THE OFFICIAL MAGAZINE OF THE OCEANOGRAPHY SOCIETY

CITATION

Bograd, S.J., E.L. Hazen, E.A. Howell, and A.B. Hollowed. 2014. The fate of fisheries oceanography: Introduction to the special issue. *Oceanography* 27(4):21–25, http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2014.83.

DOI

http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2014.83

COPYRIGHT

This article has been published in *Oceanography*, Volume 27, Number 4, a quarterly journal of The Oceanography Society. Copyright 2014 by The Oceanography Society. All rights reserved.

USAGE

Permission is granted to copy this article for use in teaching and research. Republication, systematic reproduction, or collective redistribution of any portion of this article by photocopy machine, reposting, or other means is permitted only with the approval of The Oceanography Society. Send all correspondence to: info@tos.org or The Oceanography Society, PO Box 1931, Rockville, MD 20849-1931, USA.

SPECIAL ISSUE ON FISHERIES OCEANOGRAPHY

111111111

The Fate of Fisheries Oceanography

Introduction to the Special Issue

By Steven J. Bograd, Elliott L. Hazen, Evan A. Howell, and Anne B. Hollowed

WHAT IS FISHERIES OCEANOGRAPHY?

Fisheries oceanography can be broadly defined as study of the interaction between marine fish and their environments across multiple life-history stages. Traditional fisheries management approaches estimate population abundance levels as a function of the number of spawning adults without environmental or ecological input, but the field of fisheries oceanography has provided a framework to predict recruitment and define harvest strategies within an ecosystem context. By seeking to elucidate mechanistic relationships between fish species and their surrounding oceanic habitats, the field of fisheries oceanography aims to provide a solid understanding of fish behavior, population dynamics, and life history with an ecosystem perspective.

Photo © James R Wilkinson/SIO-CalCOFI

The origin of fisheries oceanography can be attributed to a seminal paper by Johan Hjort titled "Fluctuations in the Great Fisheries of Northern Europe Viewed in the Light of Biological Research," published a century ago (Hjort, 1914). His research was driven in part by a desire to understand the effects of migratory behavior and fishing on fluctuations in the abundance of key European fisheries. Among his many significantly over the past century, and we are now, as Ed Houde put it, "emerging from Hjort's shadow" (Houde, 2008).

OVERVIEW OF THIS SPECIAL ISSUE We can ask, 100 years after Hjort's influential paper, where is fisheries oceanography now, and where is it going in the coming century? Although there have been significant technological advances in ocean observations over the past century,

66 ...while there have been great advances in the 100 years since Hjort's seminal work, it would appear that the next century will be an exciting time for the field of fisheries oceanography.

discoveries, Hjort found that changes in migration had a minimal effect on the number of spawning adults, but that yearclass strength was largely driven by the success of first-feeding larvae and eventual recruitment into the spawning stock (Houde, 2008). This initial idea is now defined as the "critical period hypothesis," and suggests that survival at the early larval stage was the primary driver of yearclass variability (Hjort, 1914, 1926). With over 100 years of research on the topic, Hjort's hypothesis is still a focus of fisheries research, although it is now clear that ecological and environmental processes beyond larval survival alone drive strong year classes. The importance of Hjort's early work in defining and steering fisheries oceanography research to this day is reflected by his thousands of citations and in the many papers published this year in a special issue of the ICES Journal of Marine Science on "Commemorating 100 Years Since Hjort's 1914 Treatise on Fluctuations in the Great Fisheries of Northern Europe" (see Browman, 2014, and references therein). The field of fisheries oceanography has matured a substantial increase in fishing pressure (including the rise of industrial fisheries) and other human uses of the ocean have increased the stresses on marine ecosystems globally. Placed in the context of a changing climate, we are faced with new challenges that will continue to reshape our field for years to come. The articles in this issue offer a sample of how fisheries oceanography research is tackling these challenges, providing an improved understanding of how an ever-changing fluid environment impacts a highly complex ecosystem and proposing strategies for managing these ecosystems sustainably. These articles also highlight that, although the field has progressed over the past century, many of the issues identified by Hjort are still germane today.

Two articles in this issue address some of the same questions posed by Hjort a century ago. **Cury et al.** examine the relationship between spawning fish abundance and number of offspring (the "stock-recruitment relationship") using more than 200 historical time series of marine fish populations worldwide. While their results demonstrate a well-known global pattern of low spawning biomass leading to low recruitment (and often a subsequent asymptote or decrease at high levels of spawning stock), they also point out that parental biomass explains only about 5-15% of recruitment. Although traditional single-species management continues to use spawning stock biomass as the primary indicator for recruitment, these results echo those of Hjort: recruitment estimates must integrate environmental factors and ecological interactions. Llopiz et al. revisit Hjort's critical period hypothesis, providing a review of recent research on the early life history of fishes. In addition, the authors discuss the future of larval ecology research, focusing on understanding the impacts of climate change and other anthropogenic stressors.

One of the key advances in fisheries oceanography over the past century has been the establishment of long timeseries surveys that have provided the observations needed to test and refine key hypotheses (Hare, 2014). Several such time series operating within the US Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs) are reviewed by McClatchie et al. Among them is the California Cooperative Oceanic and Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) program (McClatchie, 2014), which began off the US West Coast in the 1940s, in part to explain the collapse of the vast and economically important California sardine fishery (Steinbeck, 1945; Hewitt 1988; Scheiber, 1990; Bograd et al., 2003). Sheffield Guy et al. review the evolution of NOAA's Ecosystems and Fisheries-Oceanography Coordinated Investigations (EcoFOCI) program in the Bering Sea, which has provided great insight into how climate influences fisheries recruitment. Following in Hjort's footsteps, both CalCOFI and EcoFOCI scientists have taken an ecosystem approach to understanding physicalbiological coupling in the ocean, and they continue to pave the way forward for fisheries oceanography. Zwolinski et al. demonstrate the utility of fisheries oceanography surveys as platforms for integrating new technologies with old observing

standards in support of ecosystem-wide observations. New acoustic technologies can be combined with standard net trawls, the workhorse of fisheries observing since the days of Hjort, to obtain the ecosystem-wide observations needed to effectively manage coastal pelagic species, which are critical to the functioning of the California Current LME.

An emphasis on transitioning from traditional single-species to ecosystembased fisheries management is driving many of the recent developments in fisheries oceanography. For example, Peterson et al. review the development of ocean indicators that are derived from fisheries oceanography surveys and that can be related to the recruitment of a number of commercially important species in the California Current, thus improving our understanding of the environmental linkages of these species as well as their management. Similarly, Boldt et al. provide a thorough review of and recommendations for the identification of key indicators that describe and assist with the management of multiple human stressors on marine ecosystems. Robinson et al. review interactions among jellyfish, forage fish, and fisheries, and use ecosystem models to compare the impacts of jellyfish blooms in three distinct US LMEs. The global extent of jellyfish, and their potential to increase in abundance in a warming ocean (Richardson et al., 2009; Brotz et al., 2012), speaks to the importance of considering their role in marine food webs.

Among the most significant challenges in the field is to provide the research needed to effectively and sustainably manage our marine resources across multiple time scales. In the short term, this includes the adaptation of fisheries management or conservation protocols in near real time to account for the dynamic and ever-changing marine environment. Although the development and implementation of this concept of "dynamic ocean management" is still in its infancy, **Hobday and Hartog** provide a review of examples from Australia. They demonstrate the utility of incorporating environmental variables that are more direct measures of habitat (e.g., thermal fronts, upwelling zones) into ecosystem models, habitat predictions, and spatial management and harvest strategies, among other applications.

On longer time scales, fisheries management and conservation strategies must be able to adapt to and account for the potential impacts of climate change on the ocean and its living marine resources. In this regard, Pinsky and Mantua provide an overview of climate adaptation strategies currently under consideration within the United States and internationally, and offer a "toolbox" of strategies for fostering "climate-ready" fisheries management. Finally, Kim et al. review the combined efforts of two leading intergovernmental marine organizations, the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and the North Pacific Marine Science Organization (PICES), to synthesize and promote science-based advice on the impacts of climate change on marine ecosystems in the Northern Hemisphere. This excellent example points to the need for international efforts to protect our oceans and marine life within a rapidly changing climate.

WHITHER FISHERIES OCEANOGRAPHY?

While progress is clearly being made in fisheries oceanography, there is still much to be done. Technological advances in ocean and fisheries observing are moving the field forward, allowing the collection of ecosystem data at scales relevant to ecological processes affecting survival and recruitment (Houde, 2008). For example, advances in the miniaturization and data collection capacity of electronic "biologging" tags now allow collection of environmental data at the scale of an individual (Bograd et al., 2010; Hazen et al., 2012). New optic and acoustic instruments are greatly improving the observational capacity of ship-based surveys, allowing fine-scale "visualization" of the water column. Fisheries acoustics, in particular, has become a requisite tool for pelagic stock assessment, given its low invasiveness and ability to sample at much finer spatial and temporal scales than traditional techniques (Zwolinski et al.). Autonomous observing platforms such as gliders have the capacity to replace many functions of a traditional survey vessel for a fraction of the cost (Ohman et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2014, in this issue), although there are still significant limitations on direct biological sampling (and hence the continued need for shipborne nets). On global scales, a suite of satellite sensors measures surface ocean properties at relatively fine spatial and temporal scales, providing critical data for models of ocean circulation, species distributions, and stock assessments, particularly for remote parts of the ocean that are difficult to sample (Yoder et al., 2010; see Box 1). In addition to the availability of more and higher-quality ocean data, significant progress has been made in constructing ever-improving ocean and ecosystem models. Coupled physical-biological models and end-to-end ecosystem models are allowing fisheries oceanographers to examine the mechanisms of environmental influences on marine ecosystems (Miller, 2007; Fulton, 2010; Curchitser et al., 2013; Franks et al., 2013; Haidvogel et al., 2013; Ruzicka et al., 2013), as well as to evaluate management strategy scenarios (Levin et al., 2009).

With an increase in the quantity and

Steven J. Bograd (steven.bograd@noaa.gov) is Supervisory Research Oceanographer and **Elliott L. Hazen** is Research Ecologist, both at National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Environmental Research Division, Monterey, CA, USA. **Evan A. Howell** is Supervisory Operations Research Analyst, NOAA Pacific Island Fisheries Science Center, Ecosystem and Oceanography Division, Honolulu, HI, USA. **Anne B. Hollowed** is Senior Scientist, NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA, USA.

Box 1. Dedication to Dave Foley

The fisheries oceanography community lost a true champion upon the passing of Dave Foley in December 2013. Dave began his career in fisheries oceanography in 1997 as the CoastWatch Coordinator for the NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center's (SWFSC's) Honolulu Laboratory, then continued his CoastWatch work at the Environmental Research Division in Pacific Grove, California, after 2003, providing remotely sensed oceanographic data to the worldwide fisheries and oceanography communities. Dave not only provided data and products to researchers, he was also able to distill complex information into simple ideas that could be easily communicated to others. In addition to his main duties of serving oceanographic data, Dave was a pioneer in applying satellite data to research on understanding spatial patterns in marine species distributions and biodiversity. Dave worked hard to provide fisheries-relevant derived satellite products (e.g., frontal structure, mesoscale activity) and to write code in multiple programming languages to perform the difficult task of combining Lagrangian tracking data with remotely sensed oceanographic data. This program, called Xtractomatic, took advantage of a vast array of data services housed at the NOAA SWFSC Environmental Research Division, and was able to sample each point of a track with variable confidence intervals and for multiple remotely sensed data sets. Other tools have since arisen with similar functionality, but the multitude of papers using Xtractomatic highlights how important a development it was to the field of fisheries oceanography. Dave also realized early the importance of mentoring and teaching to provide continuity in the field, and through his tireless efforts provided support and training to many future fisheries oceanography disciples. Through his advances in providing remotely sensed data as well as awareness to the field, Dave's memory will live on as a key participant in and important contributor to the field of fisheries oceanography.

Box 2. The NOAA Fisheries and the Environment (FATE) Program

Fisheries and the Environment (FATE) is the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) premier fisheries oceanography program (http://www.st.nmfs. noaa.gov/fate). Begun in 2002 and active nationally, FATE supports NOAA's mission to ensure the sustainable use of US fishery resources under a changing climate. FATE facilitates the development of cross-cutting projects by supporting research to advance understanding of environmental impacts on living marine resources and to use that information to improve species and ecosystem assessments. Over the past decade, FATE has supported close to 150 individual research projects, which have led to nearly 200 peer-reviewed publications, and has conducted annual scientific symposia. Programmatically, FATE focuses on the development, evaluation, and distribution of leading ecological indicators, maintenance and examination of time series for climate trends, and incorporation of environmental information into models used for fisheries and ecosystem management. FATE aims to advance the field of fisheries oceanography and provide a framework for ecosystem-based fisheries management.

quality of ecosystem-relevant data, new strategies are being developed to integrate these data streams into fisheries management. This move toward ecosystem-based fisheries management has been a long-standing goal in the field, although its implementation has been slow (see summary in Link et al., 2002). Ecosystem indicators offer tools for summarizing ecosystem status independent of management objectives (**Boldt et al.**; **Peterson et al.**; see Box 2), including synthesizing physical forcing (e.g., sea surface temperature), speciesspecific properties (e.g., mean weight/ length ratio), ecosystem characteristics (e.g., total biomass, species richness), and human dimensions (e.g., fisheries revenue). These indicators provide information on status, trends, and the ability to differentiate between natural variability and anthropogenically induced climate change, particularly when data are available as a long time series (e.g., > 30 years) and at multiple locations within an ecosystem (Levin et al., 2009).

Enhanced observing and modeling capacity is also providing new opportunities for improving fisheries management at both short (e.g., weekly) and long (e.g., climatic) time scales. Dynamic ocean management, in which management protocols are adapted in response to changing ocean conditions, offers a promising opportunity to improve the efficiency and sustainability of target fisheries while minimizing nontarget bycatch (Howell et al., 2008; Hobday et al., 2014; Hobday and Hartog; Lewison et al., in press). At much longer time scales, climate adaptation strategies are required to prepare for potentially substantial global changes in marine ecosystems (Pörtner and Peck, 2010; Poloczanska et al., 2013; **Pinsky and Mantua**), including species range shifts (Perry et al., 2005; Nye et al., 2009; Pinsky et al., 2013), biogeochemical changes (e.g., increasing ocean acidification and hypoxia; Feely et al., 2009; Doney et al., 2012), phenological shifts (Edwards and Richardson, 2004; Durant et al., 2007; Sydeman and Bograd, 2009; Ji et al., 2010), and changes in productivity and community structure (Brander et al., 2007; Cheung et al., 2009; Barange et al., 2014).

In summary, while there have been great advances in the 100 years since Hjort's seminal work, it would appear that the next century will be an exciting time for the field of fisheries oceanography.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are grateful to Mike Ford, Kenric Osgood, and the NOAA Fisheries and the Environment (FATE) program, which provided financial support for this special volume. We also thank all of the authors who contributed to this special issue, as well as the external reviewers who provided valuable suggestions to the authors. Special thanks go to Ellen Kappel, Vicky Cullen, and Johanna Adams at *Oceanography* magazine for helping this issue come to fruition.

REFERENCES

- Barange, M., G. Merino, J.L. Blanchard, J. Scholtens, J. Harle, E.H. Allison, J.I. Allen, J. Holt, and S. Jennings. 2014. Impacts of climate change on marine ecosystem production in societies dependent on fisheries. *Nature Climate Change* 4:211–216, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nclimate2119.
- Bograd, S.J., B.A. Block, D.P. Costa, and B.J. Godley. 2010. Biologging technologies: New tools for conservation. *Endangered Species Research* 10:1–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/esr00269.
- Bograd, S.J., D.M. Checkley, W.S. Wooster. 2003. CalCOFI: A half century of physical, chemical and biological research in the California Current System. *Deep Sea Research Part II* 50:2,349–2,354, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0967-0645(03)00122-X.
- Brander, K.M. 2007. Global fish production and climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104:19,709–19,714, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0702059104.
- Brotz, L., W.W. Cheung, K. Kleisner, E. Pakhomov, and D. Pauly. 2012. Increasing jellyfish populations: Trends in Large Marine Ecosystems. *Hydrobiologia* 690:3–20, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10750-012-1039-7.
- Browman, H.I. 2014. Commemorating 100 years since Hjort's 1914 treatise on fluctuations in the great fisheries of northern Europe: Where we have been, where we are, and where we are going. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 71:1,989–1,992, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu159.
- Cheung, W.W., V.W. Lam, J.L. Sarmiento, K. Kearney, R. Watson, and D. Pauly. 2009. Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. *Fish and Fisheries* 10:235–251, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00315.x.
- Curchitser, E.N., H.P. Batchelder, D.B. Haidvogel, J. Fiechter, and J. Runge. 2013. Advances in physical, biological, and coupled ocean models during the US GLOBEC program. *Oceanography* 26(4):52–67, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2013.75.
- Doney, S.C., M. Ruckelshaus, J.E. Duffy, J.P. Barry, F. Chan, C.A. English, H.M. Galindo, J.M. Grebmeier, A.B. Hollowed, and N. Knowlton. 2012. Climate change impacts on marine ecosystems. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 4:11–37, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-marine-041911-111611.
- Durant, J.M., D.Ø. Hjermann, G. Ottersen, and N.C. Stenseth. 2007. Climate and the match or mismatch between predator requirements and resource availability. *Climate Research* 33:271–283, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/cr033271.
- Edwards, M., and A.J. Richardson. 2004. Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature* 430:881–884, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02808.
- Feely, R.A., S.C. Doney, and S.R. Cooley. 2009. Ocean acidification: Present conditions and future changes in a high-CO₂ world. *Oceanography* 22(4):36–47, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2009.95.
- Franks, P.J.S., E. Di Lorenzo, N.L. Goebel, F. Chenillat, P. Rivière, C.A. Edwards, and A.J. Miller. 2013. Modeling physical-biological responses to climate change in the California Current System. *Oceanography* 26(3):26–33, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2013.42.
- Fulton, E.A. 2010. Approaches to end-to-end ecosystem models. *Journal of Marine Systems* 18:171–183, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.12.012.
- Greene, C.H., E.L. Meyer-Gutbrod, L.P. McGarry, L.C. Hufnagle Jr., D. Chu, S. McClatchie, A. Packer, J.-B. Jung, T. Acker, H. Dorn, and C. Pelkie. 2014. A wave glider approach to fisheries

acoustics: Transforming how we monitor the nation's commercial fisheries in the 21st century. *Oceanography* 27(4), http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/ oceanog.2014.82.

- Haidvogel, D.B., E. Turner, E.N. Curchitser, and E.E. Hofmann. 2013. Looking forward: Transdisciplinary modeling, environmental forecasting, and management. *Oceanography* 26(4):128–135, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2013.80.
- Hare, J.A. 2014. The future of fisheries oceanography lies in the pursuit of multiple hypotheses. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 71:2,343–2,356, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu018.
- Hazen, E.L., H. Bailey, S.J. Bograd, P. Gaspar, B. Godley, M. Hamann, G.L. Shillinger, and J.R. Spotila. 2012. Ontogeny in marine tagging and tracking science: technologies and data gaps. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 457:221–240, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps09857.
- Hewitt, R.P. 1988. Historical review of the oceanographic approach to fishery research. *CalCOFI Reports* 29:27–41.
- Hjort, J. 1914. Fluctuations in the Great Fisheries of Northern Europe Viewed in the Light of Biological Research. Conseil Permanent International Pour l'Exploration de la Mer: Rapports et Procès-Verbaux des Réunions, vol. 20, 228 pp.
- Hjort, J. 1926. Fluctuations in the year classes of important food fishes. *Journal du Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer* 1:5–38.
- Hobday, A.J., S.M. Maxwell, J. Forgie, J. McDonald, M. Darby, K. Seto, H. Bailey, S.J. Bograd, D.K. Briscoe, D.P. Costa, and others. 2014. Dynamic ocean management: Integrating scientific and technological capacity with law, policy and management. *Stanford Environmental Law Journal* 33:125–165.
- Houde, E.D. 2008. Emerging from Hjort's shadow. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Science 41:53–70, http://dx.doi.org/10.2960/ J.v41.m634.
- Howell, E.A., D.R. Kobayashi, D.M. Parker, G.H. Balazs, and J.J. Polovina. 2008. TurtleWatch: A tool to aid in the bycatch reduction of loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta* in the Hawaii-based pelagic longline fishery. *Endangered Species Research* 5:267–278, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ esr00096.
- Ji, R., M. Edwards, D.L. Mackas, J.A. Runge, and A.C. Thomas. 2010. Marine plankton phenology and life history in a changing climate: Current research and future directions. *Journal of Plankton Research* 32:1,355–1,368, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ plankt/fbq062.
- Levin, P.S., M.J. Fogarty, S.A. Murawski, and D. Fluharty. 2009. Integrated ecosystem assessments: Developing the scientific basis for ecosystem-based management of the ocean. *PLoS Biology* 7:e1000014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pbio.1000014.
- Lewison, R., A.J. Hobday, S.M. Maxwell, L. Crowder, E.L. Hazen, D. Wiley, D.C. Dunn, D. Wingfield, S. Fossette, C. O'Keefe, and others. In press. Dynamic ocean management: New approaches for marine resource management and conservation. *BioScience*.
- Link, J.S., J.K.T. Brodziak, S.F. Edwards, W.J. Overholtz, D. Mountain, J.W. Jossi, T.D. Smith, and M.J. Fogarty. 2002. Marine ecosystem assessment in a fisheries management context. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 59:1,429–1,440, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/ f02-115.
- McClatchie, S. 2014. Regional Fisheries Oceanography of the California Current System: The CalCOFI Program. Springer Press, 232 pp.

- Miller, T.J. 2007. Contribution of individual-based coupled physical-biological models to understanding recruitment in marine fish populations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 347:127–138, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps06973.
- Nye, J.A., J.S. Link, J.A. Hare, and W.J. Overholtz. 2009. Changing spatial distribution of fish stocks in relation to climate and population size on the Northeast United States continental shelf. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 393:111–129, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps08220.
- Ohman, M.D., D.L. Rudnick, A. Chekalyuk, R.E. Davis, R.A. Feely, M. Kahru, H.-J. Kim, M.R. Landry, T.R. Martz, C.L. Sabine, and U. Send. 2013. Autonomous ocean measurements in the California Current Ecosystem. *Oceanography* 26(3):18–25, http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.41.
- Perry, A.L., P.J. Low, J.R. Ellis, and J.D. Reynolds. 2005. Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. *Science* 308:1,912–1,915, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1111322.
- Pinsky, M.L., B. Worm, M.J. Fogarty, J.L. Sarmiento, and S.A. Levin. 2013. Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science* 341:1,239–1,242, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1239352.
- Poloczanska, E.S., C.J. Brown, W.J. Sydeman, W. Kiessling, D.S. Schoeman, P.J. Moore, K. Brander, J.F. Bruno, L.B. Buckley, and M.T. Burrows. 2013. Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature Climate Change* 3:919–925, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1958.
- Pörtner, H.-O., and M. Peck. 2010. Climate change effects on fishes and fisheries: Towards a cause-and-effect understanding. *Journal of Fish Biology* 77:1,745–1,779, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02783.x.
- Richardson, A.J., A. Bakun, G.C. Hays, and M.J. Gibbons. 2009. The jellyfish joyride: Causes, consequences and management responses to a more gelatinous future. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24:312–322, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.tree.2009.01.010.
- Ruzicka, J.J., J.H. Steele, S.K. Gaichas, T. Ballerini, D.J. Gifford, R.D. Brodeur, and E.E. Hofmann. 2013. Analysis of energy flow in US GLOBEC ecosystems using end-to-end models. *Oceanography* 26(4):82–97, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2013.77.
- Scheiber, H.N. 1990. California marine research and the founding of modern fisheries oceanography: CalCOFI's early years, 1947–1964. CalCOFI Reports 31:63–83.
- Steinbeck, J., 1945. *Cannery Row*. Viking Press, New York, 208 pp.
- Sydeman, W.J., and S.J. Bograd, 2009. Marine ecosystems, climate and phenology: Introduction. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 393:185–188, http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps08382.
- Yoder, J.A., S.C. Doney, D.A. Siegel, and C. Wilson. 2010. Study of marine ecosystems and biogeochemistry now and in the future: Examples of the unique contributions from space. *Oceanography* 23(4):104–117, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5670/oceanog.2010.09.