITES. Taking Seabed 2030 as an example, its use of active acoustic sound wave reflection measurements to map the topography of the global ocean seafloor can be complemented with initiatives to collect water column and seafloor acoustic backscatter data that provide information about fish, plankton, and bottom habitats across ocean basins, repeatedly over time. Passive acoustic technology is now feasible and accessible to conduct scientific monitoring of different species, from small zooplankton to birds, and from fish to marine mammals (Figure 7), and human noise, from the bottom of the ocean to shallow reef environments. The benefits of such information for academic science, government, the private sector, and the public are substantial. Greater collaboration will depend on multinational and national groups contributing standardized biological data to enable forecasting and global assessments, similar to what we have already accomplished with weather and climate assessments. This could provide the basis for a revolutionary new marine biodiversity observation network to support a sustainable blue economy.
CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT

Capacity development as well as incorporation of education and outreach in marine biodiversity monitoring are fundamental to sustaining and growing the marine economy and reducing inequities in access and opportunity. Broad and innovative multisectoral and interdisciplinary approaches are needed to enhance public understanding of biodiversity and fundamental ecological benefits, to improve ocean governance, and to design better green-gray infrastructure (natural systems such as forests, floodplains, and wetlands as opposed to human-engineered infrastructure such as dams, seawalls, and roads). It is also essential that we better coordinate and assess capacity development initiatives internationally. Programs like Marine Life 2030 and the Ocean Biomolecular Observing Network, endorsed by the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030; also known as the UN Ocean Decade), provide the framework for developing shared objectives and distributed leadership.

Capacity building needs to be reinvented to focus on developing partnerships that engage traditional leadership and communities in the management of their marine resources (Figure 8). For example, The New England Aquarium’s Marine Conservation Action Fund awards micro-grants to local leaders in developing countries to advance marine conservation and partnership with local communities. Another example in science diplomacy is the effort of the government of Palau to educate visitors by requiring them to sign a pledge to act in ecologically and culturally responsible ways on their islands.

Early career professionals, those in the 55% of the world population that is under the age of 35, face challenges following the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as geopolitical tensions, social unrest, global trade barriers, and issues of equity and inclusion in academia, industry, and government. We have an opportunity to engage these young professionals’ talents to support ongoing observation needs, especially in underdeveloped regions with rich marine resources (Figure 9). However, the rapid pace of technology evolution, limited availability of documentation in different languages, the high cost of printed media, inadequate access to high-speed internet, and limited access to education present challenges. Historically, these disparities diminished the ability of women and underrepresented minorities to become leaders in oceanography. A new paradigm is needed in which government, academia, and the private sector collaborate to create jobs and improve conditions for the most vulnerable communities, thereby allowing diverse professionals to develop and apply their competencies.

LINKING LOCAL TO GLOBAL STRUCTURES TO PROVIDE THE FOUNDATION OF THE BLUE ECONOMY: DATA

A healthy blue economy relies on timely delivery of high-quality data across sectors, including science, operations, policy, and the public, from local to global scales. Needed information about marine life is identified by research groups; local, tribal, and national governments; and global bodies advising on areas as diverse as climate, biodiversity, ecosystem health, and the scientific perspective. Synthesis of indicators and knowledge at every governance level requires open access to data on relevant

FIGURE 8. Fishing in Fiji. In coastal communities around the world, fishing to supply healthy food is an integral part of indigenous peoples’ cultures. Photo credit: Tom Vierus/Ocean Image Bank

FIGURE 9. Scientists, youth, volunteers, and small-scale fishers collaborate in the collection of zooplankton samples in northern Peru. Photo credit: Planeta Océano
biography and ecosystems collected in different locations and using standardized formats.

Global groups that are helping to organize the sustained, systematic collection of these data include the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) and the Group on Earth Observations (GEO). The IOC hosts the Global Ocean Observing System, which defined the EOVs, and also a global Ocean Biodiversity Information System database for marine species (OBIS), the Ocean Teacher Global Academy (OTGA) to share knowledge and build capacity, and the Ocean Best Practices System (OBPS). These entities link programs by storing and curating standard operating practices and methods, data, and capacity development modules. They facilitate interoperability for the exchange of data between machines, share analysis and visualization software, and support global inclusion, all with positive impacts in areas beyond marine science.

These programs should coordinate with capacity building programs in universities, museums, and aquariums, as well as training programs sponsored by other groups including private foundations and civil society. The growth of the blue economy brings opportunities for official development, philanthropy, and industry investments in programs to observe marine life and apply sustainable practices that yield economic benefit and create jobs. Initiatives such as the UN Ocean Decade and the Decade for Ecosystem Restoration, plus the complementary programs they house such as Marine Life 2030, the Ocean Biomolecular Observing Network, UN-Maritime Acoustic Environment, and Marine Protected Area Sentinels, are intended to steer such investments and meet the observing needs for marine life in the new blue economy. Impact will require the delivery of knowledge in appropriate social and cultural contexts.
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Industrial shallow-water prawn trawling in Malindi-Ungwana Bay, located along the north coast of Kenya (Figures 1 and 2), began in the 1970s after exploratory fishing surveys identified the existence of fishable penaeid prawn stocks (Iversen, 1984). Small-scale fishers were also targeting the prawn resources in the bay. As trawlers fishing close to the shore destroyed nearshore habitats and the gear of small-scale fishers, resource-use conflicts arose between the trawler companies and small-scale fishers.

To reduce these conflicts, in 1991, Kenya Fisheries Act Chapter 378 limited prawn trawling to beyond 5 NM from shore, with no industrial trawling allowed within a 0–3 NM zone. In 2010, a Prawn Fishery Management Plan recommended that trawling vessels carry a fisheries observer. However, it was not until this became a requirement in Article 147 of the 2016 Fisheries Management and Development Act that Kenya Fisheries Service (KeFS) observers began to work aboard trawlers; this article also expanded the observer program to cover all other commercial fishing operations such as longliners, purse seiners, and deepwater trawlers. The observer program provides data and information on fish catches and their composition, on the fate of target and non-target species, and on the fishing effort to enable evaluation of the status of the fishery and to inform reviews of the regulations in management plans.

In this study, we analyzed the species composition of retained and discarded catches from 2016 to 2019 (using data collected by observers) and trawl catches between 2011 and 2019 (with fishing vessel log data provided by the trawl industry).

The first KeFS-trained scientific observers were deployed in 2016 on four Kenyan-flagged industrial trawlers licensed to fish in the Malindi-Ungwana Bay during the prawn fishing season. They observed and recorded operations between April 1 and October 31 every year from 2016 to 2019 (Figure 1) aboard trawlers that were fitted with double rigged nets of 55–60 mm and 40–45 mm at the funnel and cod ends, respectively.

Thirty-seven observer trips were executed for 168 days between 2016 and 2019 and recorded 1,371 out of 8,531 hauls. The catch composition data collected by

FIGURE 1. Map of Malindi-Ungwana Bay, location of shallow water prawn trawling considered in this study. Observation points identify where trawl data were collected by observers from 2016 to 2019. Inset: Map of Kenya, with box indicating the location of Malindi-Ungwana Bay. Image credit: Pascal Z. Thoya

the observers followed sampling protocols adopted from Athayde (2012). The catch from each haul was emptied onto a steel sorting table on the deck, and large live animals (mainly sharks, rays, and turtles) were quickly returned to the sea to optimize their chances of survival (Figure 3). Prawns were then collected, graded, cleaned, treated, and placed into 2 kg cartons and blast frozen. The fish were sorted into retained and discarded catch. The captain kept a record of the fishing operation and the catch for each haul, and a report was sent to KeFS.

The industry data from 2011 to 2019 obtained from KeFS consisted of details of each fishing event, including start and end times and the GPS positions of each haul, and catch weights for prawns, octopus, squids, cuttlefish, lobsters, crabs, and others. Nothing at the species level was indicated. Results show a 20-fold increase in annual catches from 20 tons in 2011 to 450 tons in 2019, with a 10-fold increase in fishing effort from 437 hrs in 2011 to 5,102 hrs in 2019 (Figure 4). The catch rate for prawns varied from 8 kg/hr to 26 kg/hr. The catch rate for finfish was higher than that for prawns, ranging between 32 kg/hr and 88 kg/hr. Although the volume ofdiscards (i.e., bycatch thrown back into the ocean, whether alive or dead, including live turtles and small, non-marketable fishes) remained high, there was a marked increase in the proportion of retained catch (prawns and finfish that were sold) over these years. The target prawn-to-bycatch ratio ranged from 1:3 to 1:9 during the four years. On average, 16% of the catch comprised target, with 59% retained and 25% discarded. The number of species identified by observers was 208 (2016), 265 (2017), 208 (2018), and 295 (2019). Multivariate analyses revealed that the species composition of retained and discarded catch differed from 2016 to 2019, and there was a significant change in the composition of retained species over the years of this study, with *Penaeus indicus* (Indian prawn), *Otolithes ruber* (tigertooth croaker), and *Panulirus homarus* (scalloped spiny lobster) contributing to the differences.

This study provides a preliminary evaluation of the data collected from the Malindi-Ungwana Bay shallow-water prawn trawl fishery. The changes in prawn-to-bycatch ratio over the study period may be attributed to changes in fishing operations in accordance with the regulations. Observed variation in species composition may be attributed to the effects of trawling on the ecosystem. Observers provide reliable data on catches at species level and on vessel operations that were not previously available. Observations of industrial shallow-water prawn trawling provide important basic information for guiding the review of the management plan to incorporate aspects of an ecosystems approach to fisheries management. Although the frequency of observer deployments on industrial vessels was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, efforts have been made to resume operations by establishing boarding guidelines.
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Two case studies demonstrate that the application of satellite remote sensing and GIS techniques can inform the development and improvement of fishing policies and fishery management in Kenya and Tanzania. Artisanal coastal fishing communities in both countries still rely on traditional methods to identify fishing grounds. The rudimentary techniques they use are based on conservative hunting methods that rely on recurrent experiences and evidence gathering among fisherfolk. However, multiple environmental factors determine the spatial structure and distribution of pelagic fisheries (Planque et al., 2011), and marine organisms are highly vulnerable to the rapid variations in oceanographic conditions that are being accelerated by global changes. These changes contribute to the broad diversity in species distribution and assemblages in space and time, further complicating fishers’ quests for productive grounds. Biophysical indicators of the sea surface environment such as temperature and chlorophyll concentration may serve as important determinants of the presence of marine life. Physical processes in the upper ocean such as currents, waves, and tides stimulate biological processes that ultimately determine the distribution of pelagic fish (Solanki et al., 2005).

A thorough understanding of key environmental parameters and their influence on pelagic fish distribution can inform exploration for prospective fishing zones. Chlorophyll-α (Chl-α) concentration is a measure of the algae present in seawater and can be used as an indicator of fish production. The microscopic algae form the top of the marine food web and are consumed by zooplankton and small fish, which are then consumed by larger fish. Similarly, sea surface temperature (SST) is a significant physical factor that strongly influences the physiology and growth of ocean life, including phytoplankton and all other organisms at higher trophic levels (Tang et al., 2003), and can be used to help identify fishing grounds.

Collecting measurements of oceanographic parameters from boats over large areas is time consuming and expensive and can be impractical for identifying commercially viable fishing areas due to the dynamic nature of the ocean. Consequently, there is a need for more effective methods that can capture changes instantaneously over broad regions. Satellite sensors can be used to gather information on global ocean SST and Chl-α concentration at relatively high resolutions over broad regions and long time periods. Geographic Information System (GIS) techniques can then be used to integrate satellite images with spatial databases (e.g., Microsoft SQL Server, Oracle, PostgreSQL) and statistical techniques to inform fisheries management.

A pilot case study in Kenya involved the discovery of potential yellowfin tuna fishing grounds using satellite data on oceanographic parameters selected based on their relevance as descriptors of tuna habitat. SST, sea surface Chl-α, and mean sea level anomalies obtained from

FIGURE 1. Pole and line fishing locations (red dots) for tuna overlaid on data from AVHRR, SeaWiFS, and AVISO of (a) sea surface temperature, (b) chlorophyll-α, and (c) mean sea level anomaly from August 2007. The effect of the East Africa Coastal Current is evident. Images produced by the late Dr. Nguli
the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS), and the Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic (AVISO) data sets, respectively, were compiled monthly into a database at Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute’s African Monitoring of the Environment for Sustainable Development (e-station).

At the same time, data on yellow fin tuna catches were obtained from artisanal fishers and recreational fishing vessels operating along the Kenyan coast at selected landing sites in Kilifi, Wesa, and Watamu. The captain of each fishing boat was issued a GPS unit and crew members were trained on its use and general maintenance. The fishers were required to switch on their GPS units every time they went out fishing and to record a point each time they caught fish. These data helped to identify the peak fishing season and target areas for fishers. Data from tuna tagging vessels were also used to determine areas where schools of tuna occurred. We obtained these data from the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) for Kenyan and Tanzanian waters during a 2005–2007 tuna tagging exercise. Using GIS techniques, we integrated the satellite data with the fishers’ data and showed that fishing occurred in waters above 25°C where Chl-a concentrations ranged from 0.1 mg/L to 0.2 mg/L (Figure 1). The mean sea level anomaly values indicated that more fishing occurred in waters with positive anomalies.

In Tanzania, the latest technology was used to find and delineate fishing grounds to enable profitable and sustainable fishery exploitation. A total of 87 ring net fishers from 14 coastal districts of the Tanzania mainland and Zanzibar were identified, supplied with and trained to use GPS units, and then asked to mark and record the positions of fish occurrence along with catch- and effort-related data (Figure 2). The data were entered into a database (eCAS) through a mobile phone application for a period of one year (January to December 2020) and then analyzed for temporal and spatial variation in fishing effort and catch rates.

Results showed that ring net fishing catches during the southeast monsoon season were higher \((n = 301)\) compared to the northeast monsoon season \((n = 269)\) and significant \((\chi^2_{(876)} = 34.72, p < 0.05)\) at 95% confidence interval \((0.15, 0.35)\). Although the median catch rate of 28.47 kg/hr during the northeast monsoon season was higher than during the southeast monsoon season \((32.24.84 \text{ kg/hr})\), the difference in catch rate was insignificant \((U(877) = 0.64, p = 0.47)\) at 95% confidence interval \((-0.05, 0.10)\). This study provided data that are useful for sustainable fishery management to ensure a vibrant Blue Economy capable of sustaining livelihoods. Importantly, this study contributes baseline information on fishery locations that can be used by managers to measure the “ecological footprint,” that is, human/fishers’ impact on the fishing environment.
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Since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere have been increasing continuously. The ocean has been taking up at least a quarter of the excess atmospheric CO₂. The CO₂ that dissolves in the ocean reacts with seawater pH, a process called ocean acidification (OA) and is often called the “second CO₂ problem.” OA could trigger remarkable changes in the ocean’s inorganic carbon system, ultimately affecting marine ecosystems. Marine organisms that have calcium carbonate skeletons such as corals, bivalves, crustaceans, and microorganisms are especially vulnerable. It is essential to understand how OA affects biological systems in order to predict future environmental impacts on organisms and ecosystem services.

The effects of OA on marine organisms can be evaluated by morphological analysis of skeleton/shell surfaces using a light stereomicroscope and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). However, such observations only document surface features, limiting quantification of the degree of OA damage. Advances in X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) have made it possible to obtain quantitative morphological information such as the volume, surface area, thickness, and density of target objects such as marine organism shells and skeletons. XCT can also be used to construct a precise, three-dimensional morphology with micrometer to submicrometer resolution. Very small amounts of physical damage from OA can be detected, even if the damage is inside the skeleton.

Innovative application of the latest Micro Focus XCT (µXCT) technology to low-trophic-level microzooplankton shows that the skeletal densities of shelled pteropods (sea butterflies) and planktonic foraminifera (both shown in Figure 1) are closely related to the carbonate chemistry of the surrounding seawater (e.g., Iwasaki et al., 2019; Ofstad et al., 2021). Such quantitative measures of skeletal density based on µXCT analyses can be used to inform marine ecosystem models and improve predictions based on future CO₂ emission scenarios.

In order to accelerate this research, we recently founded a service that provides quantitative information about the morphology and density of micro-sized objects based on µXCT (http://www.jamstec.go.jp/rcgc/e/mxct/) at no cost to users. The Global Ocean Acidification Observation Network (GOA-ON) has encouraged this initiative, whose overarching goal is to provide a global map of the biological impacts of OA on marine calcifiers. To achieve this goal, cooperation and collaboration among researchers in every country are necessary, and we encourage researchers to participate in this important project.

REFERENCES

ARTICLE DOI: https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.supplement.02-19
To enhance oceanographic observation capacities in the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, and under the project “Observation of the Marine Environment with an Operational Oceanographic Observation System,” Morocco’s National Institute of Fisheries Research (INRH) acquired and installed its first meteorological and oceanographic buoy offshore of Dakhla, southern Morocco (Figure 1). This buoy, moored at a fixed point (23°55.1208’N, 16°11.1569’W) on October 7, 2016, is a first experiment conducted by Moroccan scientists and INRH along the Moroccan Atlantic coast. The project’s intent was to allow continuous, real-time acquisition of meteorological and oceanographic data from the South Morocco upwelling area, which is of great scientific, oceanographic, and environmental utility for maritime decision-making, in particular, for the marine fisheries and aquaculture sector in a context of climate change.

For surface measurements, the buoy carries meteorological instruments, including an anemometer (wind speed and direction) and air temperature and atmospheric pressure sensors. Beneath the surface, the buoy is equipped with a sensor for measuring the wave swell (height, direction, and period); a profiler for measuring the current (speed and direction) in the water column; a multi-parameter sensor that includes water temperature (range 0°–40°C), salinity (0–40 psu), fluorescence, and dissolved oxygen at a single depth level. In addition, the buoy is outfitted with a GPS system for continuous positioning and a complete data acquisition and transmission system.

When launched, the buoy recorded and transmitted data to the Laboratory of Physics and Marine Biogeochemistry of the INRH Regional Center in Casablanca. Data processing allowed monitoring of the different parameters every 30 minutes. The results recorded during the month of November 2016 detected the beginning of the upwelling process that brings deep, cold, and nutrient-rich waters to the surface, increasing biological activity and intensifying the current (Figure 2). Surface water temperatures cooled from 22.5°C to 18.5°C on November 10, predating upwelling during the rest of the month. Salinity followed the same evolution, decreasing from 38.5 psu to 35.6 psu, then stabilizing between 36.5 psu and 37.5 psu. The profile of dissolved oxygen concentration indicates good oxygenation in the area, varying between 8 mg/L and 9 mg/L until upwelling begins, when it starts to decrease below 6 mg/L for three days before returning to concentrations above 7 mg/L for the rest of the month. These conditions were accompanied by phytoplankton enrichment manifested by an increase in the concentration of chlorophyll-a from 0.08 μg/L to close to 0.12 μg/L. The pH was stable at 8 during November. The south to southwest marine current recorded at 10 m depth increased during the period of upwelling activity and reached 35 cm/s for the southern component and more than 40 cm/s for its western component. Maximum intensity was recorded on November 9 just before upwelling began.

While vandalism five months after buoy deployment has delayed this project coming to fruition, these early data demonstrate that the selected site for the buoy was optimal, recording an important correlation between hydrological and meteorological parameters, especially the change in wind strength and direction, which activates upwelling. It is a constant challenge here, and in other areas of intense fishing activity, to maintain fixed observing assets and keep them safe from vandalism and accidental damage. We are optimistic that when repairs to the buoy are complete and it is redeployed, we will be able to meet our objectives.

**ARTICLE DOI:** [https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.supplement.02-20](https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.supplement.02-20)
Signatory states to the Paris Agreement are required to formulate and implement national climate action (NCA) plans to direct their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) in reducing greenhouse gases (GHGs) and in particular carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions (UNFCCC, 2015). The NCA plans address CO₂ management for all components of society, be it food, mobility, energy, or consumption. The extent to which NCA plans are in line with the overall targets will be assessed during global stocktakings, with the first one scheduled for 2023. Limiting global temperature increase by reducing GHG and CO₂ emissions will depend crucially on natural, non-anthropogenic sink efficiency. The ocean currently removes 25%–30% of the CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere by human activities (Friedlingstein et al., 2020), thereby providing, alongside other critical ecosystem services, an important societal wealth contribution via CO₂ sequestration.

From the above results, it is obvious that the ocean CO₂ monitoring system must be able to determine the present and future CO₂ uptake with sufficient accuracy. To justify the effort involved, it is also useful to determine the monetary value of the oceanic sink. This can be done in three ways: a cost-benefit analysis (CBA), a cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA), or a market-based CO₂ pricing approach. CBA and CEA both use shadow prices in their calculations that measure the social costs of emitting a marginal tonne of carbon¹, whereas market prices are used in national accounting to compute, for example, the gross domestic product. In CBA, shadow prices are derived from estimates of costs of climate change impacts. In CEA, shadow prices are derived under a given target, such as a temperature target as defined in the Paris Agreement. Put simply, CBA provides information on how much wealth is generated by the ocean in terms of reducing climate change, and CEA provides information on how much wealth is generated by reducing emissions abatement costs for the given target. The latter information is in most cases more reliable and can also be obtained with a market-based approach, given the regulatory framework and that a market is in place. Observed CO₂ prices can also be used to assess the value of CO₂ sinks, even though these sinks are not involved in trading. The market and the underlying regulatory framework are ideally designed to regulate anthropogenic activities, so given natural CO₂ sequestration, targets like net-zero CO₂ can be achieved. However, a weakening of natural sinks implies, in turn, that faster reductions and additional atmospheric CO₂ removal will be required. Similarly, the market price would increase given that a weakening of CO₂ sinks is expected to be considered in the underlying regulatory framework, defining the scarcity in a given CO₂ market.

Hence, highly reliable information about current and future ocean CO₂ sinks provides value information for policymakers to properly align the regulatory framework, and for the business community to form realistic CO₂ price expectations. Furthermore, CO₂ sequestration by ocean sinks varies regionally—and 38% of the global ocean comprises territorial waters (Figure 1). Considering the regional variations in CO₂ emissions and in sink activity implies that the CO₂ wealth effects vary considerably for different countries (Bertram et al., 2021). Although regional differences in terrestrial CO₂ sinks are considered in national emissions inventories, regional ocean sink contributions are not yet included in the determination of international burden sharing regarding CO₂ emissions abatement. Thus, the current framework favors countries with large terrestrial CO₂ sinks to the disadvantage of countries with large territorial ocean sinks. However, costs of ocean CO₂ fluxes are highly interconnected: variability in the global ocean CO₂ uptake of atmospheric CO₂ will influence the CO₂ uptake in territorial waters.

¹ Marginal cost of the impacts caused by emitting one extra tonne of carbon dioxide at any point in time.
Therefore, improved and reliable estimates of ocean CO₂ uptake are required to properly steer the ambitious levels included in the NDCs, to allow for improved burden sharing, to attribute territorial ocean CO₂ uptake appropriately to countries, and to account for ocean carbon dioxide removal (CDR). Current scenarios still focus predominantly on terrestrial CDR, mostly because economic integrated assessment models are not yet capable of properly modeling ocean CDR. Given an increasing requirement for CDR due to the weakening of CO₂ sinks, the necessary net CO₂ emissions path is unlikely to be achievable without ocean CDR solutions, for example, ocean alkalinity management. However, properly assessing CDR via ocean-based measurements, which will be part of future NDCs, requires accounting for the feedback of territorial ocean CO₂ uptake on global CO₂ uptake.

In general, the assessment of ocean CO₂ sequestration must be based on understanding the processes of and monitoring both fluxes and storage of CO₂ in the ocean—from regional to global scales. The processes controlling CO₂ in the ocean are often separated into “solubility pump” (controlled by physics and biogeochemistry) and “biological pump” (controlled by biochemistry and biology) concepts. However, these two pumps are interlinked via underlying processes and may also counteract each other in the matter of net CO₂ uptake; for example, in highly productive upwelling regions, the CO₂ sink created by the biological pump may compensate for the outgassing of CO₂ driven by the solubility pump (Figure 2). Carbon assessments require determining the efficiency of both pumps and coordination of the underlying ocean observations that make use of multiple platforms (ships, underwater as well as surface autonomous vehicles, floats, moorings, and satellites) equipped with sensors and samplers employing a diverse pool of sensing technology (optical, particle probes, electrochemical) and operating at varying levels of technological readiness.

Data harmonization and quality control along with FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) access to data permit a wide spectrum of applications across disciplines and needs, and they must be ensured to enable integration of the various data streams into regional and global carbon products. Regional (and global) carbon data products with sufficient temporal and spatial resolutions are required for assigning values to Exclusive Economic Zone CO₂ sinks. Global coordination of observational efforts, science approaches, and coordination with global syntheses are performed under the auspices of the International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project (IOCCP).

A key aspect for use of data in the context of NCA plans is the global harmonization of error/uncertainties estimates that, for the ocean interior, are based on Reference Material (RM). In order to integrate the benefits of RM into a multiplatform observing system, a reference grid of long-term, sustained observations made using RM needs to be maintained. That in turn is used as a reference for secondary quality control of observations that do not allow direct RM traceability (e.g., expendable sensors or devices with exceptionally long endurance under harsh conditions and impacted by biofouling). IOCCP works directly with the Surface Ocean CO₂ NETwork (SOCONET) and the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP) to assure global coordination related to data quality standards and protocols. At a regional scale, the station labeling procedure of the Ocean Thematic Center (OTC) of the European Research Infrastructure Integrated Carbon Observation System-Ocean Thematic Centre (ICOS-OTC) is a prime example of successful implementation of data management procedures that result in delivery of high-quality information with known uncertainty. ICOS-OTC also focuses on other greenhouse gases, and the OTC maintains thematic centers to coordinate atmospheric and terrestrial domains to deliver sustained, truly integrated observations that benefit a variety of stakeholders.
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TOPIC 4. POLLUTANTS AND CONTAMINANTS AND THEIR POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON HUMAN HEALTH AND ECOSYSTEMS

An Integrated Observing System for Monitoring Marine Debris and Biodiversity
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MARINE DEBRIS AND PELAGIC ECOSYSTEMS

Wood, pumice, drifting kelp, and other natural marine debris have long played important roles in marine ecosystems. Today, oceanic “litter” generated by human activities, notably plastics, constitutes the majority of marine debris and is mostly harmful to those ecosystems. In the twentieth century, plastic became a symbol of technological development and globalization of the world’s economy. Cheap, durable, and long-lasting, with a broad variety of properties that are attractive for an array of human uses, plastic penetrated all parts of business and everyday life. In recent decades, growing demand exponentially increased plastic production. Ironically, the negative environmental impacts of plastic are in part an extension of some of the very properties that make it popular, such as its durability and wide availability. Plastic degrades with time into microscopic particles that have been found in every corner of the natural world—on land, in lakes and rivers, and in the ocean. This phenomenon has led to a new description of the present era as the Plasticene: “an era in Earth’s history, within the Anthropocene, commencing in the 1950s, marked stratigraphically in the depositional record by a new and increasing layer of plastic” (Haram et al., 2020).

A significant fraction of plastic in the ocean has sources located on land. Depending on chemical composition, some plastic entering the ocean sinks instantly, but the majority is buoyant and remains floating at the ocean’s surface for various durations. The fate of marine debris depends on ocean currents, winds, and waves, which together move floating objects and can transport them over long distances. Some debris released into the ocean transits between distant locations and pollutes remote locations.

FIGURE 1. (a) A Hawaiian beach is covered with mixed plastic debris. (b) This large derelict fishing net was found and tagged with an Ocean Voyages Institute GPS tracker (marked with an arrow). Photo credits: (a) Sustainable Coastlines Hawai‘i (https://www.sustainablecoastlineshawaii.org/), (b) Greenpeace
shorelines (Figure 1a). For example, many boats, floats, and other plastic items introduced into the ocean during the March 11, 2011, tsunami in Japan traveled thousands of kilometers to the shores of Hawai‘i and the west coast of North America (Carlton et al., 2017; Figure 2). Other debris (Figure 1b) gets trapped in convergence zones (so-called “garbage patches”) created by large-scale ocean currents in the five subtropical gyres (Figure 3), where it may remain for years or even decades.

Habitats around these convergence zones are characterized by low nutrient conditions and relatively low biological activity compared to coastal zones. A potentially important source of biomass in these pelagic ecosystems is neuston, assemblages of various species that float on or live close to the ocean’s surface (Figure 4). Neuston are moved around the ocean by physical processes similar to those that move floating marine debris, and there is theoretical expectation and observational evidence that neuston and debris follow similar pathways and accumulate in the same areas on the ocean’s surface. Little is known about interactions between neuston and marine debris, but we do know that larger biota, including marine mammals, turtles, birds, and fish that feed on neuston, can be harmed through entanglement in derelict fishing nets or by ingestion of small objects. Monitoring the status and trends of these interactions would thus benefit from an integrated monitoring approach that addresses national and/or regional policy requirements for both marine pollution and marine biodiversity.

Large-scale introduction of anthropogenic debris into the ocean has triggered fundamental changes in the relative
abundance of different species, species interactions, and thus energy flow. Due to the slow breakdown of plastic compared to many natural debris items, floating marine debris can be adopted as a substrate for attachment by coastal species. Where formerly there were natural barriers to the dispersal and survival of coastal biota, marine debris is providing new opportunities for them to travel across ocean basins. Feasibility of such travel was demonstrated by, among others, Carlton et al. (2017) and Hansen et al. (2018), who reported at least 373 coastal Japanese species found on tsunami debris washed up on US and Canadian shorelines (Figure 5). Moreover, Haram et al. (2021) discovered that the high concentration of marine debris in the North Pacific Garbage Patch now allows coastal species to establish and reproduce there, creating a neopelagic ecosystem. This system may then further facilitate potential biological invasions into coastal areas. It is also suggested that degrading plastic debris may release carbon accessible to marine microbes that in turn could alter the entire food web (Romera-Castillo et al., 2018).

The need to significantly reduce the amount of plastic in the ocean is recognized by the United Nations (UN) as Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) Target 14.1: “By 2025, prevent and significantly reduce marine pollution of all kinds, in particular from land-based activities, including marine debris and nutrient pollution.” The UN Environment Programme (UNEP) provides a guide for compiling pollution indicators, including Indicator 14.1.1b on plastic debris density, whose measurements will require combining traditional monitoring techniques with new technologies and data science (UNEP, 2021). Addressing critical knowledge gaps around the fate of marine plastics and other debris and their impacts on marine ecosystems requires coordinated, multidisciplinary, large-scale observations of marine debris in the ocean. This effort will be made possible through close integration with the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS; https://www.goosocean.org), which already coordinates a global system of ocean observing platforms (e.g., ships, buoys, satellites, autonomous vehicles) that provides essential information on ocean physics and climate, biogeochemical cycles, and biological and ecosystem processes.

NOVEL APPROACHES TO MONITORING MARINE DEBRIS AND ASSOCIATED PELAGIC ECOSYSTEMS

Collecting comprehensive observations of marine debris and marine life in the pelagic ocean is tremendously difficult. Pelagic ecosystems contain diverse species, each having its own life cycle and each responding differently to changing environmental conditions. Interactions among species produce an even larger number of monitoring and research challenges. Similarly, marine debris objects vary broadly in their chemical (e.g., polymers, additives, and degree of degradation) and physical (e.g., size, geometry, and buoyancy) properties. In addition to plastic, marine debris includes other artificial materials as well as debris linked directly to human activities (e.g., logging) or natural disasters (e.g., floods, hurricanes, or tsunamis).

Given limited scientific resources available in the open ocean and patchy distributions of marine debris items and pelagic species, it is critical to develop and implement effective observational tools that target specific scientific questions or applications, including the following:

- How much anthropogenic and natural debris is in the ocean?
- What are the physical and chemical compositions of the debris?
- What are the main sources, pathways, spatial patterns, and areas of impact on marine ecosystems?
What is the composition of neopelagic communities, what environmental variables control species’ life cycles, and how do they interact with plastic debris?

What changes take place in marine ecosystems relative to the distributions of marine debris and how are they related to natural variability and ongoing climate change?

What is our capacity to predict these changes?

**Water-Following (Lagrangian) Instruments**

Drifters (oceanographic instruments that are composed of a surface float tethered to a drogue) and subsurface floats are actively used to measure ocean currents, an essential ocean variable. For example, the Global Drifter Program (https://gdp.ucsd.edu/ldl/global-dripper-program/) maintains a network of more than 1,300 drifters covering all ocean basins. Drifter trajectories can be used as a proxy for pathways of marine debris and neuston and to estimate drift velocities. By using standardized designs for drogued and undrogued drifters (Figure 6), their responses to various ocean conditions can be understood and their data used to improve numerical ocean models, which help us to understand the many ways in which the ocean influences weather and climate. Studies establishing correspondence between the dynamics of standard drifters and different types of debris and biota are underway, and new types of drifters may be needed to reproduce pathways of particular debris types, such as fishing nets. A great deal of information on ocean surface currents is also captured through satellite measurements that allow for integration of products from multiple satellite missions.

Some types of marine debris, such as microplastics and items that contain a lot of biological growth, have weak buoyancy. They are easily mixed downward into the water column by wind-induced turbulence and may remain below the surface. Similarly, some neustonic species may have pelagic life-cycle stages that exist below the surface waters. With the commonly strong vertical shear of near-surface currents, these vertical movements can significantly affect the horizontal transport of debris and neuston. Understanding these effects is a difficult task given that existing methods do not allow us to follow submerged objects. However, scientific instruments can be programmed to mimic the dynamics of debris or the lifecycle of certain biota. For example, the buoyancy of a mixed layer float that was used in the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) funded FloatEco (Floating Ecosystem) experiment (Figure 7; https://floateco.org) could be carefully calibrated under varying ocean conditions. Timelines of its residence in the mixed layer of the ocean can be analyzed and compared with vertical profiles of microplastics.
New methods also allow scientists to track selected large objects. For example, since 2018, the Ocean Voyages Institute (OVI; https://www.oceanvoyagesinstitute.org/) has operated dozens of Global Positioning System (GPS) trackers (Figure 1b), the majority of them attached to derelict fishing gear, the most harmful marine debris. The trackers have helped OVI collect hundreds of tons of derelict fishing gear and provided unique data for scientific research on the dynamics of floating debris.

Field Sampling

In situ samples are critical for monitoring marine ecosystems and marine debris distributions, validating models, and testing scientific hypotheses. To produce high-quality and timely data on marine debris and biofouling as well as neustonic biota, existing observing systems must be strengthened and complemented with new methods and platforms. In some cases, significant progress can be achieved through coordination among existing observing programs and adjustments to protocols to integrate observations of debris and associated biology. For example, settlement panels (typically simple, square PVC tiles) can be attached to debris to provide information such as the rate of colonization and the community structure of colonizing species (Figure 8b). Use of such panels together with drifters and floats (Figures 6 and 7) opens opportunities for advanced scientific experiments designed to address important questions of biological-physical interactions among species, their biogeography, and how species are responding to global changes.

Citizen Science

Scientific expeditions are expensive and often have a narrow focus. At the same time, science plays an ever-increasing role in society, partly through non-scientists’ growing accessibility to cutting-edge scientific resources. Engagement with the public in science is supported by national and international programs (e.g., https://science.nasa.gov/citizenscience; https://citizenscience.org/) and creates opportunities to fill important gaps in our observing systems. Also, as stakeholders, citizen scientists inspire important new applications that require support with observational data.

Recent successful cooperation with the ocean sailing community allowed for microplastic sampling over large areas of the ocean (Tanhua et al., 2020). Another remarkable example of reciprocal work between scientists and citizen scientists is the collaboration between the FloatEco team and OVI. OVI deployed and retrieved instruments operated by the FloatEco team and collected a representative set of samples of biofouled marine debris (Figure 8). In turn, FloatEco helped OVI to optimize operations by using numerical models and mobilizing additional volunteers who tagged debris with OVI’s GPS trackers (Figure 9b,c). Haram et al. (2021) highlight this collaboration, which has developed into the GO-SEA program (https://goseascience.org/), a new NASA-affiliated project that serves to expand the connections between community members and...
scientists by including sailors and beachgoers in its network. Development of observational protocols and methods for sample collection, preservation, and measurements will further increase the contributions of volunteers to scientific studies.

The large “beachcomber” community can play an important role in documenting marine debris and biota stranded on shorelines. Reports collected through platforms such as iNaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org/) can further reveal what is floating on the open ocean and timelines for its arrival ashore. If synthesized with numerical models and satellite images, debris observations from coastal community members can also inform our understanding of ocean circulation patterns as well as debris and neuston trajectories, yielding further insight into processes taking place far from the coast. New, exciting approaches combining citizen science macro- and microplastic sampling with simultaneous monitoring of marine debris and automatic sensor observations of physical and biochemical essential ocean variables have the potential for further expansion of interaction studies between marine plastic pollution and neuston.

Standardization and Automation of In Situ Observations
Because marine debris and biota have complex compositions, full protocols of observations and data collection are also complex, and processing of samples and data in the laboratory is labor intensive. The community needs to agree on standard protocols for sampling and laboratory analytical methods to allow comparison of data collected during different campaigns. One possible way to significantly increase the data flow is by developing sensors and systems that can operate autonomously and generate large volumes of data with consistent format and quality. Once available, such sensors and systems could be used on ubiquitous platforms, such as commercial ships, as well as autonomous vehicles (such as drones and gliders).

Remote Sensing
Because of the patchiness of floating debris and pelagic marine communities, satellites are the only platforms capable of capturing the “big picture.” They are critical tools for observing the most inaccessible regions of the ocean and detecting anomalies in near-real time. Space agencies and groups have expressed great interest in this new application of remote sensing. The Portugal Space Agency (https://www.moonshotchallenge.ai/) and NASA recently funded several exploratory projects, and the schedule of Sentinel-2 operated by the European Space Agency (https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/missions/sentinel-2) was changed for July–September 2021 to include the area of the North Pacific Garbage Patch. Additionally, the International Ocean Color Coordination Group has created a Remote Sensing of Marine Litter and Debris Task Force (https://ioccg.org/rsmld-news-and-updates/). More and more projects report test results, in which pre-set targets have been successfully detected by drones and/or satellites, including patches of mixed floating
debris in coastal waters (Figure 10). Signals from marine debris and biota are often co-located in satellite images due to biofouling or concentration of debris, neuston, and/or macroalgae in ocean slicks and other phenomena. Laboratory studies and machine learning further support the feasibility of remote-sensing applications. However, much more effort will be required to build on these early successes. Difficulties remain partly because all existing satellites were designed and built for very different applications, without marine debris or biodiversity detection in mind.

Development of new remote sensors is important because often the same technology can be replicated on many scales, from satellites to suborbital platforms, shipborne systems, drones, hand-held tools, and even in situ sensors. These sensors will generate unique opportunities for intercalibration and interscaling of data products.

THE WAY FORWARD: INTEGRATION AND COORDINATION OF OBSERVATIONAL RESOURCES

The complexity of marine debris composition and associated biological communities, as well as the diversity of tools and methods available to monitor and observe them, require coordinated approaches that harmonize regionalefforts into a global system without losing the collection of any important indicators. At the OceanObs’19 conference (https://www.oceanobs19.net/), a large group of experts from many disciplines proposed the concept of an Integrated Marine Debris Observing System (IMDOS) that would mobilize all available resources to work together to provide a hierarchy of data products and applications needed by stakeholders (Maximenko et al., 2019). The envisioned system combines in situ observations and sampling, providing calibration of indirect information and validation for models, with remote sensing yielding a big-picture view and models optimizing and interpreting field observations and forecasting future changes.

Considering that critical knowledge gaps exist around the cycling of marine debris in the ocean and the interaction of marine debris with various ecosystem components, further IMDOS development will include better integration of marine debris monitoring with biogeochemical, biological, and ecosystem observations (including biodiversity and ocean health indicators) coordinated by GOOS and the Marine Biodiversity Observation Network (https://marinebon.org/). Successful integration depends on identifying common requirements for observed variables including accuracy.
and spatiotemporal resolution, sharing platforms, and augmenting sampling protocols, as well as harmonizing data streams across disciplines.

GOOS coordinates a large network of ship-based, fixed-point, autonomous, and other platforms that monitor the open and coastal oceans, but its potential for measuring marine pollution remains strikingly underutilized. There is potential for co-located oceanographic (both surface and water column) observations of marine debris; co-designed environmental monitoring of marine habitats (e.g., seagrass and macroalgae) with seafloor debris surveys; or better interfacing the rapidly evolving capacity of remote-sensing detection with environmental monitoring to expand and validate modeling and scientific assessments toward informed decision-making.

GOOS aims to support the community in establishing IMDOS as a backbone observing system for delivering data to strengthen scientific knowledge about marine debris pollution. In particular, the aim is to establish a globally coordinated network that observes debris floating on the ocean’s surface. The envisioned observing network will build on global harmonization of monitoring methods and data sharing initiatives supported by the Japan Ministry of the Environment and G20 countries. It will consider viable observing methods and platforms following GESAMP Working Group 40 (https://www.gesamp.org/work/groups/40) guidelines as well as the feasibility and cost analysis of augmenting existing standard operating protocols of relevant GOOS observing networks. The network’s status, progress, and performance could be visualized through the OceanOPS real-time dashboard and toolbox (https://www.ocean-ops.org/board). The network would also bring together different citizen science initiatives aiming at collecting observations of debris and associated biota from non-commercial (i.e., sailing and other recreational) vessels. This activity will further be included in the larger cooperation effort led by OceanOPS within the UN Ocean Decade project Odyssey.

Many components of a future IMDOS are already being implemented, although global coordination of these efforts has not yet been achieved. Advances include expanding collaborations among remote-sensing and in situ monitoring groups and among scientists and environmental groups, harmonizing and standardizing methods of marine debris sampling and monitoring (e.g., https://www.euroqcharm.eu/en for microplastics), developing global data synthesis products enabled by the growing number of international databases (e.g., Isobe et al., 2021) and experiments such as FloatEco, and implementing new sensors (e.g., https://www.oceandiagnostics.com/).

As the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030) focuses attention on ocean health, the development of interdisciplinary connections between scientists, the public, and other stakeholders will allow the community to identify and act upon the most important issues associated with anthropogenic marine debris.
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A Novel Experiment in the Baltic Sea Shows that Dispersed Oil Droplets Can Be Distinguished by Remote Sensing

By Kamila Haule, Włodzimierz Freda, Henryk Toczek, Karolina Borzycka, Sławomir Sagan, and Mirosław Darecki

OIL DROPLETS AS MICROPOLLUTANTS

Dispersed oil droplets are among the most harmful micropollutants in the ocean. They may be crude oil droplets remaining after an oil spill, ship engine oil droplets expelled in wastewater, or land-based oils from industrial and agricultural sources that are carried into the sea. Regardless of the source, oil droplets are a threat to marine life, from tiny plankton to large mammals. They can remain in the surface mixed layer for months to years, and they can be deposited on the ocean floor, affecting the health of benthic organisms. Long-term dispersed oil pollution can also impact human health through the consumption of oil-polluted seafood or as it affects water quality. Along with surface oil spills, it is important to be able to determine the location and concentration of oil droplets in seawater in order to predict their motion and undertake cleanup actions.

DETECTING DISPERSED OIL DROPLETS

Remote sensing, based on measuring the backscattering of light from a distance, allows detection and monitoring of oil dispersed on the sea surface. To date, oil slicks have been the main focus of remote detection and monitoring of oil pollution. Hu et al. (2021) summarize the achievements of oil spill detection and discuss the way forward toward the detection of dispersed forms of oil. We explore the question: Can remote ocean color sensors detect tiny oil droplets that remain in seawater for months to years? These tiny droplets are not visible to the human eye in volume concentrations under 15 parts per million (ppm), which typically occur in coastal zones.

Research teams from Gdynia Maritime University and the Institute of Oceanology of the Polish Academy of Sciences jointly conducted a three-stage series of experiments in 2015–2021 to test remote detection of dispersed oil droplets. These experiments included laboratory measurements of physical properties of pure and dispersed oils, mathematical modeling of the influence of dispersed oil droplets on the light backscattered by polluted seawater, and fieldwork in the Baltic Sea. In the laboratory, direct high-precision optical sensors measured temperature-dependent density, viscosity, absorption coefficient, and refractive indexes of pure oils, as well as droplet size distributions in dispersed oils. The results were applied in calculations and modeling of parameters related to light propagation in seawater and ocean color. Some of our modeling results can be found in Haule et al. (2017) and Haule and Freda (2021).

SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF OIL DROPLETS MATTERS

Studies of the interactions between light (visible electromagnetic radiation) and oil droplets present in seawater led us to conclude that the predominant factor that defines these interactions is the droplet size (diameter) distribution. The size structure of oil droplets in the ocean depends on their entrainment in seawater (natural or altered by chemical dispersants), oil type, and environmental conditions such as wave action or turbulence. We found that larger oil droplets of near-millimeter size (bigger than a grain of pollen) decrease the intensity of solar radiation scattered upward in the water column, which is usually related to the amount of incident light and is called the reflectance—an attribute exploited in remote sensing. The presence of larger oil droplets would thus reduce the signal received by remote sensors. Conversely, tiny micrometer-sized droplets (smaller than bacterial cells) increase the intensity of solar radiation scattered upward in the water column. Thus, their presence would increase the signal received by remote sensors. In a real situation, oil droplets in seawater are a mixture of different sizes, and the final light intensity detected by remote sensors will be cumulative.
MEASUREMENTS IN THE BALTSIC SEA

Our Baltic Sea measurements demonstrated the possibility of remote (airborne or satellite) detection of oil droplets in seawater under real field conditions. Optical data were collected in a specially designed floating transparent tank with a volume of about 1 m³. This configuration limited the oil-polluted area and allowed us to keep the oil droplet concentration stable, with minimal disturbance from surrounding waters but maintaining near-natural conditions. Figure 1 shows the experiment concept and an example result. Figure 2 photos display each step of the field experiment.

The main field experiment was conducted from the research vessel Oceania in the coastal zone of the southern Baltic Sea. Three types of oil were dispersed in our experiment tank: crude, biodiesel, and mineral in five consecutive concentrations, from 1 ppm to 15 ppm, the concentration range permitted for the effluent and drainage of vessels under the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL 73/78 and its subsequent annexes). It is worth emphasizing that all oil types noticeably increased the intensity of solar radiation backscattered in the water column and detected by remote sensors, indicating that the signal from unpolluted seawater differs from the signal of seawater polluted by oil droplets, and that difference carries information on the presence and properties of dispersed oil.

We found that different oil dispersions tended to affect different spectral regions of visible light. Some oils made the seawater appear redder, some greener, and others bluer compared to seawater without any oil droplets. These subtle changes were complex, combined effects of oil type and size distribution. For example, a light crude oil that consisted mostly of fine submicron droplets made seawater appear bluer, and a medium-heavy crude oil that included significantly more larger droplets made seawater appear redder. Biodiesel added more blue and red light to the signal, while some mineral oils made seawater appear redder or greener. Moreover, we found that the modification of the remote signal by dispersed oil droplets can be enhanced or reduced depending on the state of the natural seawater being examined. For example, it is easier to detect dispersed oil in clear open ocean waters than in turbid coastal zones. Seawater rich in phytoplankton or other suspended particles usually produces a lower reflectance signal than clear water, and thus the difference made by the presence of low concentrations of oil droplets may be too small to be detected. Details of the field experiment are discussed in Haule et al. (2021).
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SOUNDSCAPE DEFINED
Sound travels further through water than light and is one reason why many marine animals use sound to communicate and gain information about their surroundings. Scientists collect recordings of these underwater sounds to gain information on species' habitat use, abundance, distribution, density, and behavior. In waters where visibility is severely limited or access is difficult or cost-intensive, passive acoustic monitoring is a particularly important technique for obtaining such biological information over space and time.

The “soundscape” of an ecosystem is defined as the characterization of all the acoustic sources present in a certain place (Wilford et al., 2021). A soundscape includes three fundamental sound source types (Figure 1): (1) anthropophony, or sounds associated with human activity; (2) biophony, or sounds produced by animals; and (3) geophony, or sounds generated by physical events such as waves, earthquakes, or rain (Pijanowski et al., 2011). Studying soundscapes can provide biological information for a specific habitat, which could then be linked to ecosystem health status and other bioindicators. This information can be used to monitor the habitat over time, allowing for rapid detection of habitat degradation, such as in response to human-driven events.

SOUNDSCAPE DATA ACQUISITION
Acoustic recordings can be collected using devices that are either fixed to the ocean floor or floating/navigating in the water column; by stations cabled to a land-based laboratory; or by instruments towed from boats (i.e., hydrophones) or attached to animals (i.e., bio-loggers). New technologies permit long deployments (months) that generate large amounts of acoustic data. Analyses of these data are very labor and time intensive, so automation is highly desirable.

SOUNDSCAPE ANALYSES
Because the study of underwater soundscapes is relatively new, there is not yet a standardized way of processing acoustic data (Wilford et al., 2021). Thus, given the variety of instruments, mooring types, and deployment settings available, it can be challenging to compare results between different data sets. However, some initiatives, like the International Quiet Ocean Experiment (IQOE), are creating standards for underwater sound processing.

When analyzing acoustic habitats, different approaches can be considered. Common examples include the detection and quantification of specific events or the calculation of acoustic indices, which are summary statistics that describe the distribution of acoustic energy and can sometimes be correlated with certain biological or ecological habitat properties. Apart from classical acoustic indices, sound ecological indices could reveal the status of marine ecosystems, but they require previous knowledge about each sound type and its characteristics. One common approach to visualizing the soundscape is to use a spectrogram, a visual representation of a sound’s intensity and frequency over time. A spectrogram allows identification of interesting acoustic events and their timing, even for sounds outside the human hearing range.

NOISE POLLUTION
Over the last many decades, human activities at sea such as pile driving, dredging, or shipping have increased, contributing to and sometimes dominating underwater sound levels. When anthropophony masks biophony, marine animals that rely on sound to detect predators or prey, to find or communicate with mates or offspring, and/or to navigate can be harmed (Duarte et al., 2021). Thus, it is important...
to describe and record the soundscapes of places that are currently less and more disturbed to quantify current noise levels. Knowledge of these “baselines” will enable us to measure additional human-driven degradation to the oceanic soundscape and the resulting impact on marine life.

Jacques Cousteau’s first impression of the ocean was that it was silent. We now know it has always been filled with natural sounds. In 2008, the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) established that low underwater sound levels are one descriptor of a Good Environmental Status (GES) (MSFD 2008/56/EC), even though there is still no common description of an acoustic GES. On the other side of the Atlantic Ocean, and in the Southern Hemisphere, Colombia’s National Environmental Licensing Authority (ANLA), in charge of environmental regulations for infrastructure projects, stipulated in articles 2 and 3 of decree 3573 that licenses for megaprojects, such as port construction, must be approved by ANLA, which is also responsible for monitoring environmental implications.

CASE STUDIES

Here, we describe two study regions with vastly different soundscapes, characterized by extremely different shipping densities (Figure 2). The first study region, the Gulf of Tribugá, Colombia, is “less disturbed” by undersea noise (closest to pristine). It serves as a general marine soundscape baseline for comparison with possible future disturbances from port construction and operation. By contrast, the second study region, the Belgian part of the North Sea (BPNS) is located in a “more disturbed” area of very exploited shallow waters. Its baseline is being used to monitor the effects of noise reduction policies. We chose October 16, 2020, at 12:00 until October 17, 2020, at 07:30 (local time) as the day for our soundscape comparison. Our hypothesis is that biophony dominates the Gulf of Tribugá while anthropophony dominates the BPNS.

Gulf of Tribugá

The main goals of the PHySiColombia Project were to identify which sound sources exist in the Gulf of Tribugá (Figures 2a and 3); to measure the contributions of sounds from small boats, humpback whales, fish, dolphins, and storms/tides; and to establish the cycles for each source (Rey-Baquero et al., 2021). One of the rainiest areas on Earth, Tribugá boasts high biological diversity. Due to its high ecological value, it is a newly designated Hope Spot (an ecologically unique area of the ocean designated for protection). This area currently contains no shipping lanes, so boat noise is generated only from small-scale ecotourism and artisanal fishing. It is part of the breeding grounds for humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) Stock G, a species whose survival relies on acoustic communication. The longest monitored deployment site (from 2018 to 2021) is Morro Mico (5°52’10.1”N, 77°18’40.7”W), in the north of the Gulf just south of Utría National Park, about 0.5 km from the coast. Data were collected at 25 m depth using an ecological acoustic recorder (Oceanwide Science Institute) programmed to record for 10 minutes every half hour at 15.625 kHz sampling rate.
Belgian Part of the North Sea
As a part of the Belgian LifeWatch project, an acoustic network was deployed that records continuously in different locations of the BPNS, one of the busiest ocean areas in the world. This shallow sea is characterized by sand banks and a wide variety of sediment that hosts five benthic communities.

The aim of this network is to measure underwater sound across benthic habitats. The lack of historical data prohibits defining an unimpacted soundscape baseline. The location for the site used to compare with the Gulf of Tribugá is the Westhinder shipwreck (51°22'52.2''N, 2°27'9.72''E; Figures 2b and 4), which is next to an anchor zone for commercial ships and close to a shipping lane. Therefore, shipping and other anthropophony constitute an overwhelmingly dominant ocean sound source. It is also populated with harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*), but their echolocation frequency is too high for the recorder used, so they cannot be seen in the acquired data. Data were collected with a SoundTrap 300 HF (Ocean Instruments) attached to a tripod at 1 m above the sea bottom at 96 kHz sampling rate, recording continuously. It was 32 m deep and about 30 km from the coastline.

SPECTROGRAM VISUALIZATION
We identified different sounds using spectrograms generated by Raven Pro 1.6 software (Figure 5). Marking the spectrograms manually when each sound type occurred allowed us to determine the schedules on which animals, natural events, and human-made noises operated. The largest contribution to the Gulf Tribugá soundscape was from singing humpback whales, then shrimp, and finally fish. Anthropophony was primarily from small boats, but occasionally from one or two larger shrimping boats. The loudest geophony sounds came from rain and wind, while the sloshing of the tide and crashing of waves onshore commonly existed in the background.

In contrast, anthropogenic noise dominates the BPNS soundscape. The identified sounds were generated by large ships, probably commercial or fishing. Another identified sound is possibly dredging or trawling, which is concentrated at about 1 kHz or below and is constant and prolonged.

SPECTRAL PROBABILITY DENSITY COMPARISON
To compare the soundscapes of both locations, we computed the spectral probability density (SPD) of each location using pypam ([https://github.com/lifewatch/pypam](https://github.com/lifewatch/pypam)). SPD is useful for computing the statistical distribution of underwater noise levels across the frequency spectrum (Merchant et al., 2013). To compute the SPDs, the audio files were divided into one-minute samples. Frequency distribution and the probability of each frequency appearing at a certain sound level (from 20 to 140 dB re 1 μPa) were computed, and both sites were processed to remove the direct current (DC) electrical noise generated by the instruments. The data from the BPNS location were downsampled to match the sampling rate used in Tribugá so that the frequency and time resolution of both SPD computations would match.

The 1st, 10th, 50th, 90th, and 99th percentiles of the SPD represent the intensities and contributions of sounds in the soundscape. The 1st percentile represents sounds that occur 99% of the time but are low intensity, and the
99th percentile represents the loudest sounds, which occur only 1% of the time. Depending on the soundscape, each percentile can represent different sound sources.

Biophony dominates Tribugá’s soundscape: the 1st percentile represents the sounds of snapping shrimp (sound energy above 4,000 Hz); the humpback song is represented by the 10th and 50th percentiles, especially if there are several whales singing at once. One common high-energy frequency band in song (~300 Hz) is visible as a less evident peak (when there are fewer singers) in the 90th percentile. Another peak above 1,000 Hz could represent another band in humpback whale song, but because it is only in the 90th percentile for this day, it likely is due to rain (Figure 6). The 99th percentile has a predominant peak around 300 Hz, and there are several other peaks between 50 Hz and 1,000 Hz that represent bands of noise from small whale-watching and fishing boats that speed by Morro Mico quickly.

In the BPNS’s SPD, there is a clear peak between 20 Hz and 300 Hz, which is known to be the frequency band for shipping noise. Compared to Tribugá, ship noise is present for longer durations in the BPNS. Biophony present in the BPNS is mostly masked by anthropophony, and the contribution of marine animals to the soundscape in the BPNS is less frequent than anthropophony, so it is not obviously represented in the SPD. In addition, recorded sound levels are generally louder in the BPNS than in Tribugá (Figure 6). The loudest sounds in the BPNS are lower in frequency, while in Tribugá the higher frequencies are louder. Because sound sources are more infrequent in Tribugá (i.e., no shipping lane exists to create a constant band of noise), it has greater variability in frequency and loudness, which correlates with some studies that link biodiversity or other biological indicators (Wilford et al., 2021).

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

By first establishing acoustic baselines in less and more noisy ocean regions, monitoring soundscapes over time can be a cost-effective method for assessing the health of marine ecosystems. Some scientists are developing acoustic indices that would link acoustic features to biodiversity or other biological indicators (Wilford et al., 2021). Few standards exist for sensor deployment configuration, making ecosystem comparisons challenging or not feasible, and no global acoustic indicator yet exists. However, various groups are working to standardize marine acoustic data acquisition and to develop a more general approach to establishing different ecosystems’ soundscapes. How long or how often the recorder is active also influences data analysis, so it is often not possible to compare different time periods. Because many studies focus on a single region and have budget constraints, data often come from one type of environment and deployment configuration. Several recorders spaced at intervals could capture soundscapes in a single area with varying seafloor topography or differing sediment types.

Biophony dominated the Gulf of Tribugá, while anthropophony dominated the BPNS and masked any biophony. Our analysis demonstrated that different sources drove each soundscape. If the sound sources are known, SPD can be interpreted by specialists to describe the soundscape, but unknown sources remain a limitation for soundscape studies.
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Ocean observing systems provide scientists, resource and conservation managers, industry, recreationists, and the general public with ocean data and information to improve decision-making (Iwamoto et al., 2016). While the need for improved open ocean data is global, data and information to address the needs of local, coastal communities is increasingly important and must be identified to effectively aid decision-making by local governing bodies, stakeholders, and those mentioned above.

Initiated as a pilot project in Honolulu in 2007, the Pacific Islands Ocean Observing System (PacIOOS; https://www.pacioos.hawaii.edu/) was first certified in 2015 by the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) as a Regional Information Coordination Entity. Its area of interest includes the State of Hawai‘i; the territories of Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands; the Freely Associated States of the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM); the Republic of the Marshall Islands; the Republic of Palau; and the Minor Outlying Islands of Howland, Baker, Johnston, Jarvis, Kingman, Midway, Palmyra, and Wake. PacIOOS collects ocean data from partners across the region, develops and maintains numerical models and forecasts, and integrates this information into freely accessible data services and user-friendly web interfaces (Iwamoto et al., 2016).

PacIOOS also collaborates with various organizations and individuals who need water quality data on shorter timeframes to inform their work. The Water Quality Sensor Partnership Program (WQSPP) supports scientists, natural resource managers, and citizens to collect data for research, conservation, planning, and resource management projects. Accurate and reliable oceanographic parameters are often difficult to obtain due to a lack of resources and/or technical expertise. The WQSPP aims to fill this gap by partnering with local project coordinators to increase the understanding of coastal marine ecosystems. State and government resource agencies, colleges, nongovernmental organizations, private businesses, and citizens, as well as independent researchers within the PacIOOS region can apply to use a Sea-Bird 16plus V2 SeaCAT water quality sensor for a period ranging from six months to two years. Sensor packages autonomously measure conductivity, temperature, and pressure with high precision, and up to seven auxiliary sensors can be simultaneously deployed to measure additional parameters such as chlorophyll and turbidity. In addition to the sensor suite, participants are provided with data management and technical capacity building assistance to allow for robust data collection.

An application for the WQSPP is initiated with a concept paper outlining the participant’s location, eligibility, purpose, and impact; project duration; permitting requirements; management practices; and a map of the proposed field site. Successful applicants then work with PacIOOS staff to complete a more comprehensive application, and if selected, a project agreement plan. While PacIOOS supplies all the equipment, training, and data management, applicants are expected to pay for all costs associated with transporting and maintaining the sensor on site.

Presently, four sensors are being deployed in the WQSPP program (Figure 1). Data from these sensor packages are used to characterize temporal variability in the water column properties at each site. After data are collected, partners receive a summary document providing specific...
FIGURE 3. Data produced by PacIOOS WQSPP sensors for Friends of Kewalos. The figure focuses on in-water construction periods (red box) during the Kewalo Basin Harbor construction project and a rain event (blue box).

details of the project, visualizations, and descriptions of the data gathered by the sensor along with a case study addressing specific objectives of the deployment. Thus far, sensors at individual sites have provided insights into runoff patterns caused by heavy rainfall and progress in pollution cleanup, and they have clarified the impact from periods of in-water construction on local water quality.

One of the WQSPP projects was with Friends of Kewalos, based in Honolulu, Hawai‘i. Friends of Kewalos worked with the PacIOOS WQSPP to deploy and manage a sensor located on the south shore of O‘ahu in Māmala Bay, at Kewalo Basin (Figure 2). Friends of Kewalos is comprised of recreational users committed to protecting and preserving the Kewalo Basin Park and the surrounding shoreline and ocean. Their intent is to mālama (care for) Kewalo Basin, to ensure that its users will continue to have access to this site and the ability to enjoy it for generations to come.

Deployment for this partnership extended from September 2017 to May 2019, including a period of in-water construction at Kewalo Basin Harbor in October and November 2017. In September 2017, Kewalo Basin Harbor initiated an improvements project aimed at increasing harbor berth count, rehabilitating piers, and replacing a condemned loading dock and fueling system. This project included both out of water and in-water construction, and standard erosion barriers were put in place to prevent sediment from leaving the construction site. Friends of Kewalos and other community members were concerned that this construction (particularly in-water) may have adverse effects for both the surrounding marine environment and those who enjoy it. Measurements taken by the nearshore sensor did not show any significant changes in the parameters measured over the course of each in-water construction period.

A second result from this WQSPP deployment focused on a rain event that occurred on December 26, 2017. As the neighboring stream gauge height (US Geological Survey) rose to 6.21 ft, salinity levels dropped down to 28.81 practical salinity units, chlorophyll and turbidity levels rose, and temperature dropped to its lowest reading during deployment, 24.27°C, showing how a single environmental event can affect many aspects of nearshore waters (Figure 3). Through the collection of water quality information, partnerships like the PacIOOS WQSPP and Friends of Kewalos help natural resource managers and researchers to better evaluate their projects and make more informed decisions about them. PacIOOS aims to grow the number of sensor packages available and projects supported as interest and resources continue to grow.
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The floating, golden-brown algae, pelagic *Sargassum*, plays an important role in the marine ecosystem of the North Atlantic, and depending on its extension and impact, has the potential to be considered a pollutant. In the open sea, it provides a habitat to numerous fish and other species and represents a highly productive ecosystem in an otherwise low-nutrient environment. However, following an apparent regime shift in 2011, large amounts of *Sargassum* have entered the Caribbean Sea, mostly from the tropical Atlantic, washing ashore in massive amounts (Figure 1). These seasonal events have negatively affected the economies of the region’s island nations, which are largely driven by tourism and, to a lesser extent, fishing. The vast amounts of *Sargassum* may also cause problems for human health (e.g., arsenic concentration), marine navigation, and coastal ecosystems. Monitoring inundation events relies on a combination of in situ and remote-sensing data that have been specifically designed to detect *Sargassum* and are used to inform numerical models that help predict the extent, amount, and movement of these algae. Interoperable tools for data distribution, information management, and visualization are critical to ensure data, and results from monitoring and predictions, are readily accessible. Such a framework would benefit essential economic, social, and environmental domains and would define the baseline needed to coordinate future science-driven monitoring and evaluation efforts, including contributions toward eventual sustainable commercial exploitation/reuse of *Sargassum*.

The complicated dynamics of *Sargassum* render routine monitoring using ships to collect in situ observations at the scale of the North Atlantic, or even the Caribbean Sea, far from practical. In contrast, satellite sensors can simultaneously observe *Sargassum* across wide swaths of ocean. Detection of pelagic *Sargassum* by satellite sensors usually relies on the measurement of red-edge reflectance using bands in the red and near-infrared. Pioneering research by James Gower and Chuanmin Hu led to the development of indices, such as the Maximum Chlorophyll Index (MCI) and the Alternative Floating Algae Index (AFAI), for detecting *Sargassum* (Figure 2). Similar products (e.g., using the Sentinel-2 Multispectral Instrument) are being developed to improve the coverage in coastal areas, where higher-resolution data are needed to monitor *Sargassum*.

Several monitoring efforts are assessing the abundance of *Sargassum* in the open ocean and in coastal areas (Hu et al., 2016; Triñanes et al., 2021). Open and unrestricted access to near-real-time and historical MCI/AFAI data are provided by the University of South Florida’s *Sargassum* Watch System and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

**FIGURE 1.** Severe coastal inundation of *Sargassum* is shown in Belize in August 2018. Such events usually have important consequences for the local economy, public health, and the coastal ecosystem. *Photo credit: hat3m from Pixabay*

**FIGURE 2.** Satellite image of *Sargassum* lines in the eastern Caribbean region, as observed by satellite data from Sentinel-3 OLCI between August 31 and September 1, 2021. Color-coded locations of Argo (orange), drifter (magenta), and glider (yellow) measurements are indicated, and in situ *Sargassum* (green) observations are superimposed. The inset displays temperature and salinity profiles from in situ platforms are interactive online. The map was created using OceanViewer (https://cwcgom.aoml.noaa.gov).
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TOPIC 5. MULTI-HAZARD WARNING SYSTEMS

Long-Term Ocean Observing Coupled with Community Engagement Improves Tsunami Early Warning

By Danielle F. Sumy, Sara K. McBride, Christa von Hillebrandt-Andrade, Monica D. Kohler, John Orcutt, Shuichi Kodaira, Kate Moran, Daniel McNamara, Takane Hori, Elizabeth Vanacore, Benoît Pirenne, and John Collins

The 2004 magnitude (M) 9.1 Sumatra-Andaman Islands earthquake in the Indian Ocean triggered the deadliest tsunami ever, killing more than 230,000 people. In response, the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) established three additional Intergovernmental Coordination Groups (ICGs) for the Tsunami and Other Coastal Hazards Early Warning System: for the Caribbean and Adjacent Regions (ICG/CARIBE-EWS), for the Indian Ocean, and for the Northeastern Atlantic, Mediterranean, and Connected Seas. Along with the ICG for the Pacific Ocean, which was established in 1965, one of the goals of the new ICGs was to improve earthquake and tsunami monitoring and early warning. This need was further demonstrated by the 2011 Great East Japan (Tōhoku-oki) earthquake and tsunami, which killed more than 20,000 people, and other destructive tsunamis that occurred in the Solomon Islands, Samoa, Tonga, Chile, Indonesia, and Peru.

In response to the call to action by the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030), as well as the desired safe ocean outcome (von Hillebrandt-Andrade et al., 2021), the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) of UNESCO approved the Ocean Decade Tsunami Programme in June 2021. One of its goals is to develop the capability to issue actionable alerts for tsunamis from all sources with minimum uncertainty within 10 minutes (Angove et al., 2019). While laudable, this goal presents complexities. Currently, warning depends on quick detection as well as the location and initial magnitude estimates of an earthquake that may generate a tsunami. Other factors that affect tsunamis, such as the faulting mechanism (how the faults slide past each other) and areal extent of the earthquake, currently take at least 20–30 minutes to forecast and are still subject to large uncertainties. Hence, agencies charged with tsunami early warning need to broadcast public alerts within minutes after an earthquake occurs but may struggle to meet this 10-minute goal without further technological advances, some of which are outlined in this article.

To reduce loss of life through adequate tsunami warning requires global ocean-based seismic, sea level, and geodetic initiatives to detect high-impact earthquakes and tsunamis, combined with sufficient communication and education so that people know how to respond when they receive alerts and warnings. The United Nations
International Strategy for Disaster Reduction defines an early warning system as “a set of capacities needed to generate and disseminate timely and meaningful warning information to enable individuals, communities, and organizations threatened by a hazard to prepare and to act appropriately and in sufficient time to reduce the possibility of harm or loss” (UNISDR, 2012). In short, a successful early warning system requires technology coupled with human factors (Kelman and Glantz, 2014).

In this article, we explore case studies from Japan and Canada, where scientists are leading the way in incorporating ocean observing capabilities in their early warning systems. We also explore advancements and challenges in the Caribbean, an area with a complex tectonic environment that would benefit greatly from increased global ocean observing capabilities. We also explore physical and social science interventions necessary to reduce loss of life.

TECHNOLOGICAL CAPABILITIES
Seafloor seismometers measure Earth motions in three dimensions across an extensive frequency band, from tides, earthquake-caused resonances, and seismic waves to sounds created by whales and ships (e.g., Kohler et al., 2020; Kuna and Nábelek, 2021; Figure 1). Seafloor bottom pressure recorders enable detection of a tsunami wave and its speed, direction, and wavelength, providing information to help forecast coastal tsunami height and duration (e.g., Rabinovich and Eblé, 2015). For instance, Deep-ocean Assessment and Reporting of Tsunamis (DART) seafloor pressure recorders (or tsunameters) are coupled with a separately moored buoy at the sea surface (Figure 1) to send real-time data via satellite transmission to tsunami warning centers (TWCs). The real-time transmission of data (on the order of minutes to tens of minutes) to TWCs helps refine the location of a potential tsunami-generating earthquake and produce more accurate tsunami forecasts and warnings. Technological improvements in acoustic data transmission from seafloor networks to wave gliders, autonomous underwater vehicles, and buoys closer to or at the sea surface have helped reduce delays in delivery of data to TWCs (Figure 1). Wave gliders, which look like autonomous surfboards with collapsible propellers, use wave and solar energy for electrical power and propulsion. Within minutes, onboard communications systems access orbiting satellites in order to send data from seafloor sensors to onshore collection points.

In the past two decades, Japan, Canada, and the United States have also installed seismic and bottom pressure recorders onto regional ocean bottom fiber-optic cable arrays located in the Pacific Ocean. For example, in waters off southwestern Japan in the Nankai Trough, the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) constructed DONET, the Dense Oceanfloor Network system for Earthquakes and Tsunamis (Aoi et al., 2020). DONET connects various sensors to a node (a junction that connects sensors to a submarine cable) to provide data for evaluating the coupling and slip behavior along the Nankai Trough, a fault area presumed to be primed for a future earthquake and potential tsunami (Figures 2a and 3a). M8 earthquakes occur at intervals of about 100–200 years at the Nankai Trough due to the subduction of the Philippine Sea Plate beneath the Eurasian Plate. More than 75 years have elapsed since the last two M8+ earthquakes, the 1944 M8.1 and 1946 M8.3 events, ruptured the Nankai Trough. The probability of an M8 earthquake occurring in this region in the next 30 years is estimated to be more than 80% (Geological Survey of Japan, 2021).

FIGURE 2. (a) Map showing the Dense Oceanfloor Network system for Earthquakes and Tsunamis (DONET) station and borehole observatories (Integrated Ocean Drilling P-m/International Ocean Discovery Program Sites C0002, C0010, and C0006) in the Nankai Trough, offshore Japan. (b) Photograph of the head of the borehole observatory (C0010). (c) Schematic of sensors within the borehole (C0002). (c) modified from Kopf et al. (2011), Figure F9
DONET contains seismometers, pressure recorders, and borehole observatories (Figure 2b,c). JAMSTEC also constructed a seafloor geodetic network by utilizing the DONET system as data transmission infrastructure. In the central part of the Nankai Trough, a sensor system for observing earthquakes, strain, tilt, and pore fluid pressure is installed in boreholes drilled by the vessel Chikyu and connected to DONET (Figure 2b,c). The pore pressure data obtained show that the plate boundary fault slips slowly (by 1–2 cm) over a duration of ~2 weeks, with a frequency of every 1 to 1.5 years, in the shallow part of the presumed earthquake fault (Araki et al., 2017). Because recent seismological studies reveal a possible relationship between slow slip phenomena and the timing of large earthquakes (e.g., Araki et al., 2017), the development of a seafloor network for real-time geodetic observations that includes a borehole observatory, seafloor tiltmeters, and fiber-optic cable strainmeters is underway to quickly identify unusual slip behavior (Aoi et al., 2020).

After the 2011 Tōhoku-Oki earthquake, the National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED) quickly established an earthquake and tsunami monitoring network called S-net to monitor aftershock activity and to detect future tsunamis for early warning purposes (Figure 3b, e.g., Mulia and Satake, 2021). S-net is characterized by seismometers and pressure gauges embedded in offshore submarine cables that are now used to develop and implement a tsunami inundation early warning system. In the western part of the Nankai Trough, a hybrid observation network called N-net is under construction, with the features of both S-net (i.e., cable-embedded sensor system) and DONET (i.e., node-connected sensor system).

Similar to the Nankai Trough but on the other side of the Pacific Ocean, the Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ), runs beneath the west coast of the United States from northern California up through Washington state and then into southern Canada beneath Vancouver Island. Ocean Networks Canada (ONC) installed seafloor cabled observatories, called NEPTUNE and VENUS, to measure seafloor tectonic movement on the CSZ where the Juan de Fuca Plate subducts beneath the North American Plate. In 1700, the CSZ ruptured in a large M9 earthquake and produced tsunamis both locally and across the ocean in Japan, so we know the CSZ represents a significant earthquake and tsunami hazard to Canada and the United States. ONC’s seabed geodesy observatory uses long-endurance acoustic sensing seafloor monument nodes, similar to Japan’s DONET, where the data are wirelessly transmitted to a surface autonomous vehicle that, in turn, connects with the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) (Figure 4; Farrugia et al., 2019). Knowledge of the relative distance between the nodes enables scientists to calculate movement between the two converging plates with high accuracy.

To date, most seafloor cabled observatories and other infrastructure designed to make tsunami early warning a possibility are located in the Pacific Ocean. The variety of earthquake and tsunami-generating sources in
the Caribbean dictate the location and instrument types needed for an ocean monitoring network in this region. An extensive network of coastal sea level stations, DART tsunameters, GNSS stations, and seismic stations contribute real-time data to ICG/CARIBE-EWS. These data are used by the Pacific Tsunami Warning Center, as the Regional Tsunami Service Provider, and national tsunami warning centers to monitor, detect, and warn of impending tsunamis (von Hillebrandt-Andrade, 2013).

UNESCO performance standards are carefully applied to the location of Caribbean seismic stations with the goal of reducing to within one minute the time needed to detect an earthquake and determine whether it reaches a magnitude threshold of M4.5, which could generate strong enough shaking to constitute a tsunami threat (e.g., McNamara et al., 2016). In the first 10 years of ICG/CARIBE-EWS (2006–2015), large increases in the number of data-sharing seismic and sea level stations improved the performance of seismic and tsunami wave detection (Figure 5). For example, the seismic system increased from 10 stations, mostly operated by the onshore Puerto Rico Seismic Network (PRSN), to over 100 stations shared by all countries in the region (McNamara et al., 2016). The number of sea level stations reporting in near-real time increased from 5 to 78 between 2004 and August 2017 (http://caribewave.org). However, station operation faces many challenges in a region with annual hurricanes and other hazards. In September 2017, earthquake and tsunami monitoring performance in the Caribbean was significantly reduced due to damage to onshore networks and regional seismic and sea level networks by Hurricanes Maria and Irma, and then Hurricane Iota in 2020 (Figure 5).

Other regional and international partners lost numerous pieces of equipment and data transmission capabilities due to wind and water damage. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic has degraded performance, as all fieldwork to repair and maintain stations came to a halt, which resulted in long-term data drops. Today, station visits are beginning to occur as COVID travel restrictions are lifted throughout the region. Critically, based on the experience from the recent hurricanes, many instrumentation sites were not only repaired but also hardened to improve data continuity during future meteorological events. Although field

![FIGURE 4. This map of Ocean Networks Canada (ONC) networks highlights the geographical distribution of community observatories (blue diamonds) and community partnerships (red triangles). Community observatories include an ocean-bottom instrument platform that is linked to a cable through a wharf connection and provides continuous, real-time monitoring in bays and estuaries along Canada’s three coasts. The inset map shows the two major seafloor cabled observatories, NEPTUNE and VENUS, operated by ONC. NEPTUNE and VENUS monitor the Cascadia Subduction Zone and the British Columbia coastline, respectively. Each branch of the cable (solid blue lines) is connected to a node (orange squares), which provides power and high-bandwidth Internet connections to all sensors. Other instrumentation such as current and wave radars are identified in the legend.](image)

![FIGURE 5. Evolution of sea level stations in the Caribbean and adjacent regions available in near-real time for the Intergovernmental Coordination Group for the Tsunami and Other Coastal Hazards Warning System for the Caribbean and Adjacent Regions (ICG/CARIBE-EWS) along with major events and programs that have affected data availability (blue line). The CPACC (Caribbean Planning for Adaptation to Global Climate Change) and MACC (Mainstreaming Adaptation to Climate Change) were two programs of the Caribbean Community Center for Climate Change that included installation of coastal gauges. Hurricanes Irma and Maria in 2017 and the COVID-19 pandemic and Hurricane Iota in 2020 have deeply impacted growth projections. The upswing of coastal gauges is noted after the Indian Ocean Tsunami (2004).](image)
Technicians have repaired many stations and reinstalled others to contribute data to early warning and research, there are still seismic and sea level data gaps (Figure 6).

TSUNAMI EARLY WARNING
Collecting and distributing near-real-time data from long-term seafloor deployments will increase the accuracy of earthquake parameters, such as a quake’s location, the fault on which it occurred, and how large and energetic it was. Seismic waves generated by earthquakes, storms, and other environmental processes travel through all parts of Earth, and their recordings inform scientists about both the shallow and deep parts of our planet. Tying existing technologies to new ones, such as wave gliders and satellite and submarine cable data communications, provides a means for developing global long-term ocean observatories whose sustained observations will help to build coastal resilience to natural hazards. However, tsunami monitoring and early warning systems currently vary by country.

Japan’s 2011 M9 Tōhoku-Oki earthquake and tsunami devastated eastern Japan and led to rapid advancements in technology and tsunami early warnings. Prior to 2011, the Japan Meteorological Agency issued tsunami warnings based primarily on earthquake observations; this sometimes led to underestimation of other parameters, such as tsunami height (Japan Meteorological Agency, 2013). For the Nankai Trough, JAMSTEC and NIED constructed a database of simulated tsunami waveforms that are based on tide gauge and inundation height data for more than 1,500 tsunami scenarios. When a tsunami occurs, the early warning system compares observed tsunami waveforms with those in the database, extracts the appropriate inundation height information, and immediately issues a warning. Several local governments along the Nankai Trough (Figure 7) already operate similar systems. Although the tsunami inundation early warning system is important post-earthquake, information about current plate coupling (how two tectonic plates interact) and fault slip behavior collected continuously by seafloor geodetic instruments and transmitted to shore in real time is essential to prepare for future earthquake and tsunami hazards. For this purpose, the Japanese government disseminates pre-earthquake advisories when unusual fault slip is observed along the Nankai Trough.

In Canada, ONC integrates inundation forecasting into planning efforts to improve awareness of earthquake and tsunami risks as part of its tsunami hazards observing programs, including the development of a regional earthquake early warning system for southwest British Columbia (Schlesinger et al., 2021). ONC’s real-time observations of earthquake shaking and tsunami wave heights support official tsunami alerts from the US National Tsunami Warning Center. In addition, ONC has worked collaboratively since 2016 with at-risk coastal communities, including Port Alberni, Tofino, Prince Rupert, and Semiahmoo First Nation, to improve understanding of the impacts that a large tsunami could have on their unique coastlines, communities, and infrastructures.

The simulations also incorporate some amount of sea level rise, making the forecast relevant for decades to come. These simulations are essential tools in tsunami forecasting and water level inundation modeling, and contribute to tsunami preparedness, response, resilience, and recovery. Achieving accurate simulations requires high-resolution digital elevation models (DEMs). In 2019, ONC collaborated with the Semiahmoo First Nation, as well as national and international partners, to develop the first high-resolution DEM for British Columbia’s lower mainland (Figure 8). This cross-border model between Canada and the United States integrates 40 distinct sources of land, river, and sea level.

![Figure 6. ICG/CARIBE-EWS (a) seismic and (b) sea level station data availability maps for October 2021. These maps provide a snapshot of the percent data available from the seismic and sea level stations that the NOAA Pacific Tsunami Warning Center, the Regional Tsunami Service Provider, received in a timely fashion (within 15 minutes) for tsunami warning. Blue lines show tectonic plate boundaries where earthquakes are likely to occur. The colored triangles (a) and dots (b) represent the percent of data available: green >90%, yellow >50%–89%, red >0%–49%, and black, no data received.](image)
Elevation and bathymetric data over an area of ~7,500 km² to reveal the complex geographic features that can influence the behavior of tsunamis and currents as they move toward and impact the densely populated Salish Sea coastline.

Many island nations, such as those in the Caribbean, are located where tsunamis can reach shores within minutes of an earthquake, volcanic eruption, or submarine landslide. Over the past 500 years, 83 confirmed tsunamis have affected Caribbean countries, causing more than 4,500 deaths (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov). Hundreds of thousands of people are threatened along the Caribbean coastlines, including an estimated 500,000 daily beach visitors from North America and Europe, many of whom are not aware of the tsunami and earthquake threat in the region. UNESCO has led the establishment of a tsunami early warning system for the Caribbean over the past two decades. Efforts include facilitating real-time data sharing; developing standards of practice and protocols; implementing Tsunami Ready (https://www.tsunamiready.org), the IOC-UNESCO international performance-based community recognition program on tsunami preparedness; and organizing the annual regional Tsunami Exercise (CARIBE WAVE; https://www.tsunamizone.org/caribewave).

Earthquake and Tsunami Alerting Strategies and Barriers
In 2015, the United Nations released the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, which includes a goal to lower the global average number of disaster-related deaths from 2020 to 2030 compared to 2005 to 2015, noting that to meet this goal, monitoring and warning systems are required (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2015). In Japan, Canada, and the Caribbean, earthquake and tsunami detection and early warning systems depend on ocean bottom infrastructure coupled with near-real-time acoustic and satellite data transmission. A complementary requirement is that various audiences be acutely aware of what to do if they receive a warning and/or that they know the natural signs of an impending tsunami (e.g., von Hillebrandt-Andrade, 2013).

However, barriers remain in terms of access to alerts. For instance, warning systems tend to rely on mobile or smartphone technologies to deliver alerts, a privilege available only to those who can afford these technologies. Further inequities exist for communities who may struggle to understand the alerts they receive due to language comprehension, and for those with access and functional needs. Also, seasonal tourists who travel to these hazard vulnerable regions may not be aware of the risk and/or may not understand the local language. Given the many potential barriers, warning systems require messages that utilize universal design best practices, a diversity of alerting channels and modalities, and message languages (e.g., McBride et al., 2021). Further, we acknowledge the

Figure 7. Screenshots of forecasted tsunami inundations during demonstration and training for the tsunami early warning system. Near the center of each figure, a blue location marker indicates the predicted point of maximum tsunami height. (a) At this moment (time 0:00 or origin time), an earthquake has occurred. The map shows the target area for the tsunami inundation forecast following a simulated earthquake. (b) At 70 seconds past the origin time, an initial forecast based on DONET offshore pressure data estimates maximum tsunami height (193 cm) at the predicted point (blue location marker). (c) About three minutes after the earthquake, the tsunami is forecasted to have an estimated maximum height of 400 cm at the predicted point. (d) The maximum inundation depth forecast (736 cm) is reached about 13 minutes after the earthquake. The time between the initial forecast of a tsunami >50 cm (in b) and the maximum inundation depth (in d) is on the order of 10 minutes, which provides an estimate of how much warning local disaster-prevention personnel will have before the tsunami impacts the coast.
potential for an earthquake- or tsunami-related disaster may be compounded by other life-altering events, such as hurricanes and pandemics, which can reduce capabilities for operating and maintaining existing networks.

If people do not know what to do when a warning is issued, the value and purpose of earthquake monitoring and tsunami early warning systems are in question. Thus, we must ask: how do people understand the warnings and alerts provided to them, and do they know the best protective actions to take? McCaughey et al. (2017) note that social influence, for example, people watching others use cars to evacuate and then mirroring that behavior rather than using vertical evacuation buildings (structures built for coastal residents to escape tsunamis) was a major factor during a tsunami warning issued for the 2012 M8.6 Indian Ocean earthquake in Banda Aceh, Indonesia. Sutton et al. (2018) write that effective tsunami warning messages include more text (360 characters) that delivers information about the location of impact, threat-associated risks, and recommended protective actions. Earthquake- and tsunami-related drills and exercises, such as the international Great ShakeOut (https://www.shakeout.org/), TsunamiZone (https://www.tsunamizone.org), and IOC-UNESCO Wave Exercises, provide opportunities to communicate with and educate various audiences about their hazards (Figure 9). For the Caribbean and adjacent regions, IOC-UNESCO’s annual CARIBE WAVE involves 48 countries and territories extending from Bermuda to Brazil. Hundreds of thousands of people have participated since 2011 when the first exercise was held. The infrequent nature of earthquakes and tsunamis means that preparedness competes with other aspects of everyday life, but these drills offer regular opportunities to practice preparedness and evaluate the effectiveness of drills for specific countries and organizations (McBride et al., 2019).

Global risk reduction from earthquakes and tsunamis requires alert systems that address inequities in every part of the system, including data acquisition, alert delivery, and education and social science strategies, so people know what to do in the event of a disaster. Recognizing the need to reduce the time and uncertainty in the first tsunami alerts and increase the readiness of coastal communities, IOC-UNESCO approved the Global Ocean Decade Tsunami Programme as an action of the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030). The program proposes to expand existing observational systems to ensure all TWCs and Service Providers have the tools they need to effectively warn coastal and maritime communities and that all communities at risk from tsunamis are prepared and resilient.

These case studies argue for a global, cooperative approach to hazard monitoring, including ocean observing systems. For example, the United States conducted a short-term deployment of ocean bottom seismometers as part of the Cascadia Initiative from 2011 to 2015 (e.g., Toomey et al., 2014; Suny et al., 2015) and installed a long-term cabled observatory with the Ocean Observatories Initiative offshore the CSZ, just to name a couple of monitoring efforts. However, the ShakeAlert earthquake early warning system for the west coast of the United States has not yet fully incorporated seafloor-based measurements into its workflow for the CSZ.

In addition, the regional cabled arrays in Japan (DONET and S-net) and Canada (NEPTUNE and VENUS) tend to be located near the countries’ coastlines where the ends of the cables are coupled with landing stations for power and data transmission purposes, which restricts their global utility in the open ocean. To combat the issues around power and data connectivity, the Joint Task Force on Science Monitoring And Reliable Telecommunications (SMART) is working to integrate environmental sensors for ocean bottom temperature, pressure, and seismic acceleration into submarine telecommunications cables (e.g., Howe et al., 2019). The increased number of globally distributed stations will provide valuable earthquake data that will lead to more reliable and accurate tsunami warning systems, as well as provide information that will greatly enhance details of three-dimensional global structure from Earth’s outer surface all the way to its inner core.
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INTRODUCTION

In the United States alone, hurricanes have been responsible for thousands of deaths and over US$1 trillion in damages since 1980 (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/billions/). These impacts are significantly greater globally, particularly in regions with limited hurricane early warning systems and where large portions of the population live at or near sea level. The high socioeconomic impacts of tropical cyclones will increase with a changing climate, rising sea level, and increasing coastal populations. To mitigate these impacts, efforts are underway to improve hurricane track and intensity forecasts, which drive storm surge models and evacuation orders and guide coastal preparations. Hurricane track forecasts have improved steadily over past decades, while intensity forecasts have lagged until recently (Cangialosi et al., 2020). Hurricane intensity changes are influenced by a combination of large-scale atmospheric circulation, internal storm dynamics, and air-sea interactions (Wadler et al., 2021, and references therein).

Components of the sustained ocean observing system (e.g., profiling floats, expendable bathythermographs, drifters, moorings) are useful for understanding the role of the ocean in hurricane intensity changes. However, gaps in the ocean observing system, particularly collection of data near the air-sea interface and in coastal regions, boundary currents (e.g., the Gulf Stream, Kuroshio, among others), and areas with complex currents and seafloor topography (e.g., the Caribbean Sea), have led to difficulties in accurately representing upper ocean features and processes in numerical ocean models. Employment of uncrewed ocean observing platforms has begun to fill these gaps by offering rapid relocation and adaptive sampling of regions and ocean features of interest. These platforms include autonomous underwater gliders (Figure 1; Testor et al., 2019) and surface vehicles (Meinig et al., 2019). Uncrewed surface vehicles (USVs), such as saildrones and wave gliders, are systems designed for data collection in hazardous conditions. Data collected by these platforms have improved our understanding of upper ocean temperature and salinity stratification and mixing processes and are becoming critical in improving operational ocean and coupled air-sea hurricane forecast models (Domingues et al., 2021).

This paper provides a broad overview of the ongoing US hurricane glider project and details of a new effort with the Saildrone USV during the 2021 hurricane season. While this article focuses on the US East Coast, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea, similar efforts are underway in Korea, the Philippines, Japan, and China, among other countries.

THE OCEAN AND HURRICANES

The ocean influences hurricane development through the transfer of heat and momentum across the air-sea interface (Le Hénaff et al., 2021; Wadler et al., 2021, and references therein). Warm sea surface temperatures are conducive to hurricane intensification while cool temperatures often lead to weakening. Research shows that upper ocean temperature and salinity ahead of and during hurricanes can evolve rapidly (Glenn et al., 2016). The evolution of the upper ocean depends on various factors, including wind speed and direction, wave state, upper ocean stratification, and interactions with the coastal ocean, among others. To accurately forecast hurricane intensity in the western Atlantic, coupled ocean and atmosphere operational forecast models must resolve large-scale warm ocean currents (e.g., the Gulf Stream, the Gulf of Mexico Loop Current, and their associated meanders and eddies; Todd et al., 2018); freshwater layers from large rivers such as the Amazon-Orinoco and Mississippi (Domingues et al., 2021), which can inhibit ocean mixing and maintain warm upper ocean temperatures ahead of storms; and shallow...
continental shelf features like the Mid-Atlantic Cold Pool, a cold bottom water mass that can rapidly mix to the surface and weaken storms before landfall (Glenn et al., 2016). New technologies such as uncrewed ocean gliders and surface vehicles, alongside more established components of the Global Ocean Observing System (e.g., Argo floats, air-launched expendable bathythermographs, and satellite sensors), will improve existing hurricane forecast and warning systems and support critical research to develop the next generation systems.

UNDERWATER GLIDERS
Gliders (Figure 1) have emerged as a major component of US and international multi-hazard warning systems. Since 2014, the operation of gliders for hurricane research and forecasts has been a joint effort by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) Regional Associations, academic institutions, the US Navy, the National Science Foundation, private companies, and other regional and international partners. Gliders are unique in their maneuverability, able to profile through the water column as deep as 1,000 m with vertical and horizontal speeds of ~10–20 cm/s and ~25 cm/s, respectively. Standard glider sensor packages include temperature, salinity, and density, while some gliders also collect profiles of water speed and direction. Biogeochemical measurements can include oxygen, phytoplankton, and particle concentration for water quality assessment. Numerous advanced sensor packages continue to be developed and integrated. Gliders can collect data as frequently as every two seconds, providing submeter-scale measurements in the vertical, though lower sample rates are typically used to conserve power and minimize surface time.

While opportunistic glider deployments were carried out for hurricane research in the first decade of this century, coordinated regional fleets were first used for hurricane research and operational model development in 2014. These experiments were supported by the congressionally authorized Disaster Recovery Act following the devastation of Superstorm Sandy in October 2012. Studies from this time period (Glenn et al., 2016; Domingues et al., 2021, and references therein) demonstrated the unique capabilities of gliders to contribute to our understanding of ocean feedbacks on hurricane intensity and to the improved accuracy of coupled hurricane model forecasts.

Following the coastal impacts of Hurricanes Irma and Maria in 2017, large multi-institution fleets of gliders have now been deployed to collect data. These efforts, and other leveraged glider observations, have resulted in over 280 deployments, collecting nearly 600,000 ocean profiles during 13,000 glider days in hurricane seasons from 2018 to 2021 in the open Atlantic Ocean, the Caribbean Sea, the Gulf of Mexico, and off the US East Coast (Figure 2).

These gliders were strategically deployed in regions with high probabilities of hurricane passage, near ocean features that impact hurricane intensity, and near vulnerable coastal population centers.

Gliders have collected data in the ocean under more than 30 Atlantic tropical cyclones. These data are provided to the publicly accessible IOOS Glider Data Assembly Center (DAC; https://gliders.ioos.us), where they are accessed in real time and distributed through the World Meteorological Organization Global Telecommunication System (GTS). This distribution pathway allows NOAA to access the glider profiles for assimilation into the operational numerical models, such as the global Real Time Ocean Forecast System, used to initialize the ocean component of coupled hurricane forecast models such as the NOAA Hurricane Weather Research and Forecasting model.

A data impact study of Hurricane Maria (2017) showed that, out of the suite of in situ ocean observing platforms, glider data locally generate the largest error reduction in intensity forecasts within NOAA operational forecast models (Domingues et al., 2021). Additional model improvements were achieved when glider data were used alongside other ocean observations (Halliwell et al., 2020). Gliders have also contributed to new understanding of hurricane-forced coastal ocean circulation (Glenn et al., 2016), impacts on boundary currents (Todd et al., 2018), ahead-of-eye mixing processes (Glenn et al., 2016), and...
impacts of these processes on hurricane intensity. With the development of new sensors and public data repositories, gliders additionally contribute to the understanding of regional ecosystems, fisheries, water quality, harmful algal blooms, ocean warming and climate change, and renewable energy, among other coastal processes, stressors, and solutions.

A NEW UNCREWED SURFACE VEHICLE FOR HURRICANE OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

To continue making significant progress toward understanding and predicting hurricane intensity changes, new technologies are being tested to provide improved estimates of air-sea fluxes in a hurricane environment. Efforts by public-private partnerships have rapidly advanced development of USVs into air-sea interaction observing platforms (Meinig et al., 2019). The use of renewable wind, surface wave, and solar energy for propulsion and instrumentation has increased USV endurance up to 12 months and enabled installation of more sensors (Zhang et al., 2019). Specifically, Saildrone USVs (Figure 3) are equipped with 15 sensor packages that measure 22 essential ocean and climate variables, such as sea surface temperature, salinity, oxygen, wave height and period, near-surface winds, air temperature, relative humidity, solar and longwave radiation, and barometric pressure (Zhang et al., 2019).

During the 2021 hurricane season, NOAA supported for the first time deployment and operation of five specially designed Saildrone USVs to measure air-sea interaction in regions where Atlantic tropical cyclones occur frequently. Compared to conventional Saildrone platforms, these extreme weather systems have shorter wings for increased stability, allowing them to operate in hurricane-force winds and in the presence of large breaking waves (Figure 3). For the 2021 mission, the five extreme weather Saildrone USVs were strategically located in regions of the western tropical Atlantic, the Caribbean, and near the US East Coast to maximize the probability of encountering at least one hurricane or tropical storm. The USVs continuously measured properties in the near-surface atmosphere and ocean and transmitted one-minute averaged data to the GTS and data centers in real time for assimilation into forecast models and for other public use.

The extreme weather Saildrone USVs travel at speeds of about 30–150 km per day, depending on winds and currents, and can be directed to locations directly in tropical cyclone paths. For example, during the 2021 mission, Saildrone SD-1031 traveled 35 km to the east during the 24 hours before the arrival of Hurricane Henri, bringing it within 50 km of the eye of the storm. The ability to move the USV into storm paths increases the chances of acquiring ocean-atmosphere measurements in high-wind conditions. These measurements are extremely valuable because the rates of heat and momentum exchange between the ocean and tropical cyclones, and storm dependence on the states of the ocean and atmosphere, are not well known, in part because there are so few measurements. The highlight of the mission was the passage of Category 4 Hurricane Sam directly over Saildrone SD-1045 on September 30 (Figure 4), when winds up to 56 m/s (at a height of 5 m) and waves as high as 14 m were recorded in the hurricane's northern eyewall. Saildrone SD-1045 then traveled across the eastern edge of the eye and through the southern eyewall, recording the first-ever video from the sea surface of the eyewall of a major hurricane (https://www.saildrone.com/press-release/ocean-drone-captures-video-inside-category-4-hurricane).

During the August–October 2021 Atlantic hurricane mission, two other tropical storms passed close to saildrones: Grace passed directly over Saildrone SD-1048 south of Puerto Rico, and Fred passed about 140 km to the north of the same saildrone. When they were not being directed toward tropical cyclones, the mission scientists worked with Saildrone Inc. pilots to keep four of the Saildrone USVs close to gliders to obtain nearly collocated measurements of the upper ocean and near-surface atmosphere (Figure 4). In addition, NOAA's hurricane reconnaissance aircraft acquired collocated aircraft profiles of atmospheric...
temperature, humidity, and winds from dropsondes together with ocean temperature profiles collected from air-launched expendable bathythermographs in Hurricane Henri. NASA aircraft also launched dropsondes near some of the Saildrone USVs during its Convective Processes Experiment–Aerosols & Winds (CPEX-AW) field campaign. These unique data sets will be valuable for advancing knowledge of interactions between the subsurface ocean and tropical cyclones.

CONCLUSIONS

Both autonomous underwater gliders and uncrewed surface vehicles such as saildrones represent advanced ocean observing technologies that are revolutionizing both our understanding of and ability to forecast hurricane track and intensity. To realize their full potential, these technologies will continue to be more closely integrated with established regional and global ocean and atmosphere observing platforms. One of the main objectives of these projects during the 2021 Atlantic hurricane season was to obtain collocated and simultaneous measurements of the upper ocean and air-sea coupling within a hurricane. These combined observations will provide new insights into the coevolution and coupling of the ocean and atmosphere to better predict storm intensity. Future hurricane observations should encourage more closely coordinated deployments of underwater, near-surface, and airborne observations in order to better understand rapid hurricane intensity changes. As ocean, atmosphere, and coupled model architecture and data assimilation capabilities continue to coevolve and improve, these observing systems and their shoreside cyberinfrastructure will become critical components of operational forecasting systems in the United States.
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FIGURE 4. Colored Saildrone tracks during August to October 2021 represent one-hour averaged wind speed measured at a height of 5 m. Saildrone data from the mission are available at https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/saildrone-hurricane2021/. Black lines show tracks of tropical cyclones that passed close to one or more Saildrones. Storm names and their maximum sustained one-minute wind speeds at locations of closest approach to a Saildrone are also indicated. Thicker pink lines indicate repeat tracks of ocean gliders that obtained collocated measurements with Saildrones. Background shading is sea surface temperature averaged during August to September 2021.
As the name suggests, tide gauges were originally devised for the singular purpose of monitoring tidal fluctuations in sea level in order to aid safe navigation and port operations. Early tide gauges, such as that used by the famous dockmaster William Hutchinson at Liverpool in the late eighteenth century, consisted of little more than graduated markers on sea walls or posts, against which the sea surface could be measured by eye (Figure 1). These were used to record and then forecast the times and heights of high and low water each day; printed in local tide tables, they provided rudimentary information on variations in the tide.

Within 50 years, automatic (or “self-registering”) stilling well and float systems were developed, consisting of a float housed in a large vertical tube, with an opening to the sea. The float would rise and fall with the sea surface and, by means of a pen connected to the float via a pulley system, its movements were captured on a paper chart fixed to a clock-driven chart recorder. This, for the first time, produced a continuous sea level trace, allowing other phenomena such as seiches, storm surges, and tsunamis to be clearly identified. Very high frequency variations in sea level, such as wave action, remained unsampled due to the damping effect of the stilling wells.

Through continued operation of these gauges over many decades, evidence of longer-term hazards emerged from their records, such as climate change-related sea level rise (SLR), a topic that is now considered in the important regular assessments of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Over the past few decades, a transition to radar, acoustic, or pressure-based tide gauges, together with advances in data-logging capacity, has enabled high frequency sampling (~1 Hz) that is also necessary for monitoring wave action; in addition, the co-location of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receivers with tide gauges has allowed scientists to infer the contributions of vertical land motion to rates of SLR. As a result, modern tide gauge networks are better equipped to monitor a wide range of sea level phenomena and are, therefore, viewed as multi-hazard warning systems.

Of course, robust warning systems demand a comprehensive network of monitoring stations together with coordinated and timely notifications of impending hazards. Sadly, the impetus for such developments has often been provided by natural disasters. The UK Tide Gauge Network (UKTGN), for example, was formed primarily for the purposes of storm surge monitoring and forecasting following the 1953 North Sea storm surge that led to the loss of ~2,400 lives. More recently, the devastating Sumatran tsunami of 2004 galvanized international cooperation, via the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC), to establish and augment hazard warning tide gauge networks in high-risk areas such as the Indian Ocean and the Caribbean and Mediterranean Seas and to upgrade to modern near-real-time data transmission methods such as the Inmarsat Broadband Global Area Network (BGAN) system.

The BGAN system was originally custom built to retrieve data from the remote stations of the UK’s South Atlantic Tide Gauge Network, which was established with the primary scientific aim of monitoring variability in circum-polar ocean transport in the South Atlantic and Southern Ocean. However, the network is now also the primary means of tsunami detection in the remote Southwest Atlantic (Figure 2), where there is presently no coordinated international early warning system. This brings us to an important point about the role of tide gauges in hazard warning: while some gauges are embedded solely as operational tools alongside numerical models within dedicated tsunami and/or storm surge early warning systems, they can never truly achieve multi-hazard status without some scientific evaluation after data collection. Design levels for sea defenses required by planners and civil engineers can only be derived thorough risk assessments, using quality-controlled observational data to estimate the combined
a periodic variation in the signal-to-noise ratio between a direct GNSS signal and one that is reflected from a relatively flat surface (such as the sea), allowing the elevation of the flat surface (i.e., sea level height) to be inferred. At present, GNSS-IR does not offer the high-frequency sampling or low latency communications needed for tsunami monitoring, but it lends itself to environments that are unsuitable for conventional tide gauge instrumentation and where the risk of tsunamis is lower. GNSS-IR is being adopted in several innovative European tide gauge networks, for example, those planned by the Horizon 2020 EuroSea project and by the National Oceanography Centre’s UK Tide Gauge Prototype project (Figure 3).

For these installations, the role of GNSS-IR extends beyond measuring sea level to monitoring significant wave height across extensive areas of bays and harbors. Other recent trials have shown that GNSS-IR can be adapted to monitor changes in beach profiles, soil moisture, sea ice, permafrost, and vegetation. This implies that a GNSS-equipped tide gauge system of the future might not only be capable of detecting multiple sea level hazards but also could be used to monitor their impacts on the surrounding environment, which would be a valuable tool indeed. Given that GNSS receivers have also been shown capable of detecting earthquakes in as little as 15 seconds after they occur, these instruments have the potential to revolutionize the use of tide gauges in multi-hazard warning systems.
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Phytoplankton, microscopic marine algae, are at the base of the food chain in most freshwater and marine systems and provide many positive benefits, including production of about half the oxygen on the planet and transformation of sunlight and inorganic elements into the organic material and energy that drive productive aquatic ecosystems. A subset of the phytoplankton, referred to as harmful algal bloom (HAB) species, such as the domoic-acid-producing *Pseudo-nitzschia*, are persistent threats to coastal resources, local economies, and human and animal health throughout US waters. HABs will likely intensify in response to anthropogenic climate change, and there is an immediate need for more effective strategies for monitoring and communicating the risks of HABs to human and ecosystem health.

The ocean science community has developed several novel sensors and methods for monitoring and predicting this diversity of HAB events. These include the Imaging FlowCytobot (IFCB) and various biophysical modeling systems optimized for HAB prediction. Research efforts funded by agencies such as California Sea Grant and the NOAA competitive HAB programs have resulted in advances in understanding and monitoring HABs in California and elsewhere, but outcomes were necessarily focused on specific regions, organisms, and impacts. California HAB researchers, stakeholders, and monitoring programs identified a needed statewide capacity that encompasses existing and emerging HAB issues and more effectively leverages new technologies in a coordinated manner. This led to development of the California Harmful Algal Bloom Monitoring and Alert Program (Cal-HABMAP) with an ambitious set of goals, including studies to normalize the diverse methodologies used in HAB research and monitoring, development of an economic analysis of resources along the California coast and the potential impact of HABs on these resources, and design and development of an integrated network of observations and models that are accessible to all HAB stakeholders.

Cal-HABMAP began as a grass-roots network of observing sites in 2008 with eight shore stations spanning southern to central California. While predating the establishment of the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS), it was quickly adopted by, and integrated with, the Central and Northern California Ocean Observing System (CeNCOOS) and the Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System (SCCOOS) to provide robust California-wide observations. Integration into the IOOS network ensured long-term stability of both funding and products and an opportunity to leverage ongoing efforts to facilitate research-to-operations as new technologies matured and were widely adopted by the community.

Today, Cal-HABMAP has greatly expanded in research scope and geographic range, achieving the vision set forth more than a decade ago (Kudela et al., 2015). In addition to the eight original sites, shore stations have been added at Bodega Marine Lab and Humboldt Bay. Northern California has emerged as a new HAB “hotspot” for domoic acid driven by climate change and the northward expansion of *Pseudo-nitzschia*, which led to the massive disruption of West Coast ecosystems, fisheries, and economies during the 2014–2015 marine heatwave; the Cal-HABMAP network effectively documented the impact and recovery of this event.

A limitation of Cal-HABMAP has been the lack of coverage along the coast and offshore, where sensing and sampling had historically occurred at only a small number of shore stations. The development of the California Harmful Algae Risk Mapping (C-HARM) system (Anderson et al., 2019) has largely addressed this limitation for the most common HAB events driven by the toxic diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia*. C-HARM generates and validates routine
nowcast and forecast products for both *Pseudo-nitzschia* blooms and domoic acid. Statistical ecological models rely on an understanding of the underlying mechanics of bloom formation and utilize near-real-time information from numerical model simulations, satellite imagery, and field observations from both Cal-HABMAP and marine mammal stranding programs (Anderson et al., 2019). These maps are intended to be easily interpreted by end users; for example, a quote from the public portal notes that “as Dungeness crab fishermen, we are following these models daily.” C-HARM predictions are also combined with other IOOS data to provide value-added products such as interactive data displays where stakeholders can identify overlapping areas of risk (Figure 1).

An exciting new network of IFCBs is being brought online to complement shore station and model observations with high temporal resolution (~30 minutes) plankton imagery. These instruments provide automated monitoring and classification of phytoplankton imagery at critical sites where many environmental observations are currently collected. The IFCB takes high-resolution images of fluorescing particles, primarily phytoplankton, and computer vision techniques provide standard morphometric data for each image, such as cell size and aspect ratio. Machine learning algorithms are then used to categorize images of taxonomic groups of interest, for example, *Pseudo-nitzschia*, while also providing information about the full community assemblage (Figure 2). Capturing the full assemblage is critical for understanding and predicting HAB events since HABs are just one component of the plankton. California leads the nation and world in creating the first such network of its size and paves the way for a National HAB Observing Network.

Cal-HABMAP’s success emerges from several core principles. First, sustained funding and a pathway from research-to-operations has allowed the HAB community to capitalize on the rich historical research and monitoring programs in California. Second, Cal-HABMAP is compliant with standardization of essential ocean variables (Muller-Karger et al., 2018), and its goals are well aligned with the needs and goals of local, state, and federal agencies. Finally, Cal-HABMAP is responsive to the needs and requirements of end users. As noted by one stakeholder, Frances Gulland, Commissioner at the US Marine Mammal Commission and former Senior Scientist at the Pacific Marine Mammal Center, “There have been repeated calls for such capability at workshops and in publications from oceanographers, veterinarians, ecologists, and public health officials, as these blooms have dramatic effects on marine mammal health as well as on the economy and human health.” We are hopeful that Cal-HABMAP can provide a successful example of how best to implement similar networks regionally, nationally, and internationally.
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Multiple stressors are affecting the Pacific Northwest (PNW) coastal ocean, including harmful algal blooms (HABs), ocean acidification, marine heatwaves, and hypoxia (low oxygen). While these conditions or events are tied to seasonal cycles such as upwelling periods and multiyear cycles such as El Niño/La Niña, they are becoming increasingly frequent and intense. Additionally, they can have devastating impacts on ecosystem health and human well-being, shutting down fisheries, stifling the local economy, threatening food security, and inhibiting cultural practices. For example, increasing ocean acidification has affected shellfish growers’ capability to secure reliable product. In 2015, a HAB associated with a marine heatwave shut down crab fisheries from Alaska to Baja for commercial and tribal fishers (McCabe et al., 2016), a closure so impactful that the US Congress included the Fishery Disaster Relief Program for Tribal Fisheries in the Budget Act of 2018. And, an unpredicted hypoxia event in 2015 resulted in the Quinault Indian Nation pulling up crab pots with dead crab. Regional projections indicate increases in warming, ocean acidification, and hypoxia by the end of the century (Siedlecki et al., 2021), so solutions are needed.

The challenge of multi-stressor impacts can be addressed by engaging a variety of partners to collect multi-variable observing and forecast data while increasing both scientific knowledge and application of data and information to real-world needs. The Northwest Association of Networked Ocean Observing Systems (NANOOS, http://www.nanoos.org/) helps sustain long-term observations and forecast models to help communities adapt to and plan for variable and changing ocean conditions, thus increasing resilience. NANOOS is the PNW regional coastal ocean observing system of the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS). It was recently designated a nexus organization for the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development because of its work to sustain and integrate ocean observations and modeling to produce publicly accessible regional data products that help diverse coastal communities ensure safety, build economic resilience, and increase understanding of the coastal ocean.

NANOOS, in collaboration with regional partners, provides observations of temperature, salinity, oxygen, chlorophyll, carbon dioxide, pH, and HABs from buoy assets off the PNW coast (Figure 1). These observations also support several models such as LiveOcean, which provides 72-hour projections of ocean variables such as temperature, salinity,
toxic cent NANOOS moorings have permitted investigation into Figure 2). Further, the contextual observations from adja
tional stressor to crab fisheries. The Quileute Tribe utilized
products to inform harvest decisions and will continue to be a valuable resource to the coastal community.

The need for reliable and timely ocean information is strongly felt by coastal communities to ensure their safety, livelihood, and provisioning. Partnerships and integrated multi-use data and models offer diverse user groups the information they need for enhancing resilience to climate change. We conclude that through two human qualities—the willingness to partner and the dedication of scientific investigators and technicians (as evidenced by buoy servicing throughout the COVID pandemic)—solutions are being found that increase our collective ability to face these challenges. NANOOS and sister IOOS ocean observing systems were designed to meet society’s needs for coastal resilience based on a strong scientific foundation and technology development. Such partnerships, founded on mutual respect and inclusion, must be sustained into the future.
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oxygen, and pH. Another model, J-SCOPE, forecasts these conditions six to nine months out. Collectively, data and model outputs are being used by researchers to increase our scientific understanding of coastal dynamics, including HABS, ocean acidification, hypoxia, and marine heatwaves.

This expanding scientific knowledge is a foundation upon which data products are built to aid effective resource management, maritime safety, and other public uses. Data from NANOOS and other federal, academic, tribal, state, and regional programs are integrated and served via tailored data products or applications on the NANOOS Visualization System (NVS), which is freely available to the public and supports a diversity of users. State and tribal resource managers actively use NVS and other NANOOS products to inform decisions on whether to open a beach for claming or defer crabbing effort. Shellfish growers access information about the present and forecasts of the degree of ocean acidification.

NANOOS assets also provide platforms for testing and developing new technology with partners. With funding from the IOOS Ocean Technology Transition Program and the NOAA National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, diverse partners deployed a seasonal, real-time HAB-monitoring mooring equipped with an Environmental Sample Processor (ESP), which is an advanced electromechanical fluidics instrument capable of detecting the HAB toxin domoic acid (Moore et al., 2021). ESP observations provide critical information to resource managers via the PNW HAB Bulletin, available on the NANOOS website. Tribal dependency on clam harvest is strong (Figure 2). Further, the contextual observations from adjacent NANOOS moorings have permitted investigation into toxic Pseudo-nitzschia spp. bloom dynamics, including the roles of advection, upwelling, and water property changes. This type of cross-platform analysis can ultimately increase forecast and monitoring effectiveness.

Ocean observing data empower resilience through knowledge. In addition to HABS, hypoxia presents an additional stressor to crab fisheries. The Quileute Tribe utilized funds from the Fishery Disaster Relief Program for Tribal Fisheries to work with the University of Washington Applied Physics Lab to build and deploy two real-time oceanographic moorings that the tribe now owns (Figure 3). The seabed moorings are equipped with oxygen sensors and profiling current meters for detecting hypoxic water and measuring its transport, with near-real-time data served by NANOOS. Deployed in June 2021, these moorings provide critical information to inform harvest decisions and will continue to be a valuable resource to the coastal community.

FIGURE 2. Relying on natural resources, four coastal Pacific Northwest treaty tribes have harvested razor clams on the Washington coast since time immemorial. Photo credit: Quinault Indian Nation

FIGURE 3. One of two new hypoxia moorings developed through a partnership among the Quileute Tribe, the University of Washington Applied Physics Laboratory, and NANOOS to aid natural resource managers’ fisheries decisions. Photo credit: Jennifer Hagen, Quileute Indian Nation
Collecting pristine observations of the sea surface from ships is challenging because research vessels destroy the integrity of the upper few meters of the ocean. This includes the sea surface microlayer (SML)—the top millimeter of the ocean—which controls the exchange of gases between the ocean and the atmosphere and plays an essential role in the dispersal of contaminants, including plastics, oil residues, and industrial organic substances. Observations of this thin surface layer at high temporal and spatial resolutions are needed to understand the ocean-atmosphere exchanges of CO$_2$, heat, particles, and freshwater. For these reasons, new technologies for studying sea surface processes are essential to advance understanding of the ocean's health and the ocean's role in climate (Schmitt, 2018).

In situ observations of the SML are also needed to validate and calibrate surface ocean observations acquired by various sensors aboard satellites. Conventional in situ methods for obtaining sea surface measurements such as Argo floats or conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) instruments do not take measurements of the sea surface but instead take readings at 3–5 m depth at best. Even the size of the platforms and sensors are often too big to resolve the fine scales of observation required to understand surface ocean processes. Similar to human skin, which has different properties than the tissues and organs underneath, the ocean has a skin with different properties than the water just below. The oceanography community has traditionally used data collected from 3–5 m depth and assumed or extrapolated similar conditions occur at the surface. This would be as if a dermatologist diagnoses your skin condition by examining an X-ray.

To resolve these issues, there have been several advancements in technology and sampling methods related to the SML and near-surface layer, discussed below.

**REMOTE-CONTROLLED CATAMARANS.** State-of-the-art research catamarans (Figure 1) permit assessment of vertical gradients of various biogeochemical parameters either by integrating onboard sensor data or by collecting discrete water samples from the SML and from additional near-surface depths. Among other parameters, onboard sensors can record temperature, conductivity (a measure of salinity), pH, partial pressure of CO$_2$, and fluorescent dissolved organic matter, while dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity, surface active substances, and extracellular polymeric substances are determined through analysis of discrete water samples. These catamarans also include meteorological sensor packages, and the latest version can operate autonomously, with live data transmission for mission planning. By using catamarans, we overcome the disadvantages of boats and research vessels, which break the structure of the near-surface ocean and the SML.
**BUOYS.** Drifting buoys are suitable for the study of the sea surface. Because they drift freely, any disturbance to the sea surface and its SML are minimal. We developed several drifting buoys for different scientific applications. There are drifting buoys that measure gas transfer velocities and air-sea CO₂ fluxes with high spatiotemporal resolution (Sniffle). Others are equipped to incubate waters at their depths of origin, including in the SML. For example, to study the metabolic contributions of microorganisms to gas exchange processes, we use light and dark bottles on the freely drifting Surface In Situ Incubator (SISI). There are surface buoys with sensors fixed at different depths to collect data on the water’s conductivity, temperature, or pH. The Surface Processes Instrument Platform 2 (SPIP-2; Figure 2) continuously profiles temperature, salinity, current velocity, and turbulence in the top meter of the ocean up to the very surface. We have also developed an SML skimmer that will be deployed on moored data buoys along shipping lanes to monitor floating soot particles.

**UNCREWED AERIAL VEHICLES.** We made the first fully autonomous deployment of fixed-wing, uncrowed aerial vehicles (UAVs) on R/V Falkor cruise FK191120 in November 2019 (Figure 3). The vehicles were equipped for high endurance (12+ hours with 6.8 kg instrument payloads) and high data bandwidth (100+ Mbs at 50 nm range), and they could take-off, hover, and land vertically. These UAVs provided “eyes over the horizon,” quickly covering more of the ocean than a ship and dramatically increased the research footprint available for science and discovery. Moreover, these UAVs can allow those aboard research vessels to quickly and efficiently assess targets or identify events of interest, such as cyanobacteria blooms, rather than wait for the ship to encounter a phenomenon that may not occur. After the UAV discovers a physical phenomenon of interest, the research vessel party can move to sample it while the UAV monitors its temporal and spatial evolution. These UAVs can be outfitted with remote-sensing instruments typically found aboard satellites, such as thermal cameras that provide sea surface skin temperature and hyperspectral imagers that yield ocean color data for identifying algal blooms. All of the imagery is telemetered in real time back to mission control on the ship, allowing real-time tasking and the reprogramming of data collection during the flight.

**OUTLOOK**

While the existence of the SML has been known for many decades, we still do not have a clear understanding of how it controls air-sea interactions. It is unclear to what extent SML sampling affects the SML’s integrity and the parameters of interest (especially for gases). We also expect a diurnal cycle of SML processes that we are just beginning to understand. The basic concept of the SML has also evolved. Initially, it was thought to be a separate layer that only existed during calm sea states. The SML was then shown to exist at regional to global scales and to have recurrent biofilm-like properties. Now, we are moving toward a definition of the SML as a biogeochemical reactor that exhibits vertical gradients that force the air-sea exchange of heat, energy, and mass.

Our goal is to develop readily available technology that will allow collection of data on the SML to become an integral part of research projects. Furthermore, we need to quantify SML processes and integrate them in ocean models. For example, there are still gaps in our knowledge of the ocean carbon budget. We hypothesize that we can close (or get closer to closing) the carbon budget if we introduce the SML into ocean carbon models. We cannot continue to use data collected at 3–5 m depth and extrapolate it to describe the near-surface layer because this extrapolation may introduce significant biases that affect calculations of the global carbon budget, the validation of satellite images, and our understanding of the freshwater cycle.
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Hyperspectral Radiometry on Biogeochemical-Argo Floats: A Bright Perspective for Phytoplankton Diversity

By Emanuele Organelli, Edouard Leymarie, Oliver Zielinski, Julia Uitz, Fabrizio D’Ortenzio, and Hervé Claustre

By installing biogeochemical sensors on 1,000 autonomous Argo profiling floats across the globe, the Biogeochemical (BGC)-Argo program is the only network capable of providing detailed observations of the physics, chemistry, and biology of the top 2,000 m of our ocean up to every 10 days, even in remote regions and during unfavorable conditions for manual sampling. This rapidly expanding network will yield large amounts of data that will help us understand marine ecosystems and biogeochemistry, evaluate the impact of increasing human-derived pressures on Earth’s climate, and develop science-based solutions for sustainable ocean and climate management.

Officially established in 2016, the International BGC-Argo program has built its mission on five science pillars and two management needs. One of the grand science challenges, and also a primary element for improving management of all living marine resources, is observing the composition of phytoplankton communities (BGC-Argo Planning Group, 2016). These microscopic, drifting, unicellular algae use sunlight and seawater to transform the carbon dioxide exchanged between the atmosphere and the ocean into oxygen and complex organic compounds. Phytoplankton create enough energy to benefit the entire food chain, from zooplankton to top predators.

Phytoplankton are so diverse that collectively they maintain a variety of biogeochemical and ecosystem functions, including carbon cycling and storage. These organisms display a wide variety of types, sizes, shapes, photosynthetic efficiencies, pigmentations, and light absorption properties. While various methods can be used to identify phytoplankton, the traditional method requires water samples taken at sea and experts using microscopes to identify species, distinguishing features such as size and shape. A newer, more high-tech method employs satellite observations of ocean color to provide information on cellular traits, which can in turn be used to identify phytoplankton types. Although they offer the highest spatial coverage of any autonomous systems, satellite observations are limited to providing information only for surface ocean waters.

In water, cellular traits are determined by measuring the amount of ultraviolet (UV) and visible (VIS) light coming from the sun. Water absorbs red light near the surface, while detrital particles and dissolved organic matter reduce the availability of light in the UV and blue spectral bands. Phytoplankton pigments absorb UV and VIS light at different wavelengths, and pigmentation is different across the various groups. Thus, changes in the colors of the light fields detected in seawater reflect various types of phytoplankton. Currently, the four color bands available on the radiometric sensors implemented on the global BGC-Argo array are not sufficient to characterize the spectral variability of the underwater light field, so the diversity of phytoplankton communities cannot be resolved by currently available sensors on the floats. Hyperspectral radiometry—capturing light over many wavelengths, enabling tens or hundreds of colors to be recognized—points the way forward (Jemai et al., 2021).

European scientists have cooperated to upgrade the BGC-Argo sensor package with a hyperspectral radiometer, which is mounted at the top of the float to avoid platform self-shading (Figure 1). This hyperspectral instrument senses the sun’s radiant energy in the water at 140 color bands, from 320 nm to 780 nm, every 3.3 nm. In 2021, the first at-sea tests of this technology involved deploying five newly configured BGC-Argo floats in two different environments: the Baltic Sea and the Mediterranean Sea. During their voyages, the new floats collected data for characterizing the dynamics of the submarine light field down to 300 m depth, from phytoplankton bloom to post-bloom conditions and during deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM) formation. The spectral signatures captured during two high-chlorophyll DCM events show that the descending light was absorbed differently at the two sites (Figure 2).

In the Baltic Sea, the most absorbed colors are blue and...
Hyperspectral floats will help to build a three-dimensional view of phytoplankton diversity and a "digital twin of the ocean" to allow monitoring of ocean health and carbon and energy flows throughout the food web, and to support management actions toward maintaining ecosystem resilience.
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FIGURE 2. BGC-Argo floats equipped with hyperspectral radiometers capture the optical signatures of phytoplankton communities and their compositions. The spectral bands at which light disappears provide information on pigments and thus on phytoplankton types present. Vertical profiles of hyperspectrally resolved downwelling irradiance (radiant energy from the sun) show, at the level of the deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM; thick blue line), a maximum of the remnant light around 560 nm for the brownish waters of the Baltic Sea (a), and around 480 nm for the blue Mediterranean (b).

Green. While blue is mainly absorbed by substances other than phytoplankton, green is mostly absorbed by diatoms and dinoflagellates. Indeed, diatoms and dinoflagellates contain the highest per cell concentrations of green-light-absorbing pigments (Organelli et al., 2017). In the Mediterranean Sea, the prevailing remnant light at the DCM and below is blue-green. This color is consistent with low pigment content, and thus communities composed of cyanobacteria and other small phytoplankton.

The combination of hyperspectral radiometry and Argo technology is especially promising for monitoring ecosystem changes and for building long-term records on phytoplankton diversity through the water column. By using light bands that are specifically targeted to discriminate among different phytoplankton types, we can greatly improve our knowledge of oceanic carbon stocks, pathways, and fluxes. Primary production is better constrained when BGC-Argo radiometric data are integrated into marine biogeochemical models.

The upgraded hyperspectral capability of BGC-Argo will also be beneficial for the management of living resources and ecosystem services (e.g., habitat suitability, fish stocks, and recruitment) and for biohazard surveillance (i.e., harmful algal blooms). Some species of phytoplankton are harmful due to their ability to massively proliferate or produce toxins. These toxins can affect a wide range of organisms, including fishes, seabirds, mammals, and humans. Because of specific pigments and high abundances, these harmful species modify the light in an easily recognizable manner. With hyperspectral radiometers, the BGC-Argo network may become a pillar for monitoring biohazards and the establishment of an early warning system for harmful phytoplankton (Figure 3) in the global ocean as well as in regional seas. This capability could be expanded to monitor toxic species by developing synergies with other optical observations from BGC-Argo or remote-sensing technologies (e.g., lidar).

BGC-Argo radiometry extends space-based observations of ocean color into the water column, and synergies have already been developed for validating satellite products. A novel synergy with hyperspectral floats will help to build a three-dimensional view of phytoplankton diversity and a "digital twin of the ocean" to allow monitoring of ocean health and carbon and energy flows throughout the food web, and to support management actions toward maintaining ecosystem resilience.
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FIGURE 3. Use of hyperspectral radiometry on BGC-Argo floats provides opportunities to improve surveillance of harmful algal blooms. Examples of two toxin-producing species found in Mediterranean waters are shown: (a) the dinoflagellate Gonyaulax cf. spinifera, and (b) the diatom Pseudo-nitzschia pungens. Image credit: Caterina Nuccio, University of Florence (Italy)
Range or resolution? We often get asked this question when mapping the seafloor. And it is important because the type of data we choose to collect fundamentally changes the science that can follow. Photos taken by camera-equipped autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) represent one extreme of the range/resolution trade-off, where sub-centimeter resolutions can be achieved, but typically only from close ranges of 2 m to 3 m. Taking images from higher altitudes increases the area mapped during visual surveys in two ways. First, a larger footprint can be observed in each image, and second, the lower risk of collision with rugged terrains when operating at higher altitudes allows use of flight-style AUVs (e.g., Autosub6000 shown in Figure 1), which are faster and more energy efficient than the hover-capable vehicles typically used for visual surveys. Combined, these factors permit several tens to more than a hundred hectares of the seafloor to be mapped in a single AUV deployment.

BioCam is a high-altitude three-dimensional (3D) imaging system that uses a stereo pair of high-dynamic-range scientific complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (sCMOS) cameras, each with $2,560 \times 2,160$ pixel resolution, that are mounted in a 4,000 m rated titanium housing. The housing has domed windows to minimize image distortion and also includes low-power electronics for communication, data storage, and control of the dual LED strobes and dual line lasers BioCam uses to acquire 3D imagery. The LED strobes each emit 200,000 lumens of warm hue white light for 4 milliseconds. The lasers each project a green line (525 nm, 1 W Class 4) onto the seafloor at right angles to the AUV’s direction of travel to measure the shape of the terrain. The optical components are arranged along the bottom of the AUV, with an LED and a laser each mounted fore and aft of the cameras (Figure 1). A large distance between these illumination sources and the cameras ensures high-quality images, and high-resolution bathymetry data can be gathered from target altitudes of 6 m to 10 m.

The large dynamic range of the sCMOS cameras is necessary for high-altitude imaging because red light attenuates much more strongly than green and blue light in water (Figure 2). A large dynamic range allows detection of low intensity red light with sufficient bit resolution to restore color information, while simultaneously detecting the more intense light of the other color channels without saturation. Range information from the dual lasers allows the distance light travels from the strobes to each detected pixel to be calculated for accurate color rectification (see Figure 2). Rectified color is projected onto the laser point cloud and fused with AUV navigation data to generate texture-mapped, 3D visual reconstructions (Bodenmann et al., 2017). The BioCam processing pipeline calibrates the dual laser setup so that quantitative length, area, and volumetric measurements can be made together with estimates of dimensional uncertainty, without the need for artificial field calibration targets (Leat et al., 2018).

Although 3D reconstructions are useful for studying detailed seafloor information, exploring them is both time-consuming and subjective. To help plan more effective data acquisition during research expeditions, it is valuable to be able to rapidly understand large georeferenced image data sets in expedition-relevant timeframes. For this, we have developed location-guided unsupervised learning methods (Yamada et al., 2021) that can automatically learn the features that best describe images in a georeferenced context.
FIGURE 3. Three-dimensional visual mapping data gathered at the Darwin Mounds marine protected area (59°54'N, 7°39'W). The top left panel shows a 30 ha area mapped using BioCam mounted on the autonomous underwater vehicle overlaid on side-scan sonar data. The expanded detail (indicated by red lines) shows a micro-mound (~5 m diameter, 20 cm high). The smaller, individual protrusions that form a ring around the mound are cold-water-coral colonies consisting mainly of Desmophyllum pertusum and Madrepora oculata. These can be seen more clearly in the expanded isometric view indicated by blue lines. The individual colonies have diameters of between 20 cm and 50 cm and are between 10 cm and 30 cm high in the area shown.

The data set without needing any human input for interpretation. These features are used to cluster images into groups with similar appearances, identifying the most representative images in each cluster and also allowing scientists to flexibly query data sets by ranking all images in order of their similarity to any input image, where the ranked outputs for different query images can be generated in milliseconds. Both the clustering and query returns can be visualized using georeference information to identify spatial patterns in the data sets.

Figure 3 shows an example of a 3D visual reconstruction collected during a survey of the Darwin Mounds marine protected area, 160 km northwest of Cape Wrath, Scotland, at ~1,000 m depth. BioCam was mounted on the flight-style Autosub6000 AUV, which operated at 6 m altitude and 1 m/s forward speed to cover 30,000 m²/h. The setup achieved a resolution of 3.3 mm across track and 2 mm in depth. The closeup in Figure 3 shows individual colonies of cold-water-corals forming a ring around the base of a micro-mound. Figure 4 shows the results of clustering, representative image identification, and content-based query. Cold-water coral colonies were most densely distributed around the bases of mounds, several of which are significantly larger (up to 75 m wide and 5 m high) than the micro-mound in Figure 3, forming ring patterns more broadly throughout the 30 ha region mapped during the dive. The clustering results also show that xenophyophores, large single-cell organisms recognized as a vulnerable marine ecosystem indicator species, are most densely distributed in the tails of the mounds. The ability to recognize biological zonation associated with mounds, in particular micro-mounds that are difficult to observe in lower resolution acoustic data, illustrates how combining subcentimeter resolution 3D visual mapping with methods developed to summarize observations and flexibly answer queries can generate rapid human insight and so help focus efforts in observation and downstream analysis.
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Emerging, Low-Cost Ocean Observing Technologies to Democratize Access to the Ocean

By Jack Butler and Camille M.L.S. Pagniello

The rise of low-cost, small, and efficient microcontrollers and single-board computers (e.g., Arduino, Raspberry Pi, Nvidia Jetson) has catalyzed a vibrant, user-led community that focuses on the development of useful or fun “do-it-yourself” (DIY) projects. The utility of these “tiny computers” as backbones for low-cost oceanographic sensor systems has not been lost on the marine science community, particularly for applications that do not need the endurance, accuracy, or ruggedness provided by commercial oceanographic products. Additionally, open-source oceanographic tools bolster the goals of the United Nation’s Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development to encourage a more inclusive and participative approach to ocean science, to better predict ocean phenomena, and to democratize access to the ocean. Though there has been a recent increase in peer-reviewed, published step-by-step guides on how to build some marine sensors and systems (e.g., see the recurring “DIY Oceanography” section in Oceanography magazine or the journal HardwareX), most of the resources detailing custom-built, user-designed oceanographic instrumentation remain in the “gray literature.” Here, we highlight a few projects that provide step-by-step guides on how to construct some of the most common types of ocean sensor systems or platforms (Figure 1; Table 1). Lastly, we provide budding DIY oceanographers with some useful resources.

Perhaps the most widely used oceanographic instrument is the conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) logger; however, even the most economical commercial CTDs can cost over US$5,000. This high acquisition cost can be prohibitive for many ocean scientists and managers, especially those in developing nations. OpenCTD is an open-source, Arduino-based system designed by Oceanography for Everyone’s marine scientists that is intended to be built by the end user at a cost of around US$600 (Figure 2). For researchers who do not require the accuracy of commercial units and/or are working in coastal environments (i.e., where the water depth is typically less than 140 m), this instrument offers a low-cost alternative that could allow them to extend monitoring over larger spatiotemporal scales. Others have been inspired by OpenCTD to create their own low-cost CTDs (e.g., PiCTD, CTDizzle) using different types of microcomputers and oceanographic sensors.

Mobile, moored, and autonomous platforms have also seen an influx of end-user-built, open-source hardware. These types of platforms collect invaluable data for oceanic and atmospheric forecasting. Yet, commercial versions of these platforms tend to be very expensive (i.e., tens to hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit). Examples of low-cost platforms include the Open-Source Underwater Glider (OSUG), an open-source, user-constructed alternative to commercial versions.

---

### TABLE 1. Examples of different low-cost, open-source ocean sensors and platforms with step-by-step assembly guides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>STEP-BY-STEP GUIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenCTD</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/OceanographyforEveryone/OpenCTD/blob/master/">https://github.com/OceanographyforEveryone/OpenCTD/blob/master/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OpenCTD_Feather_Adatlogger/OpenCTD_ConstructionOperation.pdf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PiCTD</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/haanhouse/pictd">https://github.com/haanhouse/pictd</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTDizzle</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/IanBlack/CTDizzle">https://github.com/IanBlack/CTDizzle</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Source-Underwater-Glider</td>
<td>Glider</td>
<td><a href="https://hackaday.io/project/20458-osug-open-source-underwater-glider">https://hackaday.io/project/20458-osug-open-source-underwater-glider</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aruna ROV</td>
<td>ROV</td>
<td><a href="https://hackaday.io/project/172364-aruna-rov">https://hackaday.io/project/172364-aruna-rov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoCO-AUV</td>
<td>AUV</td>
<td><a href="https://loco-auv.github.io">https://loco-auv.github.io</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusOcean’s “Rig”</td>
<td>Mooring</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ausocian.org/s/doc/riing.html">http://www.ausocian.org/s/doc/riing.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maker Buoy</td>
<td>Buoy</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/wjpavalko/Maker-Buoy">https://github.com/wjpavalko/Maker-Buoy</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAX</td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/pletvski/IPAX">https://github.com/pletvski/IPAX</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FishOASIS</td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/cpagni/FishOASIS">https://github.com/cpagni/FishOASIS</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AudioMoth</td>
<td>Passive Acoustic Recorder</td>
<td><a href="https://www.openacoustic-devices.info/audiomoth">https://www.openacoustic-devices.info/audiomoth</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to oceanic glider platforms that can be equipped with a suite of oceanographic sensors. There are also many examples of open-source tethered and untethered powered underwater vehicles (such as the Aruna remotely operated vehicle [ROV] and the LoCO autonomous underwater vehicle [AUV]) that allow marine scientists to build their own underwater vehicles tailored to their research needs. Furthermore, open-source moorings and surface vehicles like AusOcean’s “rig” and Maker Buoy provide long-duration, real-time data acquisition platforms that support a wide variety of sensors for research in coastal waters with mobile data network access.

Additionally, many low-cost instruments have been developed to directly monitor animal biodiversity and habitat quality. Ongoing developments in consumer-grade cameras have improved the price performance of optical imaging systems such as IPAX (Lertvilai, 2020) and FishOASIS (Pagniello et al., 2021; Figure 3), which allow researchers to explore the dynamics of marine communities, from plankton to fish, for extended periods. Passive acoustic systems have also benefited from the proliferation of low-cost, off-the-shelf components (e.g., Caldas-Morgan et al., 2015) and the increasing ease in printing custom circuit boards (e.g., AudioMoth).

These are just a handful of the many projects that aim to incorporate developments from the "Maker Movement" into oceanography and marine science. The proliferation of low-cost alternatives to commercial ocean observing platforms and the increasing availability of step-by-step guides to build DIY instrumentation will allow the collection of more oceanographic data around the globe. In the face of global climate change, incorporating these low-cost systems to better estimate population densities and abundances, as well as habitat health, has become increasingly important.

For those who feel inspired and wish to start their own DIY oceanography journey, many online resources and communities are available to get you started. WILDLABS is a global online community of researchers, engineers, technologists, and entrepreneurs, boasting more than 4,000 active users dedicated to the use of technology to address conservation issues around the world. They host resources (e.g., Tech Tutors) to aid conservation technologists in seeing their projects through to fruition. Hackaday.io is a worldwide, collaborative hardware development community that hosts project build pages (like many featured in this article) and troubleshooting forums. For example, there are 12 projects on Hackaday.io tagged with the keyword “ocean” aimed at creating open-source hardware for monitoring the ocean, many of which have robust bills-of-materials and build guides. We also maintain a list of open-source, ocean science tools and low-cost, ocean sensor vendors on GitHub that readers can use as a source for existing projects or to find a project to customize to their needs. We hope that the continued development of low-cost ocean tools, such as those highlighted here, will open the world of marine science to a broader, global audience and increase the footprint of data collected within the world’s ocean.
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Coastal ecosystems are suffering immediate and detrimental consequences of a warming global climate. Ocean heating, rising sea level, and increasing coastal storm frequency and intensity are imposing stresses on coastal environments that historically were less frequent and less severe. At the same time, human population within 100 km of the coast is projected to nearly double by mid-century, increasing pressure on a variety of marine services including fisheries and recreation. Shallow water environments are key components of healthy coastal ecosystems as they provide feeding grounds and nurseries for fish and crustaceans and act to buffer the impacts of coastal storms on adjacent land areas. But they are also susceptible to rapid degradation because stresses are distributed within a compressed water volume. To address these challenges, policymakers and natural resource managers increasingly rely on more accurate and timely environmental data.

The past two decades of robotic and sensor technology development have resulted in ocean observing systems that can monitor and survey water column properties autonomously at temporal and spatial scales and in environmental conditions that exceed what is possible with traditional human-based operations involving ships and divers (Chai et al., 2020). Most recently, new system concepts are providing more complete environmental descriptions of shallow water environments, including water quality and the shape and composition of the seafloor.

In 2017, the US Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) began developing robotic surveying approaches that support remote sensing of shallow coastal environments (Ackleson et al., 2017). The initial approach was to use a modified, self-navigating kayak towing an instrumented buoy to survey water depth, photograph the shallow bottom in high definition, and simultaneously record how different colors of light are reflected from the water column (Figure 1a). Field surveys conducted in Kane‘ohe Bay, Hawai‘i, provided high-resolution maps of bottom depth and coral cover (Figure 2). The data were used to assess how well sensors on aircraft and satellites are able to map water depth and bottom cover on coral reefs.

Following the successful Kane‘ohe Bay surveys, NRL began designing a new robotic system capable of providing more complete descriptions of shallow water environments, including water clarity, phytoplankton (microscopic marine algae) concentration, water currents, bottom depth, and organisms inhabiting the seafloor. In addition to providing environmental sensing, the system had to be easily managed with a minimal workforce and inexpensively transported to remote locations. The final design, the Autonomous Coastal Color Environmental Survey System (ACCESS; Figure 1b), is essentially an instrumented outrigger system that can be attached to any recreational kayak and powered with standard 12 V marine batteries. The outrigger design offers increased stability, and the kayak provides housing for heavy batteries. For distant field deployments where a kayak and batteries can be
sourced locally, only the outrigger components need to be transported, thus reducing shipping costs. ACCESS is easily disassembled and stored or transported in a small number of protective boxes, each of which can be managed by one or two people.

ACCESS surveys can be conducted in waters as shallow as 0.5 m. The operator communicates with ACCESS remotely across maximum distances of 1–2 km using a hand-held computer pad. Survey missions are either planned in advance or controlled manually onsite using open-source software commonly used to control general purpose drones. Map-based graphics show the platform location and speed, and separate windows display user-selected data streams in real time. An infrared camera continuously scans the forward sea surface for any obstacles that could impede the survey. Propulsion is provided with an array of four independently controlled thrusters that can be easily replaced in the field. Survey speed is user selected and generally ranges between 2 km/hr and 8 km/hr, and surveys can last up to 6 hours. ACCESS may be deployed from a shoreline using a light-weight custom cart or from a small research vessel.

ACCESS represents an important step in the evolution of robotic ocean survey systems, enabling detailed surveys of important shallow water habitats that support remote-sensing operations and aid in monitoring environmental changes in response to climate and human activities. However, survey needs, such as the mix of sensors and navigation attributes, are not all the same for each user, and requirements frequently change with user knowledge and experience. The good news for potential users is that highly capable and cost-effective systems can be built and customized using readily available components and open-source software, and how-to instructions and videos are easily found on the internet. Aside from the various environmental sensors, ACCESS makes use of 80/20 aluminum building components (https://8020.net/), Blue Robotics plug-and-play T200 thrusters (https://bluerobotics.com/), and Robotic Operating Software (https://www.ros.org/).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMOC</td>
<td>Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV</td>
<td>Autonomous Underwater Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGC-Argo</td>
<td>Biogeochemical Argo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPNS</td>
<td>Belgian Part of the North Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl-α</td>
<td>Chlorophyll-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSZ</td>
<td>Cascadia Subduction Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Conductivity-Temperature-Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONET</td>
<td>Dense Oceanfloor Network system for Earthquakes and Tsunamis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eDNA</td>
<td>Environmental DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEZ</td>
<td>Exclusive Economic Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOV</td>
<td>Essential Ocean Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Group on Earth Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNSS</td>
<td>Global Navigation Satellite System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOS</td>
<td>Global Ocean Observing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-SHIP</td>
<td>Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTS</td>
<td>Global Telecommunication System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAB</td>
<td>Harmful Algal Bloom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Coordination Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOOS</td>
<td>Integrated Ocean Observing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTER</td>
<td>Long Term Ecological Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHW</td>
<td>Marine Heatwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Marine Protected Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBIS</td>
<td>Ocean Biodiversity Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONC</td>
<td>Ocean Networks Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POGO</td>
<td>Partnership for Observation of the Global Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/V</td>
<td>Research Vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SML</td>
<td>Sea Surface Microlayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>Sea Surface Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/S</td>
<td>Temperature/Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWC</td>
<td>Tsunami Warning Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>US Dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USV</td>
<td>Uncrewed Surface Vehicle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developed by scientists at the Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, University of Oldenburg, the Sea Surface Scanner (S3) is a radio-controlled catamaran designed to detect biogenic and ubiquitous surface films called the sea surface microlayer (SML). The SML is typically less than 1 mm thick and controls air-sea interactions due to its unique biogeochemical properties relative to the underlying water. S3 uses a set of partially submerged glass disks that continuously rotate through the sea surface, skimming and wiping the SML from the disks. The principle of this collection technique was developed several decades ago. The continuous sample stream is directed to a set of onboard flow-through sensors (e.g., temperature, conductivity, fluorescence, pH, pCO₂) and to a battery carousel triggered by a command from the pilot. S3 is capable of mapping the SML with high temporal and spatial resolution and collecting large amounts of samples for broader biogeochemical assessment of the SML. Since 2015, S3 has been deployed in the Indian, Pacific, and Atlantic Oceans, including in open leads near the North Pole, providing a unique large data set of biogeochemical features of the ocean's surface. Photo credit: Alex Ingle/Schmidt Ocean Institute