Online Supplement 2: Student and Advisor Surveys

Student Survey

This survey is aimed at gathering information from students in the SOEST Scholars and 'Ike Wai Scholars programs. It will take about 10 minutes to complete. Your participation is voluntary and you can stop at any time. The information you provide will be used to improve our programs and document their outcomes. It will NOT be used to determine your future participation in our programs. Please answer honestly.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Dr. Barbara Bruno at (808) 956-0901. If you have any questions about your rights as a research participant, please contact the University of Hawai'i Committee on Human Studies at (808) 956-5007.

NOTE: Throughout this survey, the term STEM is used. This term means Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics.

Part I: Questions About You

1. Student Code (please do not type student name): _____

- 2. Tell us about your degree/major:
 - 2a. What campus are you currently attending? (Please select all that apply) University of Hawai'i at Mānoa University of Hawai'i Hilo Kapi'olani Community College Other (please specify): _____
 - 2b. What is the next degree you expect to receive? Note: Only check 2 degrees if you plan on getting both at the same time.

AA ASNS BA BS Other (please specify):

2c. What is the subject area of your next degree? Example #1. ASNS Life Science Example #2. BS Global Environmental Science

2d. When do you expect to receive your next degree? Month Year Select the month & year: 3. Please use the following scale to self-assess your performance as an undergraduate researcher, relative to what can be reasonably expected of a student at your level. *Please answer honestly*. *Your replies will not be shared with your advisor(s) and will not have any bearing on your future program participation*.

Rating scale:

(1) Unsatisfactory: Generally fails to meet the established performance standards. Improvements required for continuance.

(2) Fair: Meets some but not all of the performance standards required.

(3) Satisfactory: Meets the established performance standards expected.

(4) Very Good: Generally exceeds the established performance standards.

(5) Excellent: Consistently exceeds in achieving goals and objectives far above established standards

	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent
Research Productivity: The amount of work that I accomplish.					
Research quality: The quality of work that I perform.					
Motivation & Initiative: My self- motivation and willingness to take initiative, as appropriate.					
Organizational Skills: My ability to organize tasks in an efficient manner.					
Communication Skills: My verbal and written communication skills.					
Professionalism: My ability to behave in a professional manner.					
Cooperation: My ability to work as a member of a research group or team.					
Independence: My ability to work independently, as appropriate.					
Self-Appraisal: My ability to analyze my performance and to make constructive efforts to improve.					
Time & Attendance: My ability to maintain agreed-upon research hours and schedule.					

Growth: Overall, the amount that I have			
grown/improved over the course of the			
internship.			

4. Have you attended a professional conference within the past 12 months?

Yes No

4a. How many professional conferences have you attended in the past 12 months?

Part II: Questions about the Scholars Program

5. Please indicate your level of agreement with each statement.

Compared to before I started the Scholars Program, I now...

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
work more independently					
take more initiative to problem-solve.					
am more confident about my STEM abilities.					
have a larger professional network.					
am more interested in attending graduate school.					
am more excited about STEM.					
have a better understanding of how to succeed in school.					
have a better understanding of how to conduct research.					
am more interested in pursuing a career that includes STEM.					

6. Please indicate your level of agreement with each statement below about your project advisor(s). Please answer honestly. Your replies will not be shared with your advisor(s).

My project advisor(s) was:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
Approachable					
Available if I had a question				Ο	
Good listener(s)					
Good role model(s)					
Encouraging					
Constructive at providing feedback on my progress					
Committed to providing a learning experience for me					
Effective at mentoring me					

7. What did you like best about your experience in the Scholars Program?

8. What suggestions do you have for improving the Scholars Program?

9. Any other comments or suggestions?

Thank you for completing this survey. Your responses will benefit future students in our programs.

Advisor Survey

This survey is aimed at gathering information from advisors in the SOEST Scholars and 'Ike Wai Scholars programs. It will take about 10-15 minutes to complete. The information you share with us will be kept strictly confidential from the student. Your participation is voluntary and you can stop at any time. Your responses will assist us in improving our programs and documenting their outcomes.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Dr. Barbara Bruno at barb@hawaii.edu or (808) 956-0901. If you have any questions about your rights as a research participant, please contact the University of Hawai'i Committee on Human Studies at (808) 956-5007.

1. Advisor code (please do not type your name):

2. Student code (please do not type student's name):

3. Please use the following scale to rate your student's performance, relative to what can be reasonably expected of a student at his or her level:

Rating scale:

(1) Unsatisfactory: Generally fails to meet the established performance standards. Improvements required for continuance.

(2) Fair: Meets some but not all of the performance standards required.

(3) Satisfactory: Meets the established performance standards expected.

(4) Very Good: Generally exceeds the established performance standards.

(5) Excellent: Consistently exceeds in achieving goals and objectives far above established standards.

	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent
Research Productivity: The amount of work that the Scholar accomplishes.					
Research quality: The quality of work that the Scholar performs.					
Motivation & Initiative: The Scholar's self-motivation and willingness to take initiative, as appropriate.					
Organizational Skills: The Scholar's ability to organize tasks in an efficient manner.					

Communication Skills: The Scholar's verbal and written communication skills.			
Professionalism: The Scholar's ability to behave in a professional manner.			
Cooperation: The Scholar's ability to work as a member of a research group or team.			
Independence: The Scholar's ability to work independently, as appropriate.			
Self-Appraisal: The Scholar's ability to analyze his/her performance and to make constructive efforts to improve.			
Time & Attendance: The Scholar's ability to maintain agreed-upon research hours and schedule.			
Growth: Overall, the amount that the Scholar has grown/improved over the course of the internship		Ο	

4. Please indicate your level of agreement with each statement.

Compared to when s/he started the Scholars Program, the student now...

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
works more independently					
takes more initiative to problem-solve.					
is more confident about his or her STEM abilities.					
has a larger professional network.					
is more interested in attending graduate school.					
is more excited about STEM.					
has a better understanding of how to succeed in school.					
has a better understanding of how to conduct research.					
is more interested in pursuing a career that includes STEM.					

5. In what area(s) of professional development and research skills did the Scholar excel?

6. In what area(s) of professional development and research skills should the Scholar work to improve?

7. Did advising this Scholar benefit you and/or your research? Yes No If yes, how? If no, why not?

8. Would you be willing to host this Scholar again? Yes No If yes, how? If no, why not?

9. Is there any additional information or support that we can provide that would be helpful to you as an advisor?

10. Any other comments or suggestions?

Thank you for completing this survey. Your responses will benefit future students in our programs.